



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सडक परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

श्रेणीय कार्यालय : 41-29-45A, सर्वे नं: 373/2A, कोदंडरामालयम्, चलासानी नगर,

रानीगारीतोटा, कृष्णालंका, विजयावाडा - 520 013, आन्ध्र प्रदेश

Regional Office : D.No. 41-29-45A, RS.No. : 373/2A, Kodandaramalayam, Chalasani Nagar,

Ranigari Thota, Krishna Lanka, Vijayawada - 520 013, Andhra Pradesh.

फोन / Tel : 0866-2483910, ई-मेल/e-mail: rovijayawada@nhai.org, nhairovja@gmail.com

वेब/ web: www.nhai.gov.in



Ref: NHAI/RO-VJA/11050/7/IE/NSPT-RNS/2021-22/1315

April 19, 2022.

To

Bidders as per list enclosed

Sub: NHAI, RO, Vijayawada - Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance period for 6-laning of Narasannapeta - Ranasthalam Section of NH - 16 from Km.580.700 to Km.634.000 under NHDP Phase V on Hybrid Annuity Mode in the State of Andhra Pradesh- Technical Evaluation of Bids - Opening of Financial Bids - Reg.

Sir,

This has reference to your bid for the subject Independent Engineer Services.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that opening of Financial Bids is scheduled on 21.04.2022 @ 15:00 Hrs at RO - Vijayawada.
3. It is therefore, requested to depute your authorized representative to attend RO - Vijayawada for opening of Financial Bids.
4. This is issued with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,

K.V.O. Rangani
DGM (T) / KVDR
RO - Vijayawada.

Sub: NHAI, RO, Vijayawada - Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance period for 6-laning of Narasannapeta - Ranasthalam Section of NH - 16 from Km.580.700 to Km.634.000 under NHDP Phase V on Hybrid Annuity Mode in the State of Andhra Pradesh- **Technical Evaluation of Bids - Opening of Financial Bids - Reg.**

S. No	Name of Firm
(i)	M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. in association with Sri Infotech
(ii)	M/s. Upham International Corporation in JV with SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with SRK Engineers & Consultants
(iii)	M/s. Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

K. V. D. Rajani
DGM (T) / KVDR

April 18, 2022

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee for Finalization of Technical Evaluation after uploading of technical result and receipt of representations from bidders of Tender Committee held on 18.04.2022 @ 12:00 hrs at O/o Regional Officer, Vijayawada, for the work of "Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance period for 6-laning of Narasannapeta - Ranasthalam Section of NH - 16 from Km.580.700 to Km.634.000 under NHDP Phase V on Hybrid Annuity Mode in the State of Andhra Pradesh".

Evaluation Committee during the meeting dated 01.04.2022, decided to upload the result of technical evaluation on the e-tender portal to given an opportunity to the bidders to respond within 7 days in case they have any objection as stipulated in Clause 9(viii) of Data Sheet of RFP for which the Competent Authority accorded approval vide Office Note dated 04.04.2022.

2.1 Accordingly, result of technical evaluation was uploaded on the e-tender portal vide RO, Vijayawada letter no. 1149 dated 06.04.2022 as well as informed each bidder through email.

2.2 Representations have been received from only two bidders namely M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. in association with Sri InfoTech & M/s. Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd raising objections on Technical Evaluation.

2.3 The representations/ objections received from the two bidders have been examined by Committee and comments are as under:

(i) **M/s. Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd:**

S. No	Representations/ objections of bidder	Comments of committee
1	Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan (i) Average 0.75 (ii) Good 1.50 (iii) Very Good 2.00 Our methodology cover Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan as per the TOR & Scope of the work & we completed more than 20 projects of same nature in NHAI therefore it is requested that this may please be reconciled & full marks may please be given for this criteria.	As per Appendix B-3: Approach Paper on Methodology for performing the Assignment; Proposed Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan [not more than 6 pages] is to be described during Submission of Technical Proposal. As, it was not described in Technical Proposal it was decided by the evaluation committee to allot zero marks for this criteria. Hence, as explained above, there is no change in the marks.

(ii) **M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. In association with Sri InfoTech:**


S. No	Representations/ objections of bidder	Comments of committee
1	Relevant Experience of the Firm: Experience in DPR / Feasibility cum DPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years 2 project 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each - 4 marks Or 1 project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length- 4 marks Add 0.25(Zero point two five) marks extra for	Bidder has claimed 10 Projects (Project at S. No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 19, 20 & 21) in this criteria. During the evaluation Projects at S. No. 9, 10, 19 & 20 were considered and accordingly 4.75 out of 5 marks have been awarded. Projects at S. No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 21 were not considered as LOA/Agreement dates of these projects were beyond 7 years. However, for the experience requirement, the completion date shall be within last 7 years.

	each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks. We have submitted 10 nos. of Projects Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of Project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years in our Technical Proposal. We request the kind Authority to please go through the Pages in Technical Proposal. We request you to consider the above clarification and allot 5 Marks instead of giving 4.75 Marks.	Hence, Projects at S. No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 21 are now being considered and accordingly marks have been revised to 5 marks. Hence, there is increment of 0.25 marks.
2	Relevant Experience of the Firm: Experience as Independent Engineer/Authority Engineer/ Supervision Consultant two construction projects of four/six laning** of 30% of project length each or one projects of four/six laning ** of 50% project length in last 7 years. 2 construction projects 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each -4marks Or 1 construction project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length - 4marks Add 1(one) mark extra for completed assignment of Independent Engineer and add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 2 (two) mark.	Bidder has claimed 7 Projects (Project at S. No. 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 12 & 13) in this criteria. During the evaluation Projects at S. No. 11 & 12 were considered and accordingly 5 out of 6 marks have been awarded. Projects at S. No. 1, 2, 3, 7 & 13 were not considered as LOA/Agreement dates of these projects were beyond 7 years. However, for the experience requirement, the completion date shall be within last 7 years. Hence, Projects at S. No. 1, 2, 3, 7 & 13 are now being considered and accordingly marks have been revised to 6 marks. Hence, there is increment of 1 mark.
3	Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology: Site Appreciation (i) Average 0.50 (ii) Good 0.75 (iii) Very Good 1.00 Our Experts visited the site and prepared the detailed site visit report at Page No: 420-422 in Technical Proposal. We request you to consider the same and provide full 1 Marks instead of 0.50.	The request of the Bidder has been examined by the committee and decided that there is no change in the marks awarded earlier.
4	Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology: Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan (i) Average 0.75 (ii) Good 1.50 (iii) Very Good 2.00 Please see at Page No: 432-435 of Technical Proposal. We request you to consider the same and provide 2 Marks instead of 1.50.	The request of the Bidder has been examined by the committee and decided that there is no change in the marks awarded earlier.

2.4 Based on the representations received from bidders and deliberations made by Committee, the final result of Technical Evaluation is as under:


S. No	Name of Firm	Technical Score as per result uploaded in e-tender portal	Technical Score after receipt of objections from Bidders
(i)	M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. in association with Sri Infotech	94.15	95.40
(ii)	M/s. Upham International Corporation in JV with SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with SRK Engineers & Consultants	95.25	95.25
(iii)	M/s. Lion Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	94.14	94.14
(iv)	M/s. K & J Projects Private Ltd. in association with M/s. SEG Engineering Services Private Limited	Non – Responsive. The Bidder failed in Technical Capacity	
(v)	M/s. Bloom Companies LLC in in JV with Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Private Limited in association with JR consultancy services Private limited	Non – Responsive. The Bidder failed in Technical Capacity	
(vi)	M/s. L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with Infratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Non – Responsive. The Bidder failed in Technical Capacity	

3. In view of the above, Committee has recommended to meet again on 21.04.2022 for opening of Financial Bids for finalized of L-1 bidder.


GMRV. Prasad

Accounts Officer
RO, Vijayawada
Member


P. Siya Sanjay
Project Director
PD, PIU,
Visakhapatnam
Member


Rohit Kumar
DGM (Tech)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


K. V. D. Ranjani 18/4/22
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Member Secretary


T. Suresh Kumar
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by VENKATA DEVA
RANJANI KUNALA
Date: 2022.04.20 20:10:24 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs