



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS, GOVT. OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office: Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No.NHAI/RO-BNG/11019/Tender/2022/4864

Date: 23.03.2022

To,
All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of Single Flyover on RHS at Katamanallur @ Ch. 71+885 (KA-02-290) under road safety for rectification of blackspots in the stretch of Bangalore- Hoskote - Mulbagal Section of NH-75 (old No. NH-4) from Km. 237.700 to Km. 318.0 in the State of Karnataka under EPC mode - **Hosting of final outcome of Technical Evaluation of bids & Disposal of objections** - reg.

Ref: NIT No.29/NHAI/RO-BNG/11019/Tender/2021-22 dated 15.09.2021

Sir,

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 20.10.2021 till 15.00 Hrs. Bids were opened on 21.10.2021 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The replies were sought from the non-responsive bidders by giving 07 days of time period to respond in case of any objection. The objections submitted by the bidders have been examined by the Evaluation Committee and final outcome is as detailed below;

Sr. No.	Name of the bidders	Final Outcome	Remarks
1	M/s. Sree Bhramara Infrastructures Private Limited.	Responsive	-
2	M/s. Krishi Infratech.	Responsive	-
3	M/s. Sri Sathya Ganapathi Constructions.	Non-Responsive	Refer Note 1 beneath this table
4	M/s. Colossal Construction.	Non-Responsive	Refer Note 2 beneath this table

* Note: In determination of Bid Capacity, we have considered the Value against the existing/ ongoing Commitments as certified by Statutory Auditor of the bidder for Value of "B".

Note-1: Sathya Ganapathi Constructions

- There are some infirmities/ discrepancies observed in the replies submitted by the Bidder. For details refer Table no. 3 above. So, as the replies submitted by the bidder are not justified. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP and recommended Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid.

Note-2: Colossal Construction

- In Project "C" Bidder has claimed the experience of Construction of **Limited Hight Subways** under Category 3. However, as per the provisions of RFP the experience of construction of Subway Projects cannot be considered under any category. Bidder was asked to clarify with some proof, how this project can be considered under any Category as per the provisions of the RFP. Bidder in its replies has mentioned that we have claimed the experience of Construction of Limited Hight Subways under category 3 because of this work is like VUP and Railway Project. The Reply submitted by the bidder is not justified. As per the provisions of RFP the experience of construction of Limited Hight Subways cannot be considered under any category. Further, no proof justifying the claim of bidder is attached.
- In Project "B" to "D" Bidder has claimed the experience of **construction C.D works under RIDF-XXI** under Category 3. However, as per the provisions of RFP the experience of construction C.D works under RIDF-XXI Projects cannot be considered under category 3. Bidder was asked to clarify with some proof, how these projects can be considered under **Category 3** as per the provisions of the RFP. Bidder in its replies has mentioned that *"We have claimed the experience of Construction of CD work under RIDF-XXI under category 3 because this work is minor bridges on state roads under PWD not a core sector work."* Reply submitted by the bidder is not justified. As per the provisions of RFP the experience of **construction C.D works under RIDF-XXI** cannot be considered under Category 3. Further, no proof justifying the claim of bidder is attached.

Further, Bidder has still not provided the UDIN for certificates provided by Auditor for project code "A" to "D". which is required as per the guidelines issued by the ICAI. In the absence of UDIN, certificates from the SA for the same may not be considered as valid. Therefore, all projects claimed by the bidder may not be considered for evaluation and Technical Threshold Capacity of the bidder may be considered as NIL.

Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria Technical Capacity, specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP and recommended Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid.

2. In view of the above, financial bids of the 06 Nos. of Technically responsive bidders (as mentioned in Table above) will be opened on **24.03.2022** at 15:00 Hrs, if possible.

3. This issues with the approval of CGM (Tech) / RO - Bengaluru.

Yours faithfully,


(Vutla Padmaja)

Signature Not Verified
Regional Officer (Tech)
Bengaluru
Digitally signed by PADMAJA VUTLA
Date: 2022.03.24 12:52:48 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs
Page | 4