

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
REGIONAL OFFICE, BENGALURU

No.NHAI/RO-BNG/13020/Tender/2021

21.10.2021

Minutes of the Bid Evaluation Committee on Technical Proposals

Sub: Special Repairs to Tumkur Bypass section of NH-48 (Old NH-4) from Km. 62.000 to Km. 75.000 in the State of Karnataka.

Ref: NIT No.23/NHAIRO-BNG/13020/Tender/2021-22 dated 19.08.2021

The online Tender was invited for an amount Rs. 3,55,48,356/-excluding GST at 12% vide above said notification for the subject work and for which the following bidders have submitted the Technical Proposals on the scheduled date of 14.09.2021 and the same were opened by the Bid Evaluation Committee on 15.09.2021.

1. A Balaramareddy,
2. B.G Arvind,
3. M Sanjeevaraju,
4. Arjunvainsnavilnfrastucture and Development Pvt Ltd,
5. PR and Co Engineers and Contractors,
6. S T Ramesh (Ganapathi Stone Crusher)
7. M/s. Singo Engineering India Private Limited

The Bid Evaluation Committee has evaluated the Technical Bids of the above said Bidders in detail as per eligibility criteria of RFP with respect to the details furnished by the bidders. The details of Technical Evaluation are as per Annexure enclosed. Criteria

Technical Criteria as per RFP: Table : 1

Sl.No	RFP Sections	Description of Sections
1	Section-I, Clause 3 , Page No. 4	Cost of Bid Document nonrefundable
2	Section-I, Page No. 7	Bid Security Declaration
3	Section-II, Clause 4.2a, Page No. 9	Registration/Legal Status of Bidder & Power of Attorney
4	Section-II, Clause 4.4 A (a), Page No. 10	Annual Average Financial turn over
5	Section-II, Clause 4.4 A (b), Page No. 10	Similar work completion certificate
6	Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (a) (i), Page No. 10	Affidavit
7	Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11	Demonstrate Key equipment's
8	Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (ii) Page No. 11	Demonstrate Key Technical Personal
9	Section-II, Clause 4.6, Page No. 11	Accessed available bid capacity
10	Section-II, Page No. 35,36 & 37	Undertakings

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
REGIONAL OFFICE, BENGALURU**

The technical bid evaluation results were examined by the Evaluation committee and the following observations were made:

1) A Balaramareddy.

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP:

Findings of the Committee:-

- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11, mentioned Batch type hot Mix 100-120 TPH 1Nos. The bidder submitted DM-60 Drum Mix Plant (Own)
- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11, mentioned Sensor Paver finisher- 1nos .The bidder submitted mechanical Paver.
- The Bidder fulfilled remaining all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: The bidder not having the Batch type hot Mix 100-120 TPH. Hence technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as "Non Responsive".


2) B.G. Arvind.

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP.

Findings of the Committee:-

- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11, mentioned Batch type hot Mix 100-120 TPH 1Nos.The bidder submitted DM-60 Drum Mix Plant (Own).
- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11, mentioned Sensor Paver finisher- 1nos .The bidder submitted mechanical Paver.
- The Bidder fulfilled remaining all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: The bidder not having the Batch type hot Mix 100-120 TPH. Hence technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as "Non Responsive".



**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
REGIONAL OFFICE, BENGALURU**

3) M. Sanjeev Raju.

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP:

Findings of the Committee:-

- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11 mentioned that, demonstrate key equipment's produced all necessary documents. The bidder submitted list of machinery submitted but supporting documents not submitted.
- The Bidder fulfilled remaining all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: The bidder not submitted key equipment's supporting documents. Hence the technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as "Non Responsive". ✓

4) Arjunvaisnavi Infrastructure and Development Pvt Ltd

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP:

Findings of the Committee:-

- The Bidder fulfilled all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: The technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as "Responsive" ✓

5) PR and Co Engineers and Contractors.

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP:

Findings of the Committee:-

- As per the RFP Section-I, Clause 3 , Page No. 4, mentioned that, bidder should pay Rs 25,000/- Cost of bid document . The bidder submitted MSME UDYAM -TN-12-0006000 and Certificate No TN12A0055013 for fee exception. But MSME fee exempted only for Goods and services .
- The Bidder fulfilled remaining all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: Cost of bid document fee exempted only for Goods and services not for the works. Hence the technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as "Non Responsive".

G. B. Jay

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
REGIONAL OFFICE, BENGALURU**

6) S.T Ramesh (Ganapathi Stone Crusher)

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP:

Findings of the Committee:-

- The Bidder fulfilled all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: The technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as “Responsive”

7) M/s. Singo Engineering India Private Limited.

The committee verified all the documents furnished by the bidder through e-portal system as per the requirement of RFP:

Findings of the Committee:-

- As per the RFP Section-I, Clause 3 , Page No. 4, mentioned that, bidder should pay Rs 25,000/- Cost of bid document . The bidder submitted MSME UDYAM -TN-12-0006000 and Certificate No TN12A0055013 for fee exception. But MSME fee exempted only for Goods and services .
- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 A (b), Page No. 10, mentioned that, bidder satisfactory completed similar nature work . The bidder not submitted satisfactory completed similar nature work.
- As per the RFP Section-II, Clause 4.4 B (b) (i) Page No. 11 mentioned that, demonstrate key equipment's produced all necessary documents. The bidder List of Machinery affidavit submitted but supporting Documents like Tax Invoices for equipment's and RC for vehicles not submitted.
- As per the RFP Section-II, vide clause 4.4 B (b) (ii) Page No.11 mentioned that, Bidder produce the signed CV's of all the Key Technical Personnel and necessary documents. Bidder not submitted required Key Technical Personnel details.
- As per the RFP Section-II, Page No. 35,36 & 37 mentioned that, bidder submit the undertakings . Bidder not submitted undertakings.
- The Bidder fulfilled remaining all the conditions mentioned in RFP (as mentioned in above Table-1).

Decision of the Committee: Cost of bid document fee exempted only for Goods and services not for the works. Bidder not submitted similar nature work done certificate and key equipment's supporting documents. Hence the technical bid evaluation Committee decided to consider the bid as “Non Responsive”

U.B. Jay

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
REGIONAL OFFICE, BENGALURU**

Conclusion of Committee:


In view of the above, based on the technical scrutiny of 7 (seven) bidders participated in the tender only 2(two) bidders i.e., M/s. Arjunvaisnavi Infrastructure Development Pvt Ltd and S T Ramesh (Ganapathi Stone Crusher) satisfy the all condition of the RFP and are “Responsive”.


The remaining 5 (five) bidders are not fully satisfies the all the conditions of the RFP, mainly Clause no Section-II, 4.4B (b) (i) i.e., the machinery and equipment required for the work is not met by the bidders. Two bidders i.e., M/s. PR & CO Engineers and M/s. Singo Engineering India Private Limited they have not submitted the bid documents cost quoting the reasons that they have the MSME registration.


However, as per the Government of India Gazette Notification dated 23.03.2012, the exemption is limited only to goods and services and not for the work. Hence, this bidders is also considered as “Non Responsive”

In the view of the findings of the technical committee decided to refer the matter to the Competent Authority for approval technical evaluation and also seek the approval of Competent Authority to open the financial bid for the responsive bidders.



(Manas Chakraborty)
Member
JAO/Assistant Head
(F&A)
RO-Bengaluru


(K.B. Jayakumara)
Member
Manager (T)
RO-Bengaluru


(T. Parvateesam)
Member
DGM (Tech.) & PD
PIU - Bengaluru


(J. H. Eligar)
Presiding Officer
General Manager
(Tech.)
RO-Bengaluru

Submitted,


Regional Officer



Handwritten text in the center of the page, possibly a signature or a note, written in a cursive script.

Handwritten text at the bottom right of the page, possibly a date or a short note.