



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/Road Safety/Tender/2022/4607

Date: 02.03.2022

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Permanent Rectification of Blackspot KA-(02)-373 by Constructing VUP with RE Wall Approaches at Chainage Km. 319+960 and Service Road (Chainage Km. 319+560 - Km. 320+840) on NH - 66 at Ambalpaddy in the State of Karnataka under EPC mode - **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids (Stage-1)** - reg.

Ref NIT No.30/NHAI/RO-BNG/Road safety/Tender/2021-22 dated 22.09.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 22.10.2021 till 1500 Hrs. Bids were opened on 25.10.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical / Stage-I evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 07 days of receipt of this letter. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.
3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja)
Manager (Tech)

Regional Office - Bengaluru

Annexure-I

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Capacity		Financial Capacity		Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 24.55 Cr.)	Remarks
		Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 18.41 Cr)	Whether Bidder meets 20% of EPC (i.e. Rs. 4.91 Cr) required in category 1 & 3 project	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 1.22 Cr.)	Average Annual Turnover (Required Rs. 3.68 Cr)		
06/07	Rama Kamath & Co.	38.59	Refer project 'a' Updated cost is 10.64 cr.	12.25	42.30	66.44	Qualified for opening of Financial Bid
07/07	Iqbal Ahmed Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	159.87	Refer project 'a' Updated cost is 44.75 cr.	24.07	42.47	157.10	Qualified for opening of Financial Bid. However, Bidder has not uploaded the Bid documents on BIMS portal on which RO Bengaluru may take a view as it is required as per clause 2.2.2.7 of RFP.

However, the following 05 (five) Bidders are not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria specified in RFP:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Capacity		Financial Capacity		Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 24.55 Cr.)	Remarks
		Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 18.41 Cr)	Whether Bidder meets 20% of EPC (i.e. Rs. 4.91 Cr) required in category 1 & 3 project	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 1.22 Cr.)	Average Annual Turnover (Required Rs. 3.68 Cr)		
01/07	M/s R S Nayak & Co	23.98	No P-1 to 39 of pdf workdone	14.34	29.16	73.23	Please refer note 1
02/07	M/s Hindustan Consturction	0.00	No P-1 to 9 Tech 3	8.03	26.68	80.38	Please refer note 2
03/07	Concord Construction	92.77	No P-8 of Tech 3	No	24.04	31.93	Please refer note 3
04/07	Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure s & Developers Pvt. Ltd.	0.00	No P-16 to 28 of Tech 2	2.76	7.11	22.82	Please refer note 4
05/07	M G Arunkumar	74.13	Refer project Updated cost is 20.44 cr.	6.39	22.35	Not clear in the Bid	Please refer note 5

Notes:

1. M/s R S Nayak & Co.

- a. Bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, i.e. one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost shall have been completed or substantially completed (i.e. where more than 90% value of work have been completed) over the last 5 Financial Years i.e. up to 31.03.2021 from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP read in conjunction with Clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP, wherein it has been specifically mentioned that the last 5 (five) years preceding the Bid Due Date are to be considered.

Other Points

- b. Bidder has not uploaded the Bid documents on BIMS portal on which RO Bengaluru may take a view as it is required as per clause 2.2.2.7 of RFP.
- c. Bidder has submitted along with its reply Annex-I however in point no. 5(d) and 7(d), Bidder has again mentioned NA instead of Nil or No which does not fulfill the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may not be considered.

2. M/s Hindustan Construction

- a. None of the project claimed by the Bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, which states that one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost shall have been completed or substantially completed (i.e. where more than 90% value of work have been completed) over the last 5 Financial Years i.e. up to 31-03-2021 from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP read in conjunction with Clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP, wherein it has been specifically mentioned that the last 5 (five) years preceding the Bid Due Date are to be considered.
Therefore, the Bidder is not meeting the technical capacity criteria due the non-fulfilment of the clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.
- b. Bidder is not meeting the Technical Threshold capacity as per the clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP.

Other Points

- c. Bidder has not submitted the undertaking from the Statutory Auditor (UDIN generated and verified) that the Annual Accounts for the FY 2020-21 are not yet audited on Bid due date which is required as per the clause 2.2.2.8(ii) of RFP.
- d. Bidder has not uploaded the Bid documents on BIMS portal on which RO Bengaluru may take a view as it is required as per clause 2.2.2.7 of RFP.
- e. Bidder has mentioned in its reply that POA is duly given to Mr. Faraz H B by the proprietor Mr. Baqaullah. However, in the POA submitted along with the Bid, it is no where clear that who is executing the POA in whose favour and no proper justification has been given in the reply submitted by the Bidder. Therefore, the reply submitted by the Bidder may not be considered.
- f. No UDIN is generated on the Audited Financial Statements of FY 2020-21 signed on 16.06.2021 submitted along with the reply which is mandatory to generate w.e.f. 01.07.2019 of Part II of Second Schedule of The Chartered Accountants Act, 1949. Hence on the basis of the above, the same may not be considered.

3. Concord Construction

- a. Bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria as specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, i.e. one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost shall have been completed or substantially completed (i.e. where more than 90% value of work have been completed) over the last 5 Financial Years i.e. up to 31.03.2021 from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP read in conjunction with Clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP, wherein it has been specifically mentioned that the last 5 (five) years preceding the Bid Due Date are to be considered.

Other Points

- b. Bidder has mentioned in its reply to excuse the omission of UDIN on Annex-VI certified by the Chartered Accountant which is mandatory as per ICAI w.e.f 1.07.2019. Further, the Annex-VI submitted by the Bidder at the time of Bid is not as per the format which is required as per clause 3.1.6.1(i) of RFP which can also be not excused.
- c. Bidder has mentioned in its reply that it has forgotten to put the UDIN on the Net worth certificate and the same has not been submitted along with the clarification. However, the same cannot be excused as UDIN is mandatory w.e.f. 01.07.2019. Hence the Bidder is not meeting the criteria as per clause 2.2.2.9(ii) of RFP.
- d. Bidder has not uploaded the Bid documents on BIMS portal on which RO Bengaluru may take a view as it is required as per clause 2.2.2.7 of RFP.
- e. Bidder has mentioned in its reply to excuse the mistake mentioned in point 7(b) of Annex-I. As the same does not affect the Technical and Financial capacity of the Bidder hence the same may be accepted. However, if the Bidder is required to submit correct Annex-I.
- f. Bidder has mentioned in its reply to excuse for the non submission of Undertaking w.r.t. to agree and abide. As the same does not affect the Technical and Financial capacity of the Bidder hence the same may be accepted. However, bidder is required to submit the correct undertaking.
- g. Bidder has mentioned in its reply that it has uploaded the Annual Reports for the F.Y. 2015-16 to 2019-20 on BIMS portal and not in the technical bid which is required as per the clause 2.2.2.8(i) of RFP. As these are public domain documents and the non submission of the same does not affect the Financial and Technical capacity of the Bidder. Hence the same may be accepted. However, Bidder is required to submit the same.

4. Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructures & Developers Pvt. Ltd.

- a. Bidder has submitted along with its reply a certificate from the Client for the single work completed which was also submitted along with the Bid on P-16 of Tech2.
The project claimed is "Periodic renewal by resurfacing and other safety devices of development of adequate road connectivity to New mangalore Port in the state of Karnataka"
As per clause 2.2.2.5(iii)(II) of RFP, the works with the nomenclature Period renewal etc. shall not be considered under eligible projects.
Apart from this project, other projects claimed by the Bidder also not qualifying under clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Therefore, the projects claimed by the Bidder under single work does not qualify under clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP.
- b. Bidder is not meeting the Technical Threshold criteria as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP.
- c. Bidder has submitted along with its reply the Correct Annex-VI dated 18.10.2021, UDIN generated (verified from the ICAI portal). Further as the certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works has not been submitted neither in the Bid nor along with the reply due to which Bidder is not meeting the Bid capacity criteria as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

- d. Bidder has submitted the undertaking dated 03-12-2021 from the Statutory Auditor that Audit for the FY 2020-21 has not yet completed. However, the same is not UDIN generated which is mandatory w.e.f 01-07-2019. Due to which the undertaking submitted may not be considered which is required as per clause 2.2.2.8(ii) of RFP.
- e. The Board resolution submitted by the Bidder along with its reply authorizing Ms. Aparna Ramesh Shelke, Director and Ms. Sheetal Srinivas shelke, Director to execute POA is dated 03.12.2021 which is after the date of execution of POA which is 18.02.2021 and the Bid due date. Hence the Bidder is not meeting the Test of Responsiveness criteria as per clause 3.1.6.1(d) of RFP.

5. M G Arunkumar

- a. In the absence of the reply submitted by the Bidder, Annexure-VI which is required as per the format 3.1.6.1 (i) is unavailable. Further, the Certificates in support of value of B claimed by the Bidder has neither submitted with the Bid nor with the reply due to which the Bid capacity of the Bidder comes out to be negative. Hence, the Bidder is not meeting the Bid capacity criteria as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.

Other Points

- b. Bidder has not uploaded the Bid documents on BIMS portal on which RO Bengaluru may take a view as it is required as per clause 2.2.2.7 of RFP.