



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200

फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

NHAI/KNT/Sankeshwar Bypass-MH-KNT Border/Pkg-II/2022/97702

Dated : 07.01.2022

To,
All the Bidders,
(as per list attached)

Sub: Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II) - **Result of Preliminary Technical Evaluation -reg.**

Ref: NIT no. NHAI/KNT/Sankeshwar Bypass-MH-KNT/Border/Pkg-II/2021 dated 16.08.2021.

This has reference to the above mentioned subject project for which the bid was invited vide NIT No. NIT no. NHAI/KNT/Sankeshwar Bypass-MH-KNT/Border/Pkg-II/2021 dated 16.08.2021. The Online Technical bids for the subject project were opened on 24.11.2021 at 11:30 AM at NHAI HQ. This is for all Concerned bidders that the bids submitted by their firms for the subject project have been evaluated and the results of preliminary technical evaluation are as under:-

Sl. No	Bidders	Whether Satisfying minimum Criteria of TTC (Rs. 1116.93 Cr.) for category 1,2,3 &4 required as per RFP	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Eligible Projects (Rs. 223.39 Cr) in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per RFP	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Net Worth (Rs. 55.85 Cr) required for Financial Capacity	Remarks
1	Larsen & Toubro Limited	3250.46	1650.91	59921.04	Qualified
2	Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited	1163.99	249.97	621.88	Qualified
3	EVASCON- BGSTPL (JV)	3600.02	332.59	1885.41	Qualified
	OJSC EURO-ASIAN Construction Corporation (EVASCON)	2061		316.45	Qualified
	B.G. Shirke Construction Technology Pvt. Ltd.	1539.03		1568.96	

सम 9/1/22

4	J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.	1409.78	742.20	381.29	Qualified
5	APCO Infratech Private Limited	2060.11	261.09	1451.39	Qualified
6	Eagle Infra India Ltd.- Raj Infrastructure Development (India) Private Limited (JV)	1136.39	392	446.37	Qualified
	Eagle Infra India Ltd.	783.02		446.37	
	Raj Infrastructure Development (L) Pvt. Ltd.	353.38		96.01	
7	ALTIS - SSBIVPL - SCCPL (JV)	1654.58	315.46	1899.25	Qualified
	ALTIS-Holding Corporation	924.73		1606.60	
	Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd.	304.63		60.56	
	Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd.	425.22		232.09	
8	DRN Infrastructure Private Limited	1221.73	350.14	164.73	Qualified
9	G R Infraprojects Limited	2886.26	468.27	3541.77	Qualified
10	Patel Infrastructure Ltd.	1835.84	971.71	666.82	Qualified
11	BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	1279.57	1040	1089.55	Qualified
12.	CDS Infra Projects Limited	1219.36	298	253.68	Qualified
13.	Gawar Construction Limited	2144.04	504	2189.35	Qualified
14.	Reliance Infrastructure Limited	3060.01	1774	4699.76	Qualified
15.	NSPR-BVSR JV	1294.41	580	302.83	Qualified
	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt Ltd	739.38		102.07	
	BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	555.03		200.76	
16.	SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	1214.79	357.54	141.13	Qualified
17.	ATLAS-ATCON (JV)	1312.54	236.74	183.26	Qualified
	Atlas Construction Private Limited	770.20		119.71	
	Atcon India Limited	542.34		63.55	
18.	MSKEL-MIPL (JV)	1197.30	*No (208.56)	Disqualified As bidder is not meeting the criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP	
	M. S Khurana Engineering Limited	730.96			
	Madhav Infra Projects Limited	466.34			

(Signature)

19.	GHV (India) Private Limited	1622.86	485.15	310.31	Qualified
20.	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	1916.95	380	465.17	Qualified
21.	NKC Projects Private Limited	1754.26	225.34	187.29	Qualified
22.	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	2375.29	913.19	3006.73	Qualified
23.	Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	1776.47	343.72	776.96	Qualified
24.	PNC Infratech Limited	2649.46	1635.75	2908.48	Qualified
25.	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited- Shelke Construction (JV) Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited Shelke Construction	**Disqualified as Other Member is not meeting criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) read with the Clause 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP			
26.	Dilip Buildcon Limited	2598.85	674.10	3917.20	Qualified
27.	Varindera Constructions Limited- Varaha Infra Limited (JV) Varindera Construction Limited Varaha Infra Limited	1230.73 697.88 532.85	320	340.57 176.63 163.94	Qualified
28.	Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.	1203.16	324.67	142.59	Qualified
29.	H.G. Infra Engineering Limited	1186.14	457.52	1032.10	Qualified
30.	Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.	1128.54	271.38	155	Qualified
31.	Montecarlo Limited	1787.63	531	1090.12	Qualified

2. The detailed evaluation report are enclosed at **Annexure-I**. The detailed evaluation is being uploaded on NHAI website. You are requested to submit your comments within 7 days i.e, 13.01.2022 upto 05:00 PM as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. If no comments are received by due date, financial bid of technically qualified bidders shall be opened.

Yours faithfully,

625 7/1/2022
(Sandeep Agrawal)
General Manager (T)-KNT

Sub: Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II) - List of bidders- reg.

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders
1.	M/s ALTIS Holding Corporation in Joint Venture with Sharda Construction and Corporation Pvt Ltd and Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Private Limited
2.	M/s APCO Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
3.	M/s ASHOKA BUILDCON LTD
4.	M/s ATLAS Constructions Pvt. Ltd in Joint Venture with ATCON India Limited
5.	M/s BSCPL Infrastructure Limited
6.	M/s CDS Infra Projects Limited
7.	M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited
8.	M/s Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.
9.	M/s DRN Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
10.	M/s Eagle Infra India Ltd in Joint Venture with Raj Infrastructure Development (India) Private Limited
11.	M/s OJSC EURO-ASIAN Construction Corporation EVRASCON in Joint Venture with B. G. Shirke Construction Technology Private Limited
12.	M/s G R Infraprojects Limited
13.	M/s Gawar Construction Limited
14.	M/s GHV (India) Private Limited
15.	M/s H. G. Infra Engineering Limited
16.	M/s J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.
17.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited in Joint Venture with Shelke Construction
18.	M/s KCC Buildcon Private Limited
19.	M/s Larsen & Toubro Limited
20.	M/s M.S. Khurana Engineering Limited in Joint Venture with Madhav Infra Projects Ltd.
21.	M/s Montecarlo Limited
22.	M/s NKC Projects Private Limited
23.	M/s NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd. With Joint Venture with BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
24.	M/s Patel Infrastructure Limited
25.	M/s PNC Infratech Limited
26.	M/s Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.
27.	M/s Reliance Infrastructure Limited
28.	M/S Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited
29.	M/s Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.
30.	M/s SRC Infra Developers Private Limited
31.	M/s VARINDERA Constructions Limited in Joint Venture with VARAHA INFRA Limited

6/11/2017

CALCULUS CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED

(CIN:U74140DL2005PTC131903)

K-4/16 GF, Near Gagan Bharti School, Mohan Garden, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi-110059

Date: 07th January, 2022

To,

Mr. Sandeep Agrawal
General Manager (T)
National Highways Authority of India,
G- 5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka,
New Delhi-110075

Sub:- Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II) on EPC mode – **submission of Final Technical Evaluation Report reg.**

Dear Sir,

This is with reference to the project “Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II) on EPC mode”. We are hereby submitting the Final Technical Evaluation Report.

Thanking You,



Uma Shankar
(Director)



Final Report

on

Evaluation of Proposals received

For

**Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017
to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement &
Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II)**

EPC: Rs. 1116.93 Crore

January, 2022

Calculus Consultants Private Limited

Table of Contents

Sl. No.	Description of Document	Page No.
1.	Prologue	
2.	Evaluation Criteria	
3.	Evaluation Process	
4.	Test of Responsiveness	
5.	RFP Evaluation Sheet (Technical and Financial Evaluation)	
6.	Summary of Evaluation	
7.	Annexure- I: FC Comments	

1. PROLOGUE

1.1 Calculus Consultants Private Limited has been appointed by National Highways Authority of India (Authority) for the evaluation of proposals received for the project **“Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package–II)”**. The Authority is engaged in the development, maintenance and management of National Highways and as part of this endeavor, the Authority has decided to undertake **“Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package–II)”**, and has decided to invite bids under **Single Stage Two cover system for selection of a bidder to whom the Project may be awarded.**

1.2 Project Summary:

Name of the Project	Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package–II)
Estimated Project Cost	Rs. 1116.93 Crore
Project Length	37.836 Km.
Construction period	2.5 years
Cost of RFP Documents	Rs. 1,20,000/-
Bid Due Date	23.11.2021
No. of Bidders	31 (Thirty One)

1.3 The Authority invited bids in August, 2021 and has received following bids from 31 (Thirty One) Bidders on 24.11.2021 for the above-mentioned project:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Single / JV)	Name & Share of the Lead Member (LM)	Name & Share of Other Member (OM)
1	Larsen & Toubro Limited	Single	NA	NA
2	Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited	Single	NA	NA
3	EVASCON- BGSCTPL (JV)	JV	OJSC Euro Asian Construction Corporation "EVASCON"	B. G. Shirke Construction Technology Private Limited (49%)

			(51%)	
4	J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Single	NA	NA
5	APCO Infratech Private Limited	Single	NA	NA
6	Eagle - Raj (JV)	JV	Eagle Infra India Ltd. (60%)	Raj Infrastructure Development (India) Private Limited (40%)
7	ALTIS – SSBIVPL – SCCPL (JV)	JV	“ALTIS-HOLDING” Corporation (51%)	Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. (25%) & Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. (24%)
8	DRN Infrastructure Private Limited	Single	NA	NA
9	G R Infraprojects Limited	Single	NA	NA
10	Patel Infrastructure Ltd.	Single	NA	NA
11	BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	Single	NA	NA
12	CDS Infra Projects Limited	Single	NA	NA
13	Gawar Construction Limited	Single	NA	NA
14	Reliance Infrastructure Limited	Single	NA	NA
15	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.- BVSRC Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	JV	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd. (74%)	BVSRC Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (26%)
16	SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	Single	NA	NA
17	Atlas Constructions Pvt. Ltd.- ATCON India Limited (JV)	JV	Atlas Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (51%)	ATCON India Limited (49%)
18	M.S. Khurana Engineering Limited- Madhav Infra Projects Limited (JV)	JV	M.S. Khurana Engineering Limited (80%)	Madhav Infra Projects Limited (20%)
19	GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Single	NA	NA
20	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Single	NA	NA
21	NKC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Single	NA	NA
22	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Single	NA	NA

23	Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd	Single	NA	NA
24	PNC Infratech Ltd	Single	NA	NA
25	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited- Shelke Construction (JV)	JV	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited (74%)	Shelke Construction (26%)
26	Dilip Buildcon Limited	Single	NA	NA
27	Varindera Constructions Limited- Varaha Infra Limited (JV)	JV	Varindera Constructions Limited (95%)	Varaha Infra Limited (5%)
28	Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd	Single	NA	NA
29	HG Infra Engineering Limited	Single	NA	NA
30	Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd	Single	NA	NA
31	Montecarlo Limited	Single	NA	NA

2. EVALUATION CRITERIA

	S.N	Particulars	Amount (Rs. in Cr.)
	1	Estimated Project Cost	1116.93
	2	Minimum Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2(i)	1116.93
In case of JV	(i)	For Lead Member minimum Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	670.16
	(ii)	For Other Member Minimum Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	223.39
	3	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 2.2.2.4(ii)	223.39
	4	For Mandatory Experience as per Clause 2.2.2.2(iii) of RFP,	Deleted
	5	Minimum Financial capacity for Net Worth required as per clause 2.2.2.3	55.85
In case of JV	(i)	For Lead Member Minimum Financial Capacity for Net Worth required to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	33.51
	(ii)	For Other Member Minimum Financial Capacity For Net Worth required to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	11.17
	6	Minimum Financial Capacity for Average Annual Turnover required as per Clause 2.2.2.3(ii)	167.54
In case of JV	(i)	For Lead Member Minimum Financial Capacity for Average Annual Turnover required to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	100.52
	(ii)	For Other Member Minimum Financial Capacity for Average Annual Turnover required to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	33.51
	7	Minimum Required Bid Capacity as per clause 2.2.2.1	More than 1116.93
In	(i)	Minimum Required Bid Capacity for Lead Member to fulfill as	670.16

case of JV		per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	
	(ii)	Minimum Required Bid Capacity for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)	223.39
1.			
	(i)	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6(c))	55.85
	(ii)	For a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , minimum percentage required of equity during the entire year for which Eligible Experience is being claimed;	26%
	(iii)	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6
	9	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6(ii))	55.85

3. EVALUATION PROCESS

- 3.1 Notice inviting RFP for “**Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II)**” was issued in August, 2021.
- 3.2 The estimated Project cost is Rs. 1116.93 crs with 2.5 years construction period and defect liability period of 10 years.
- 3.3 Bids were to be submitted online only at <https://etenders.gov.in> and on BIMS portal on or before 23.11.2021 (upto 1100 hours IST). Bids received online were opened on 24.11.2021 (at 1130 hours IST).
- 3.4 The online Bids submitted by the bidders were opened at 1130 hrs on 24.11.2021. The Digital Signatures were duly verified.
- 3.5 All the 31 (Thirty One) bidders have online submitted proof of payment of Rs. 1,20,000/- towards the cost of RFP document.
- 3.6 The evaluations have been carried for all the 31 (Thirty One) proposals. The evaluations of proposals have been carried out in the following manner:
- Tests of Responsiveness (Clause 3.1.6 of RFP)
 - Computation of Threshold Technical Capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP)
 - Computation of Financial Capability (Clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP)
 - Bid Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP)

Technical and financial evaluation result is placed at Para 5 below

- 3.7 The RFP evaluation has been broadly guided by the RFP document issued for this project. Given below is the summary of Broad principals adopted for evaluation which have been discussed and agreed upon during the final meeting with the Authority.

Guidelines for Evaluation

1. Wherever there are two or more interpretations of any particular issue, benefit of doubt is given to a bidder and clarifications are being sought for.
2. In case where the Bidder has claimed a project in a particular category, however which seem falls under a different category (i.e. lower category) based on our evaluation and information submitted by the Bidder, we have considered the same in that different category if bidder is getting qualified otherwise clarifications are being sought for.
3. Statutory Auditor/Client Certificate for the experience in construction of Major Bridges/RoBs/Flyovers under Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP is considered if the relevant information required for evaluation purpose is available in the submitted format.

4. In some cases, the information has not been submitted in the format as per the RFP document; however, all the relevant information required for evaluation purpose is available in the submitted format or from some other format. We have considered such formats for the purpose of the evaluation. However, in case of certificates for category 1& 2 if the certificate does not specifically exclude the contribution of subcontractors or others, the same has not been considered.
5. Full Evaluation has been done. However, some of the eligible projects have not been considered if the Bidder meets the minimum threshold capability as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP with the rest of the projects.
6. We have not checked the authenticity of the persons signing the Bid. We have assumed that the signatures pertain to the person who has been named in the relevant document.
7. The bid due date for this project was 23.11.2021. Therefore, as per provisions of RFP (Instructions no. 6 of Annex-IV to Appendix-1A), the financial years which would qualify for evaluation purpose are 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 & 2020-21.
8. Printed Annual Reports, MOA/AOA etc. has been considered even if these are not attested or notarized.
9. Full Evaluation of Projects has been done. However, in case eligibility of some projects claimed by the Bidder could not be established readily, such projects have not been considered if the Bidder meets the minimum threshold capability as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP with the rest projects.
10. The completed project is required to be considered as per Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. We have considered all those projects as “COMPLETED” which are commissioned/completed up to 31.03.2021 or the value of completed work is at least 90% as on up to 31.03.2021 and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated Project cost. Other Projects are treated as Ongoing. In case of JV, anyone member is required to meet the criteria.
11. If the Bidder is satisfying the Bid Capacity requirement after considering full LOA/ Contract Value for assessment of value of “B”, we have not checked the supporting client certificates.
12. If the Bidder has submitted Appendix-IX (Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs) in reply to clarification sought, the same is considered as it does not have any material impact on technical evaluation.

3.8 Test of Responsiveness:-

At first, all the Bids were evaluated by us to determine whether the Bidder is responsive as per the requirements of Clause 3.1.6 of RFP.

4. TEST OF RESPONSIVENESS

Details of Test of Responsiveness of all the Bidders are given below:

Bidder		Larsen & Toubro Limited	Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited	EVASCON- BGSCTPL (JV)		J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.	APCO Infratech Private Limited
S. No	Particulars			OJSC EURO-ASIAN Construction Corporation (EVASCON)	B.G. Shirke Construction Technology Pvt. Ltd.		
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	Rishi K Bansal, (Vice President)	Ameet Gadhoke, (Managing Director)	Krishna Chandra Behra (Regional Head, India)	Bipin Padmakar Kulkarni (Vice President)	Sachin Janardan Mhatre (Director)	Chandan Kumar Sinha (DGM-Tendering & Estimation)
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	S.V. Desai, (Whole Time Director)	T.R Gadhoke, (Director)	Aniruddha Das (Country Head, India)	Vijay Baburao Shirke (Chairmen & Managing Director)	Janardan Moru Mhatre Anata Moru Mhatre Vijay Moru Mhatre Sunil Dagadu Mhaskar Chetan Janardan	Vinod Kumar Singh (Joint Managing Director)

						Mhatre Pritam Janardan Mhatre	
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed ?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Consortium ?	N/A	N/A	Yes		N/A	N/A
2f	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed ?	N/A	N/A	Yes		N/A	N/A

Bidder		Eagle Infra India Ltd.- Raj Infrastructure Development (India) Private Limited (JV)		ALTIS – SSBIVPL – SCCPL (JV)			DRN Infrastructure Private Limited	G R Infraprojects Limited
S. No	Particulars	Eagle Infra India Ltd.	Raj Infrastructure Development (L) Pvt. Ltd.	ALTIS-Holding Corporation	Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd.	Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd.		
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	Manish U. Rupchandani (Director)	Ram Udaysing Nimbalkar (Managing Director)	Pravin Panjabrao Chaudhari, (Regional Head)	Dinesh Deeliprao Mane, (Director)	Shankar Baliram Morge, (Director)	Arunkumar C. Nadgouda (General Manager (Head of Contracts & Tendering))	Girish Kumar Joshi (Asst. GM- Tech.)
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	Udhav M. Rupchandani (Managing Director)	Adhiraj Nimbalkar (Director)	Santosh P Chaudhary, (India Representative)	Dilip Raosaheb Mane, (Director)	Mangal Shankar Morge, (Director)	Dinesh R. Nayak (MD)	Ajendera Kumar Agarwal (MD)
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board

		Resolution	Resolution	Resolution	Resolution	Resolution	Resolution	Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Consortium?	Yes		Yes			N/A	N/A
2f	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed?	Yes		Yes			N/A	N/A

Bidder		Patel Infrastructure Ltd.	BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	CDS Infra Projects Limited	Gawar Construction Limited	Reliance Infrastructure Limited
S. No	Particulars					
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	Shreyas A. Patel (AS)	K. Saravana Kumar (Sr. Vice President (Contracts))	Abhijit Tripathy (Vice President)	Ravinder Kumar (Director)	Lakshminarayan C Bhat (Senior Vice President)
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	Arvind V. Patel (Managing Director)	Bollineni Krishnaiah (Chairman)	Sandeep Chandra (MD)	Rakesh Kumar (Director)	Paresh Rathod (Company Secretary)
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Consortium?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2f.	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bidders		NSPR-BVSR JV		SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	ATLAS-ATCON (JV)		MSKEL-MIPL (JV)	
S. No.	Particulars	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt Ltd	BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd.		Atlas Construction Private Limited	Atcon India Limited	M. S Khurana Engineering Limited	Madhav Infra Projects Limited
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	N. Siva Prakash Reddy, (Managing Director)	P. Ravi Sankar Reddy, (Manager-Tenders)	M Raja Gopal (MD)	Subhash Gupta (Director)	Rahul Gupta (Director)	Vishal Khurana (Director)	Kirankumar M. Kelkar (DGM-Tender)
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	N. Anurag Reddy N. Siva and Prakash Reddy, (Managing Director)	Mr. B. Srinivasul Reddy, MD and Mr. Gopal Reddy	D Venkatesulu (Director)	Mamta Gupta (Director)	R.K Gupta (Managing Director)	Ashish Khurana (Managing Director)	Amit Khurana (Managing Director)
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead	Yes		N/A	Yes		Yes	

	Member of Consortium?				
2f	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed?	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes

Bidders		GHV (India) Private Limited	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Nkc Projects Private Limited	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.
S. No.	Particulars					
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	Ayoob Shaikh (Vice President)	Shivraj Kundu (Director)	Rohit Kumar Gupta (Director)	Ajay A. Kankariya (DGM- Business Development)	Sameer Modh (Manager, Business Development)
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	Jahid H. Vijapura (MD)	Vijay Kundu (Director)	Naresh Kumar (Whole Time Director)	Sanjay P. Londhe (Director)	Jagdishchandra R Agrawal (Executive Director)
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Consortium?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2f.	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bidders		PNC Infratech Limited	Kalyan Toll Infra- JV		Dilip Buildcon Limited	Varindera Construction - JV	
S. No.	Particulars		Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Shelke Construction		Varindera Construction Limited	Varaha Infra Limited
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	Ashwani Rawat (Deputy General Manager, Admin)	Krishan Autar Kansal (DGM)	Babnrao D. Shelke (Proprietor)	Kundan Kumar Das (AGM- Business Development)	Varinder Kumar Garg	Rajesh Bhoora
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	Talluri Raghupati Rao (Whole Time Director)	Rajesh Garg (MD)	Babnrao D. Shelke (Proprietor)	Dilip Suryavanshi (Managing Director)	Vivek Garg	Prem Singh Rao (MD)
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Affidavit Sole Proprietor	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Consortium?	N/A	Yes		N/A	Yes	
2f.	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed?	N/A	Yes		N/A	Yes	

Bidders		Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.	H.G. Infra Engineering Limited	Ravi InfraBuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Montecarlo Limited
S. No.	Particulars				
1	Whether scanned copy of Bid Security Declaration enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for signing of Bid enclosed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2a.	Name of Attorney holder	Sanjay Manadeo Autade, Director	Mr. Harendra Singh, (Managing Director)	J.S. Rathor, GM-Business Development	Asis Kumar Ghosh, General Manager
2b.	Power of Attorney Issuer details	Kurmadas Audumbar Chatake	Dinesh Kumar Goyal, (Executive Director)	Mr. Narayan Singh Rao, Director	Naresh Kumar Suthar, Executive Director
2c.	Supporting Documents (in favor of executor)	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution	Board Resolution
2d.	Whether Notarized & Witnessed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2e.	Scanned copy of Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Consortium?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2f.	Scanned copy of Joint Bidding Agreement is enclosed?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

5. RFP EVALUATION (TECHNICAL, FINANCIAL AND BID CAPACITY EVALUATION)

(In Crore)

Sl. No	Bidders	Whether Satisfying minimum Criteria of TTC (Rs. 1116.93 Cr.) for category 1,2,3 &4 required as per RFP	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Completed Eligible Projects (Rs. 223.39 cr) in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per RFP	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Net Worth (Rs. 55.85 Cr) required for Financial Capacity	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria of Average Annual Turnover for the last 5 F.Y (Rs. 167.54 Cr) required for Financial Capacity	Whether Satisfying minimum criteria (Rs. 1116.93 Cr) required for Bid Capacity
1.	Larsen & Toubro Limited	Yes (3250.46)	Yes (1650.91)	Yes (59921.04)	Yes (76579.70)	Yes (5678.28)
2.	Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited	Yes (1163.99)	Yes (249.97)	Yes (621.88)	Yes (425.37)	Yes (4392.63)
3.	EVRASCON- BGSCTPL (JV)	Yes (3600.02)	Yes	Yes (1885.41)	Yes (4826.47)	Yes (14649.29)
	OJSC EURO-ASIAN Construction Corporation (EVRASCON)	Yes (2061)		Yes (316.45)	Yes (1261.78)	Yes (13063.47)

	B.G. Shirke Construction Technology Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (1539.03)	(332.59)	Yes (1568.96)	Yes (3564.69)	Yes (1585.83)
4.	J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (1409.78)	Yes (742.20)	Yes (381.29)	Yes (726.49)	Yes (3404.37)
5.	APCO Infratech Private Limited	Yes (2060.11)	Yes (261.09)	Yes (1451.39)	Yes (2868.05)	Yes (18824.59)
6.	Eagle Infra India Ltd.- Raj Infrastructure Development (India) Private Limited (JV)	Yes (1136.39)	Yes (392)	Yes (542.38)	Yes (746.80)	Yes (3132.77)
	Eagle Infra India Ltd.	Yes (783.02)		Yes (446.37)	Yes (587.24)	Yes (2650.09)
	Raj Infrastructure Development (L) Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (353.38)		Yes (96.01)	Yes (159.56)	Yes (482.68)
7.	ALTIS – SSBIVPL – SCCPL (JV)	Yes (1654.58)	Yes (315.46)	Yes (1899.25)	Yes (3627.07)	Yes (20715.96)
	ALTIS-Holding Corporation	Yes (924.73)		Yes (1606.60)	Yes (3050.10)	Yes (17138.68)
	Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd.	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes

		(304.63)		(60.56)	(131.07)	(1432.62)
	Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (425.22)		Yes (232.09)	Yes (445.90)	Yes (2144.67)
8.	DRN Infrastructure Private Limited	Yes (1221.73)	Yes (350.14)	Yes (164.73)	Yes (812.38)	Yes (4163.62)
9.	G R Infraprojects Limited	Yes (2886.26)	Yes (468.27)	Yes (3541.77)	Yes (5095.60)	Yes (25545.20)
10.	Patel Infrastructure Ltd.	Yes (1835.84)	Yes (971.71)	Yes (666.82)	Yes (1579.91)	Yes (2417.75)
11.	BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	Yes (1279.57)	Yes (1040)	Yes (1089.55)	Yes (1270.13)	Yes (1314)
12.	CDS Infra Projects Limited	Yes (1219.36)	Yes (298)	Yes (253.68)	Yes (662.35)	Yes (1687.34)
13.	Gawar Construction Limited	Yes (2144.04)	Yes (504)	Yes (2189.35)	Yes (3854.85)	Yes (10627.73)
14.	Reliance Infrastructure Limited	Yes (3060.01)	Yes (1774)	Yes (4699.76)	Yes (7196.91)	Yes (2203.26)

15.	NSPR-BVSR JV	Yes (1294.41)	Yes (580)	Yes (302.83)	Yes (918.22)	Yes (1729.43)
	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt Ltd	Yes (739.38)		Yes (102.07)	Yes (237.36)	Yes (878.39)
	BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (555.03)		Yes (200.76)	Yes (680.86)	Yes (851.04)
16.	SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	Yes (1214.79)	Yes (357.54)	Yes (141.13)	Yes (526.35)	Yes (1896.96)
17.	ATLAS-ATCON (JV)	Yes (1312.54)	Yes (236.74)	Yes (183.26)	Yes (399.45)	Yes (3595.70)
	Atlas Construction Private Limited	Yes (770.20)		Yes (119.71)	Yes (200.52)	Yes (2252.34)
	Atcon India Limited	Yes (542.34)		Yes (63.55)	Yes (198.94)	Yes (1343.36)
18.	MSKEL-MIPL (JV)	Yes (1197.30)	*No (208.56)	Not evaluated as the Bidder is not meeting the criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP		
	M. S Khurana Engineering Limited	Yes				

		(730.96)				
	Madhav Infra Projects Limited	Yes (466.34)				
19.	GHV (India) Private Limited	Yes (1622.86)	Yes (485.15)	Yes (310.31)	Yes (1178.34)	Yes (4443.21)
20.	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Yes (1916.95)	Yes (380)	Yes (465.17)	Yes (1187.47)	Yes (3218.95)
21.	NKC Projects Private Limited	Yes (1754.26)	Yes (225.34)	Yes (187.29)	Yes (589.23)	Yes (2116.71)
22.	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Yes (2375.29)	Yes (913.19)	Yes (3006.73)	Yes (3477.20)	Yes (10331.57)
23.	Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (1776.47)	Yes (343.72)	Yes (776.96)	Yes (2540.98)	Yes (6750.21)
24.	PNC Infratech Limited	Yes (2649.46)	Yes (1635.75)	Yes (2908.48)	Yes (3509.90)	Yes (12245.34)
25.	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited- Shelke Construction (JV)	***Disqualified as Other Member is not meeting criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) read with the				

	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Clause 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP				
	Shelke Construction					
26.	Dilip Buildcon Limited	Yes (2598.85)	Yes (674.10)	Yes (3917.20)	Yes (8680.97)	Yes (25310.35)
27.	Varindera Constructions Limited- Varaha Infra Limited (JV)	Yes (1230.73)	Yes (320)	Yes (340.57)	Yes (758.61)	Yes (2966.93)
	Varindera Construction Limited	Yes (697.88)		Yes (176.63)	Yes (408.94)	Yes (1855.10)
	Varaha Infra Limited	Yes (532.85)		Yes (163.94)	Yes (349.67)	Yes (1111.83)
28.	Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (1203.16)	Yes (324.67)	Yes (142.59)	Yes (385.66)	Yes (2268.81)
29.	H.G. Infra Engineering Limited	Yes (1186.14)	Yes (457.52)	Yes (1032.10)	Yes (1954.01)	Yes (7035.95)
30.	Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (1128.54)	Yes (271.38)	Yes (155)	Yes (588.88)	Yes (1929.87)

31.	Montecarlo Limited	Yes (1787.63)	Yes (531)	Yes (1090.12)	Yes (2489.41)	Yes (4685.90)
-----	--------------------	------------------	--------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

18. *MSKEL-MIPL (JV)

Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states that – “Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost”

In view of the above Clause no single project has been found from the claimed eligible projects by the Lead Member as well as Other Member in category “1” or “3” which is completed or more than completed upto 31.03.2021.

Hence, Bidder is disqualified in terms of Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP

25. **Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited- Shelke Construction (JV):

Other Member i.e. Shelke Construction has claimed Technical Capacity of Rs. 242.03 crore against required Technical capacity i.e. 223.39 crore (20% of Rs. 116.93 crore).

Bidder has claimed 6 projects with projects code “A-2” to “F-2”

Out of 6 projects, receipts of projects with project code “D-2”, “E-2” and “F-2” are less than Rs. 55.85 crore for the last 5 financial years which is required as per Clause 2.2.2.6 of RFP.

Further, in projects “D-2” & “F-2”, Applicant has also claimed receipts for the year 2021-22 which are not considered as per provision of RFP.

Accordingly, assessed Technical capacity of the Other Member comes to Rs. 149.02 crore against the requirement of Rs. 223.39 Crore.

In view of the above Other Member fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 read with the Clause 2.2.2.4 (ii) of RFP i.e. the other member shall have a minimum Technical Capacity of Rs. 223.39 crore.

Hence, Disqualified.

6. SUMMARY OF FINAL EVALUATION

6.1 It was found during evaluation that some of the data/information provided by bidders are not adhering to Clauses given in the RFP. So, to facilitate the evaluation process, **Clause 3.1.4** of the RFP authorizes NHAI to seek clarifications from the Applicant. Accordingly, **clarifications were sought from 26 (Twenty Six) Bidders out of 31 (Thirty One) bidders:**

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Single Entity/JV)
1.	Larsen & Toubro Limited	Single
2.	Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited	Single
3.	EVASCON- BGSCPTL (JV)	JV
4.	J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Single
5.	Eagle - Raj (JV)	JV
6.	ALTIS – SSBIVPL – SCCPL (JV)	JV
7.	DRN Infrastructure Private Limited	Single
8.	G R Infraprojects Limited	Single
9.	Patel Infrastructure Ltd.	Single
10.	BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	Single
11.	CDS Infra Projects Limited	Single
12.	Gawar Construction Limited	Single
13.	Reliance Infrastructure Limited	Single
14.	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.- BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	JV
15.	SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	Single
16.	Atlas Constructions Pvt. Ltd.- ATCON India Limited (JV)	JV
17.	M.S. Khurana Engineering Limited- Madhav Infra Projects Limited (JV)	JV
18.	GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Single
19.	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Single
20.	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Single

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Single Entity/JV)
21.	Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd	Single
22.	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited- Shelke Construction (JV)	JV
23.	Dilip Buildcon Limited	Single
24.	Varindera Constructions Limited- Varaha Infra Limited (JV)	JV
25.	Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd	Single
26.	HG Infra Engineering Limited	Single

6.2 Summary of clarifications sought for and the replies received from the Bidder are placed at Annexure-I.

6.3 Bidder wise status of evaluation is as follows:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Single Entity/JV)	Q/DQ/Provisionally Qualified/Non responsive	Reason for Disqualification/Provisionally Qualified
1.	Larsen & Toubro Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
2.	Roadway Solutions India Infra Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
3.	EVRASCON- BGSTPL (JV)	JV	Qualified	Nil
4.	J M Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Single	Qualified	Nil
5.	APCO Infratech Private Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
6.	Eagle - Raj (JV)	JV	Qualified	Nil
7.	ALTIS – SSBIVPL – SCCPL (JV)	JV	Qualified	Nil
8.	DRN Infrastructure Private Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
9.	G R Infraprojects Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
10.	Patel Infrastructure Ltd.	Single	Qualified	Nil
11.	BSCPL Infrastructure Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
12.	CDS Infra Projects Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
13.	Gawar Construction Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
14.	Reliance Infrastructure Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
15.	NSPR Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.- BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	JV	Qualified	Nil
16.	SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
17.	Atlas Constructions Pvt. Ltd.- ATCON India Limited (JV)	JV	Qualified	Nil

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Single Entity/JV)	Q/DQ/Provisionally Qualified/Non responsive	Reason for Disqualification/Provisionally Qualified
18.	M.S. Khurana Engineering Limited- Madhav Infra Projects Limited (JV)	JV	Disqualified	Refer Para 5 above
19.	GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Single	Qualified	Nil
20.	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
21.	NKC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Single	Qualified	Nil
22.	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
23.	Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd	Single	Qualified	Nil
24.	PNC Infratech Ltd	Single	Qualified	Nil
25.	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited- Shelke Construction (JV)	JV	Disqualified	Refer Para 5 above
26.	Dilip Buildcon Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
27.	Varindera Constructions Limited- Varaha Infra Limited (JV)	JV	Qualified	Nil
28.	Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd	Single	Qualified	Nil
29.	HG Infra Engineering Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil
30.	Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd	Single	Qualified	Nil
31.	Montecarlo Limited	Single	Qualified	Nil

Conclusion

SL. NO	STATUS	NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS	REMARKS
1	Bid received by NHAI	31	Nil
2	Non-eligible Applicants due to Non Responsiveness	00	Nil
3	Remaining Bidders	31	Nil
4	Clarifications required from Applicants/Bidders	25	Nil
5	Qualified (Final Outcome)	29	Please refer Para 5 above
6	Provisionally Qualified	00	Nil
7	Disqualified	02	Please refer Para 5 above

Note (Further Course of Action):

- i. The Authority shall ensure that they should display the result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days including reasons for non- responsiveness and thereafter opens the financial bid (as per clause 2.19 of RFP).
- ii. The Authority is required to confirm the shareholding pattern of the Lowest Bidder as per requirement under Clause 2.1.12 of RFP.
- iii. Bidders have provided information regarding Annexure-VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement).

Annexure- I

Name of the Bidder: 1. Larsen & Tourbo Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1.	Appendix- III (Power of Attorney)	NA	Bidder has submitted Appendix- III (Power of Attorney) executed on 07.09.2021 by Mr. S.V Desai (Whole Time Director) to Mr. Rishi K Bansal (Vice President). Bidder needs to submit extract of the charter documents and documents such as a board or shareholders' resolution/ power of attorney in favour of the person executing this Power of Attorney for the delegation of power hereunder on behalf of the Bidder.	Bidder has submitted Appointment of Mr. S.V. Desai as Whole Time Director and Board Resolution along with Power of Attorney in Technical Submission, please refer page No. 000158 to 000164. However, for your ready reference Bidder is enclosing herewith the Appointment of Mr. S.V. Desai as Whole Time Director and Board Resolution with the replies, please refer Annexure-I.	As the bidder has now submitted Appointment of Mr. S.V. Desai as Whole Time Director and Board Resolution along with Power of Attorney. The same may be accepted.
2.	Annexure-VI (Value of B)	NA	The bidder has submitted Annexure-VI (Value of B i.e. existing commitments and on-going works) upto 30th June, 2021. i. As per RFP, the bidder is required to provide Annexure-VI i.e. the details of all the existing commitments & on-going works as on Bid Due Date (i.e.	i. We, being a listed company, would be able to provide Annexure-VI i.e. the details of all the existing commitments & ongoing works Certificate quarterly as of the bid submitted date and as the same is based on the Audited results which is done every quarter and accordingly we have provided	As the bidder has now provided Annexure- VI i.e. the details of all the existing commitments & ongoing works Certificate as on 30.06.2021 along with Technical Submission, and the same as on 30.09.2021, Also, the list of Projects awarded from 01-10-2021 to 23.11.2021 (Bid Due Date). The

			23.11.2021) duly certified by its Authorised Signatory & Statutory Auditor. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021. ii. Further in respect of value of work done claimed in Annexure-VI (Value of B table) a certificate duly signed by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects is required to be submitted for the projects claimed. Bidder to submit the same.	Annexure- VI i.e. the details of all the existing commitments & ongoing works Certificate as on 30.06.2021 along with Technical Submission, also submitting the same as on 30.09.2021, please refer Annexure-II. Also, for your perusal, enclosing herewith the list of Projects awarded from 01-10-2021 to 23.11.2021 (Bid Due Date), please refer Annexure-III. ii. We, being a listed company, and having around 1000 projects, it is not possible to provide all the certificates showing requisite details, however, enclosing herewith the some of the available certificates, please refer Annexure-IV.	same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
--	--	--	---	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 2. ROADWAY SOLUTIONS INDIA INFRA LIMITED					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-I (Details of Bidder)	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure-I (Details of Bidder) wherein table of para 7 (b) [point no. (i) to (xx)] are not as per the format given in RFP. Bidder to clarify.	The submitted Annexure-I was prepared as per RFP dated 16.08.202. However. The corrected Annexure-I (details of Bidder) hereby attached as Attachment-1	As the bidder has now submitted the revised Annexure- I. The same may be accepted inline with the earlier submitted Annexure- I.
2	Appendix IA – Annex-IV –Details of Eligible Project (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii))		Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are	The bidder has attached page No. 380 to 391 of Technical hid document the similar work as to meeting the 20% of the estimated cost of Rs. 223.39 Cr. as follows (Attachment- 4) 1) Bidder has completed the project "b" with Rs. 242.13 Cr. and the same certified by client and Statutory Auditor. 2) Bidder has completed the project with Rs. 1312.10 Cr. and the same certified by client and Statutory Auditor. 3) Bidder has completed the project "h" with Rs. 270.60 Cr. out of Rs. 300.19 Cr. which is more than 90% of Project cost and the same certified by SPV.	As the bidder has now clarified that the details of the projects claimed for the eligibility under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

			meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed or more than 90% completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.	IE and the Statutory Auditor. Hence. the bidder has met the qualification criteria of the RFP document.	
3	Annexure-IV (Details of eligible project)	“b”	The Bidder has claimed project “b” as eligible project. Project cost in the submitted Client Certificate dated 03.07.2019 for the above claimed project is mentioned as Rs. 161.16 cr and revised contract value is Rs. 249.97 cr. However, Project cost for the said project in Annexure-IV as well as in Statutory Auditor’s certificate dated 25.09.2021 is mentioned as Rs. 161.16 cr. only. Bidder to clarify.	The project cost as per the original contract is 161.16 Cr. Further, the cost has been revised as per Change of Scope to Rs. 249.97 Cr. and the same certified by Statutory Auditor of the Bidder. Copy of the certificate from the client and Statutory Auditor with completed project cost of Rs. 242.13 Cr. hereby attached once again as Attachment -3.	As the bidder has now clarified that the project cost as per the original contract is 161.16 Cr. the cost has been revised as per Change of Scope to Rs. 249.97 Cr. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
4	Annexure-VI (Value of B)	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure- VI (Value of B) wherein para above the table of Value of B bidder has mentioned “ <i>A table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next <u>2 years</u> is as follows “</i> instead of “ <i>A table containing value of all the existing commitments and</i>	Bidder has obtained certificate for the bidding, of another project and the same was attached for this bid purpose. Also. All the ongoing commitment is under 2 years and the bid capacity more than the requirement for the bid.	As the bidder has now undertaken that the value of ongoing commitment remains same for 2.5 years. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

			on-going works to be completed during the next <u>2.5 years</u> is as follows”. Bidder to clarify.	Hence. We undertake that the value remains same for 2.5 years.	
5	Appendix-VI (Integrity Pact)	NA	Bidder has submitted Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact) wherein Article- 8 bidder has mentioned “<i>The Principal appoints Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.) {Reference Office Order No. 11019/2797/2021-Admn(E 76881)/870-Manual dated 1/105.2021 and Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) {Reference Office Order No. 11019/2797/2021-Admn (E- 76883/37312)/912-Manual dated 28.05.2021 competent and credible Independent External Monitor for this Pact after approval from Central Vigilance Commission. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.</i>” Instead of “<i>The Principal has appointed Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.), Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) and Sh. Animesh Chauhan (Ex-MD & CEO, Oriental Bank of Commerce) as Independent External Monitor (IEM) for this pact. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties</i>	The submitted Appendix-VI was prepared as per RFP dated 16.08.202. However. The corrected Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact) hereby attached as Attachment-4	As the bidder has now submitted the revised Annexure- VI. The same may be accepted inline with the earlier submitted Annexure- VI.

			<i>comply with the obligations under this agreement.”</i> <i>Bidder to clarify.</i>		
6	Undertaking reg. Unaudited Financials	NA	Bidder has submitted Undertaking reg. Unaudited Financials for the FY 2020-21 from its Statutory Auditor i.e. Khanvalkar Karwe & Associates, Chartered Accountants dated 14.06.2021. Bidder to clarify and submit an undertaking from its SA if the financials for the FY 2020-21 are still unaudited on Bid Due Date.	The Audit for the FY 2020-21 is under progress till the Bid due date and the Gov. of India given time to submit the Audit Report till 31.12.2021. The Certificate by the Statuary Auditor stating the same is attached as Attachment 5	As the bidder has now submitted the revised Undertaking reg. Unaudited Financials for the FY 2020-21 from its Statutory Auditor i.e. Khanvalkar Karwe & Associates, Chartered Accountants on Bid Due Date. The same is accepted.

Name of the Bidder: 3. EVRASCON- BGSCTPL (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure - VI – To Calculate the value of “B” For Other Member	NA	For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 29.10.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	We hereby submitting self undertaking and Undertaking certifying from the statutory auditor that no additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due date ie; 23-Nov-2021.	As the bidder has now submitted the self undertaking and Undertaking certifying from the statutory auditor that no additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due date ie; 23-Nov-2021. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

Name of the Bidder: 4. J. M. Mhatre Infra Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure - VI – To Calculate the value of “B” For Other Member	NA	For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 24.08.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or	It is to clarify that, the bid capacity of Rs. 3911.83 Crs. as mentioned on document dtd. 24.08.2021 certified by CA is <u>subsists</u> on due date of submission of the tender document i.e. on 23.11.2021. We have considered the amount Rs. 3911.83 Crs. as bid capacity even though some of our work executed during the period from 24.08.2021 to 23.11.2021 which not taken in to account for deriving bid capacity. Initially Tender Submission date was 29.09.2021 as per RFP, but Due to Corrigendum’s dated 27.09.2021, 11.10.2021, 22.10.2021 & 02.11.2021 the Tender Submission date were extended upto 23.11.2021.	As the bidder has now confirmed that there was no change in Bid Capacity from the date of certification to the Bid due date of this project. SA of the Bidders also certifies the same. This fulfils the requirement of the clarification sought. Hence the same may be considered.

			equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	Therefore, we confirm that there was no change in Our Bid Capacity. The same shall be certified by our Statutory Auditor. (Copy Enclosed)	
2	Undertaking as per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii)	NA	As per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) If the Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) are not audited and the applicant could not make it available as on the Bid Due date, the Applicant shall give an undertaking to the same effect and the SA shall certify the same. However, Undertaking submitted by the Bidder is dated 09.10.2021 instead of Bid due date. Bidder to clarify exact status of the Audit of Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) as on the Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 and Statutory Auditor shall also certify the same.	Initially Tender Submission date was 29.09.2021 as per RFP, After the Corrigendum No. 1 dated 27.09.2021, it was changed to 13.10.2021. As per Corrigendum No. 1, we had taken Undertaking from Our Statutory Auditor for Non-Submission of Annual Accounts for the F. Y. 2020-21 dated 09.10.2021. The Tender Submission date again extended upto 23.11.2021 and till date Our Annual Accounts for the F. Y. 2020-21 were not Finalized. The same shall be certified by our Statutory Auditor. (Copy Enclosed)	As the Bidder has now undertaken that Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) are not audited and they could not make it available as on the Bid Due date, an undertaking to the same effect is submitted and the SA is also certifying the same. This fulfils the requirement of the clarification sought. Hence the same may be considered.

Name of the Bidder: 6. Eagle Infra India Ltd.- Raj Infrastructure Development (India) Private Limited (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure -VI – To Calculate the value of “B” For Lead Member	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure -VI – To Calculate the value of “B” For Lead Member however the same is not in readable form. Bidder to submit the clear copy.	We are resubmitting herewith the Annexure-VI	As the bidder has now resubmitted the readable form of Annexure- VI (Value of B). The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
2	Annexure -VI – To Calculate the value of “B” For Other Member	NA	For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 24.09.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the	We are resubmitting herewith the corrected date as per Bid Due Date	As the bidder is now resubmitting the corrected date as per Bid Due Date in Value of B. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

			value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
3	Annexure- VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement))	NA	Bidder i.e. Lead Member has submitted Annexure- VIII (Annexure- VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement) however bidder has mentioned “ <u>NA</u> ” in place of “ <u>No/Nil/Yes (reason for delay)</u> ”. Bidder to clarify.	We are resubmitting herewith the Corrected Annexure-VIII	As the bidder is now resubmitting the Corrected Annexure-VIII. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
4	Financials	NA	Bidder i.e. Other Member has not submitted audited Financials with Independent Auditor’s Report, Notes to financials etc. for the FY 2020-21. Bidder to submit the same.	We are resubmitting herewith the Independent Auditor’s Report, for the FY 2020-21.	As the bidder is now resubmitting Independent Auditor’s Report. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

Name of the Bidder: 7. ALTIS-Holding Corporation- Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd.- Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Responsiveness Clause 2.11.1(g)	NA	The document fee has been verified by the NHAI accounts division, but the amount of the fees was not traceable. A query in this regard was asked by the Technical division. The Bidder has provided the details, however, the amount of document fee still cannot be traced. Bidder to provide proper details including the name of the Bank from which the payment has been made to verify the same. Submission of copy of online receipt towards the payment of cost of Bid document in Authority's designated bank account is the requirement of clause 2.11.1 (g) of the RFP, failing which the Bidder will be considered as non-responsive.	We hereby submitting the bank statement of the bank through which transaction was done towards cost of Tender Documents was processed and NEFT receipt dully signed by IDBI bank through the transaction was done. We made the Payment from IDBI Bank on 20/11/2021 amounting to Rs 1,20,000/- to designated Beneficiary's Bank Account i.e. National Highways Authority of India having bank account in Canara bank Account No. 8598201005819 and having IFSC Code CNRB0008598.	As the bidder has now submitted details for the submission of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,20,000/-. Authority may confirm the same from the concern division.
2	Annexure- I (Details of Bidder)	NA	Bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. & Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. has submitted Annexure- I (Details of Bidder) respectively wherein	We hereby submitting revised Annex – I (Details of Bidder) after rectification as required for Other Member i.e. Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. &	As the bidder i.e. both the Other Members have now submitted the revised Annex – I (Details of Bidder). The same may be

			Para 7(d) table point no. (ix) bidder has mentioned “<i>Fails to fulfil its obligations to maintain a highway in a satisfactory condition in spite of two rectification notices issued in this regards</i>” Instead of “Damages/penalties recommended by Independent/ Authority’s Engineer during O&M Period and remedial works are still not taken up”. Bidder to clarify.	Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. For consideration.	accepted inline with the earlier submitted Annexure- I.
3	Annexure- VI (Value of B)	NA	Bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. & Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. has submitted Annexure- VI (Value of B) respectively wherein para above the table of value of B bidder has mentioned “<i>A table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going workings to be completed during the next <u>2 years</u></i>” instead of “A table containing value of all existing commitments and on-going workings to be completed during the next <u>2.5 years</u>”. Bidder to clarify.	We hereby submitting revised Annexure- VI (Value of B) after rectification containing value of all existing commitments and ongoing works to be completed during the next 2.5 years as required for Other Member i.e. Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. for consideration dully signed by Statutory auditor of the Company along with undertaking for same. Inadvertently, in the submitted Annexure – VI we have mentioned 2 years in para above the table of value of B so we humbly request you to consider it is as 2.5 years, as all the existing commitments and ongoing works have been considered in Annexure-VI (Value of B)	As the bidder i.e. Other Member Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. has clarified that it was inadvertently mentioned 2 years in place of 2.5 years and has submitted revised Annexure- VI (Value of B). The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

				for Other Member Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd.	
4	Appendix - IX (Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs))	NA	Bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. & Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. has submitted Appendix- IX (Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs)) respectively, wherein 3rd para bidder has mentioned “<i>I/We have read the clause regarding restrictions on procurement from a bidder of a country which shares a land border with India and on sub-contracting to contractors from such countries;</i>” Instead of “<i>I certify that this Bidder is not from such a country or, if from such a country, has been registered with the Competent Authority and will not sub-contract any work to a contractor from such countries unless such contractor is registered with the Competent Authority.</i>” Bidder to clarify.	We hereby submitting revised Appendix – IX Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs) after rectification as required for Other Member i.e. Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. & Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. for consideration.	As the bidder Other Member i.e. Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. & Sharda Construction & Corporation Pvt. Ltd. submitting revised Appendix – IX Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs) after rectification . The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
5	Annexure- VI (Value of B)	NA	Bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. has submitted Annexure- VI (Value of B) wherein para above the table of Value of	We hereby submitting revised Annexure- VI (Value of B) after rectification of the table containing value of all existing commitments and ongoing workings to	As the bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. has revised Annexure- VI (Value of B) after

		B bidder has mentioned “A table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next <u>2 years</u> is as follows “ instead of “A table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next <u>2.5 years</u> is as follows”. Bidder to clarify. Further, For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 11.09.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021	be completed during the next 2.5 years as required for Other Member i.e. Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. for consideration dully signed by Statutory auditor of the Company along with undertaking.	rectification of the table containing value of all existing commitments and ongoing workings to be completed during the next 2.5 years. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
--	--	---	--	--

			In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
6	Undertaking as per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) Bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd.	NA	As per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) If the Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) are not audited and the applicant could not make it available as on the Bid Due date, the Applicant shall give an undertaking to the same effect and the SA shall certify the same. However, Undertaking submitted by the Bidder is dated 01.11.2021 instead of Bid due date. Bidder to clarify exact status of the Audit of Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) as on the Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 and Statutory Auditor shall also certify the same.	As Other Member We hereby submitting the Undertaking for Balance Sheet of FY 2020 – 21 dully signed by SA for Other Member i.e. Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. for consideration.	As the bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. has now submitted Undertaking for Balance Sheet of FY 2020 – 21 dully signed by SA. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
7	Undertaking as per the	NA	As per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) If the Annual Accounts for the latest financial	Our latest financial year i.e. FY 2020 has been audited and we have submitted	As the bidder i.e. Lead Member ALTIS-Holding Corporation

	clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) Bidder i.e. Lead Member ALTIS-Holding Corporation		year (i.e. 2020-21/20) are not audited and the applicant could not make it available as on the Bid Due date, the Applicant shall give an undertaking to the same effect and the SA shall certify the same. Bidder needs to submit the same.	the Audited Balance Sheet for FY 2020 along with our Technical Bid. However we hereby resubmitting the Audited Balance Sheet of Lead Member i.e. “ALTIS-Holding Corporation” for consideration.	has now submitted Undertaking for Balance Sheet of FY 2020 – 21 dully signed by SA. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
8	Financials Bidder i.e. Other Member Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures	NA	Bidder has not submitted the Auditor’s Report for the FY 205-16 to 2019-20 which is required as per clause 2.2.2.8 of RFP. Bidder to clarify.	As Other Member i.e. M/s Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. was partnership firm and they have incorporated to Private Limited Company on 13.06.2021. So in case of Partnership Firm Auditor Report is not applicable. The Copy of Certification of Incorporation and Form No. URC - 1 is attached for ready reference for consideration.	As the bidder has now clarified that Other Member i.e. M/s Shri Satyasai Baba Infra Ventures Pvt. Ltd. was partnership firm and they have incorporated to Private Limited Company on 13.06.2021. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
9	Annex-IV – Details of Eligible Project (Clause	For Projects claimed by LM &	Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh</i>	We hereby submitting the Completion Certificate dully signed by Client as proof which is required. The Certificate clearly states that Lead Member i.e. M/s “ALTIS-Holding Corporation” has successfully executed	As the bidder has now submitted the Completion Certificate dully signed by Client as proof. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

	2.2.2.2(ii))	Both OM	only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.” Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.	and completed the Highway Project in last 5 years as the work was successfully commissioned on 31 st December, 2017. The total estimated cost of the project is USD 15823744.45 which is equivalent to INR 498.00 Crores. As mentioned in the Certificate it is clearly states that major components involved in the project includes Road Tunnel amounting to USD 3880313.24 which is equivalent to INR 291.45 Crores and construction of transport elevated road and road approaches amounting to USD 27623936.43 which is equivalent to INR 207.48 Crores. As per the scope of work we have constructed Road Tunnel and transport elevated road and road approaches which falls under Highway Sector so it is Category 3 Project as specified in Clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP Document as it is a Highway Project. So we request you to kindly consider as Highway Project (Category 3) as 100% scope of work is related to road and Highway Sector as mentioned in the Client Certificate.	
--	--------------	---------	---	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 8. DRN Infrastructure Private Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	Bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor's Certificate reg. Net Worth of the bidder wherein Authority's name is mentioned as " Karnataka State Highways Improvement Project (KSHIP) " in place of " National Highways Authority of India ". Bidder to clarify.	Hereby we are submitting the revised Net worth and Turnover document issued by Guru and Jana, Chartered Accountants	As the bidder has now submitted the revised Net worth and Turnover document from its Statutory Auditor. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
2	Financials	NA	Bidder has submitted Financials for the FY 2018-19 wherein UDIN is not mentioned. Bidder to submit the same.	Annual Report for the Financial year 2018-19 is hereby enclosed with UDI Number.	As the bidder has now Annual Report for the Financial year 2018-19. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
3	Responsiveness Clause 2.11.1(g)	NA	The document fee has been verified by the NHA accounts division, but the amount of the fees was not traceable. A query in this regard was asked by the Technical division. The Bidder has provided the details, however, the amount of document fee still cannot be traced. Bidder to provide proper details including the name of the Bank from which the payment has been made to verify the same.	Copy of transaction receipt with the details of Bank from which the transaction has been carried out is hereby enclosed. The details given below.	As the bidder has now submitted transaction receipt of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,20,000/-. Authority may confirm the same from the concern division.

			Submission of copy of online receipt towards the payment of cost of Bid document in Authority's designated bank account is the requirement of clause 2.11.1 (g) of the RFP, failing which the Bidder will be considered as non-responsive.	Name of Bank: Union Bank of India Amount : Rs. 1,30,000/- Payment Date: 19-11-2021 UTR No. UBINJ21323836548	
--	--	--	--	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 9. G R Infraprojects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Statutory Auditor	NA	Bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor's certificates for Annexure- III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) and Annexure- IV (Details of Eligible Projects) from "BSR & Associates LLP, Chartered Accountants" and Annexure- VI (Bid Capacity) from "SRBC & Co. LLP , Chartered Accountants" however as per Financials of FY 2020-21 current Statutory Auditor is "BSR & Associates LLP, Chartered Accountants". Bidder to clarify who is the current Statutory Auditor and submit the Statutory Auditor's Certificate for Annexure- III, IV & VI from them.	The Financials of F.Y. 2020-21 were audited by "BSR & Associates LLP, Chartered Accountants". Thereafter "S R B C & Co. LLP, Chartered Accountants" was appointed as Statutory Auditor in 25th Annual General Meeting held on 27 September 2021. We have submitted the documentary proof "Intimation for Appointment of Statutory Auditors and Board Resolution" at page no. 134 & 135 of our submitted documents. (Copy enclosed again for your ready reference).	As the bidder has now clarified and submitted the documentary proof "Intimation for Appointment of Statutory Auditors and Board Resolution. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

Name of the Bidder: 10. Patel Infrastructure Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure - I (Details of Bidder)	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure-I (Details of Bidder) wherein para no. (xiii), (xvii), (xviii) & (xix) in table 7 (b) are not complete. Bidder to clarify.	Kindly consider this as a typo error, We are hereby submitting a fresh Annexure-I (Details of Bidder) by incorporating the missing information, duly signed by the authorised signatory.	As the bidder has now clarified that the same was typo error and submitted fresh Annexure-I (Details of Bidder) by incorporating the missing information, duly signed by the authorised signatory. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

Name of the Bidder: 11 M/s BSCPL Infrastructure Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	BIMS	NA	Details for submission of bid on BIMS portal is not found submitted. Bidder to clarify.	The documents could not be uploaded in the BIMS portal as we had faced issues in uploading. These issues we have been facing frequently and could not be resolved even today in spite of our efforts with the helpdesk in resolving the same. Generally this problem with BIMS portal is being faced by other bidders also. Hence this may please be condoned. However, we have already uploaded all the Bidder basic details in BIMS portal as and when we could aid in evaluation as per clause 2.2.2 of the RFQ.	The bidder has not uploaded its technical bid on BIMS portal as they were facing issues in uploading. However, the same is required as per Clause 2.11.1 of RFP. Authority may take a view.
2	Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact)		Bidder has submitted Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact) wherein Article- 8 bidder has mentioned that “The Principal appoints Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.) and Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) competent and credible Independent External Monitor for this Pact after approval from Central Vigilance Commission. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the	Not mentioning the modified para as per the amendment in Integrity Pact Article- 8 is a typographical error which may please be condoned. We are submitting herewith revised Integrity Pact mentioning the para for your kind consideration.	As the Bidder has submitted the revised Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact), the same is considered in line with earlier submitted Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact).

			parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.” Instead of “The Principal has appointed Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.), Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) and Sh. Animesh Chauhan (Ex-MD & CEO, Oriental Bank of Commerce) as Independent External Monitor (IEM) for this pact. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.” Bidder to clarify.		
--	--	--	--	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 12. CDS Infra Projects Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate the BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1) (Value of B)	NA	For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 10.07.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the	The value of “B” is calculated as on 10.07.2020 by the Bidder. The Bid Due Date for the Tender was 11 AM on 23.11.2021. It is practically not possible for the Statutory Auditor to provide Certificate as on Bid Due Date. Therefore, the Statutory Auditor provides Certificate on a date as demanded by the Bidder. This date is reasonably before the Bid Due Date. However, if there is any increase in value of “B” from the date on which the Certificate has been provided by the Bidder upto the Bid Due Date, it is the responsibility of the Bidder to obtain a fresh Certificate from the Statutory Auditor and submit it along with the Bid. In this case, the Bidder confirms that there has been no increase in value of “B” from 10.07.2020 to 23.11.2021.	As the Bidder has confirms that “ <i>there has been no increase in value of “B” from 10.07.2020 to 23.11.2021</i> ”, the same is considered.

			value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.	The Bidder confirms that there has been no addition of “new ongoing work” which might increase the value of “B”. It may be noted that any “old ongoing work” shall only decrease the value of “B” further if these are updated upto Bid Due Date. Further, the Bid Capacity of the Bidder is much higher than the required Bid Capacity as per RFP considering value of “B” as on 10.07.2020. Thus, the Bid Capacity of the Bidder would have further increased if the value of “B” is considered upto Bid Due Date. Therefore, the Bidder requests the Authority to consider the Certificate (To calculate the value of “B”) provided by the Bidder along with the Bid Documents.	
2	Undertaking as per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii)	NA	As per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) If the Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) are not audited and the applicant could not make it available as on the Bid Due date, the Applicant shall give an undertaking to the same effect and the SA shall certify the same.	The Bid Due Date for the Tender was 11 AM on 23.11.2021. The Annual Accounts of the FY 2020-21 were being audited by SA in the month of November itself while the Bidder was preparing the Bid Documents for the Tender. It is well known to the Authority that data from Annual Accounts is utilized to prepare several other formats in the Bid Documents which requires considerable time. Further, it is practically not possible for the Statutory Auditor to provide	Bidder in its replies has confirmed that the Annual Accounts of FY 2020-21 were also audited by the Statutory Auditor before Bid Due Date (11 AM on 23.11.2021). A Certificate from Statutory Auditor is also attached wherein Annual Turnover and Net Worth for FY 2020-21 is certified. However, the bidder has neither submitted the audited annual accounts for the financial year 2020-21 in its original submission, nor made available in its replies. As per the terms of RFP, bidder was required to submit

			However, Undertaking submitted by the Bidder is dated 09.11.2021 instead of Bid due date. Bidder to clarify exact status of the Audit of Annual Accounts for the latest financial year (i.e. 2020-21) as on the Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 and Statutory Auditor shall also certify the same.	Undertaking as on Bid Due Date following which the Bidder shall prepare the Bid Documents. Therefore, the SA has provided Undertaking on a date as demanded by the Bidder viz. 09.11.2021. Thereafter, the Bidder prepared the Bid Documents based on Annual Account of FY 2019-20. Meanwhile, it so inexplicably happened that the Annual Accounts of FY 2020-21 were also audited by the SA before Bid Due Date (11 AM on 23.11.2021). A Certificate from SA mentioning turnover for FY 2020-21 is attached herewith as Attachment - A. A brief summary of key factors for Annual Account of FY 2019-20 and Annual Accounts of FY 2020-21 is presented below for ready reference - <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Key Factors of Annual Accounts</th><th>Unit</th><th>FY 2019-20</th><th>FY 2020-21</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Construction Turnover</td><td>Rs Cr.</td><td>862.72</td><td>902.1</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Net Worth</td><td>Rs Cr.</td><td>253.68</td><td>325.7</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Net Cash Accruals</td><td>Rs Cr.</td><td>61.94</td><td>82.32</td></tr></table> It may be kindly seen that for all the key factors, the figures for Annual Accounts for FY 2020-21 are much better than for FY 2019-20. Thus, by considering the Annual Accounts of FY 2019-20 in the Bid Documents, the Bidder has under-stated (and not over-stated)	S. No.	Key Factors of Annual Accounts	Unit	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	1	Construction Turnover	Rs Cr.	862.72	902.1	2	Net Worth	Rs Cr.	253.68	325.7	3	Net Cash Accruals	Rs Cr.	61.94	82.32	its audited annual accounts for the financial year 2020-21. As the annual accounts are available in public domain we have downloaded the annual accounts from the website of MCA as discussed with the authority. We have gone through the annual accounts of bidder for the FY 2020-21 and did not find any adverse comments/opinion against the bidder. However, even after considering the net worth for the FY 2020-21, the Bidder is meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Financial Capacity as per clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP. Further, based on conservative approach, we have considered the Net Worth and Annual Turnover for the FY 2019-20 as submitted by the Bidder in its Technical Bid and even after considering the Net Worth and Annual Turnover for the FY 2019-20 the bidder is meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Financial Capacity as required under clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP. Hence, the same may be considered.
S. No.	Key Factors of Annual Accounts	Unit	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21																					
1	Construction Turnover	Rs Cr.	862.72	902.1																					
2	Net Worth	Rs Cr.	253.68	325.7																					
3	Net Cash Accruals	Rs Cr.	61.94	82.32																					

				the parameters required for proving its eligibility. Therefore, the Bidder requests the Authority to consider the Bid Documents based on the Annual Accounts for FY 2019-20. However, for the sake of calculation / clarification we hereby attach (Attachment-B) for Bid Capacity Calculation Sheet based on Annual Accounts for FY 2020-21.	
--	--	--	--	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 14 Reliance Infrastructure Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-IV (Details of eligible project)	“e”	The Bidder has claimed project “e” as eligible project. Project cost for the said project in Annexure-IV as well as in Statutory Auditor’s certificate is mentioned as Rs. 1774 crore However, in Client Certificate on page 152, it is mentioned Rs. 2022.56 crore. Bidder to clarify.	We have claimed work of “<i>Six Laning of Pune Satara Section of NH-4 from km 725.00 to 865.35 km (Length 140.35 km) in the state of Maharashtra on EPC basis</i>” as an eligible project under “e” in our submittal. The original Project Cost as per Contract Agreement between M/s Reliance Infrastructure Limited and its client M/s CLE Private limited (Formerly known as Crest Logistics and Engineers Private Limited) dated 01 April 2011 was INR 1774.00 Crores. However, subsequently the Project Cost was amended to INR 2022.56 Crores vide Contract amendment dated 02 July 2020. The copy of said amendment in Project Cost was attached at page no 174 to 178 in our bid submittal and is once again attached and marked as <u>Attachment No – 01.</u> It is to note that the Statutory Auditor while certifying the value of current commitments under Annexure – VI (Calculation of value of “B”- Page no 163) has considered the amended Contract Value of INR 2022.56	As the Bidder has clarified, the same may considered.

				Crores. The client has also considered the amended Contract Value while certifying the percentage completion of the Project.	
2	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate the BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1) (Value of B)	NA	For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 27.09.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of	It is clarified that the Values of Current commitment as on 27.09.2021 and the values as on bid due date i.e. 23.11.2021 remains the same. Self-undertaking signed by Authorised Signatory confirming the value of Bid capacity as on bid due date of 23.11.2021 is attached and marked as <u>Attachment No - 02.</u>	As the Bidder has provided Self-undertaking signed by the Authorised Signatory that the value of Bid Capacity remains the same, the same may considered.

			SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
3	Annexure VIII of Appendix IA	NA	As per Annex-VIII the above said project is delayed due to handing over the project land to Reliance Infrastructure Limited. The bidder has provided the client certificate w.r.t. reason for delay, which is signed by Authorised Signature of the client. However, as per the Annexure VIII of Appendix IA, the reasons for delay should be certified by Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/ Supervision Consultant/ Engineer In charge project provided in Annexure VIII. Further, the bidder may clarify the above accordingly as per clause 10.3 (iv) and the para mentioned below table of Annex-VIII of Appendix-IA i.e. <i>“In the event that the Bidder had failed to achieve the Completion of any project within a period of 90 (ninety) days from the Schedule Completion Date of the project, unless such failure had occurred due to Force Majeure or for reasons solely attributable to the Authority, the Bidder shall be deemed to be ineligible for bidding this project (under bidding), both as the sole party or as one of the</i>	We wish to clarify in this regard as below, We have listed the work of Six Laning of Pune - Satara Section of NH-4 from Km. 725.00 to 865.35 Km (length - 140.35Km) in the state of Maharashtra on EPC basis as an eligible project in our bid submittals. The aforesaid project was awarded to us by M/s CLE Private Limited (Formerly known as Crest Logistics and Engineers Private Limited). Accordingly, we have attached (Refer page no. 303 of our bid submittal) the certificate signed by Authorised Signatory of our Client i.e. M/s CLE Private Limited indicating the reason for delay in completion of the above mentioned project. (Copy attached once again for ready reference and mark as <u>Attachment no-03</u>). We hereby submit that our role in the said project was of Contractor to our client i.e. M/s CLE Private Limited and that the provision of furnishing the certificate from Independent Engineer / Authority Engineer / Supervision Consultant / Engineer In Charge is applicable to Concessioner of the Project and not to its sub-contractor.	As the bidder has clarified, evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

			<i>parties of Joint Venture/ Consortium, if any, during the period from Scheduled Completion Date to issuance of Completion Certificate for that project. This restriction is applicable if the contract value of the delayed project was not less than Rs. 300 Crore.”</i> Bidder to clarify.	We clarify that, we have already submitted the required certificate from our client i.e. M/s CLE Private Limited and the certificate with reasons for delay in completion from Independent Engineer / Authority Engineer / Supervision Consultant / Engineer In Charge is “Not applicable” to us.	
4	Appendix IA – Annex-IV –Details of Eligible Project (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii))		Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- “<i>Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred	In this regard we clarify as below, 1. <u>Name of qualifying project in line with clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP:</u> Six Lanning of Pune Satara Section of NH-4 from Km 725.00 to 865.35 km (length 140.35km) in the state of Maharashtra on EPC basis (Category – 03) awarded by M/s CLE Private Limited (Formerly known as Crest Logistics and Engineers Private Limited). 2. <u>Status of Completion as on 31.03.2021:</u> 93.34% of the project Cost. 3. <u>Reference:</u> Certificate from M/s CLE Private Limited (Formerly known as Crest Logistics and Engineers Private Limited) dated 01 March 2021 indicating status of completion as on Mar – 21 is attached at page no 152 of 1890 in our bid submittal.	As the Bidder has clarified, evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

			twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed or more than 90% completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.	Thus, we are meeting the qualifying criteria stated under RFP Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) with above mentioned project. The relevant pages from our submittal are once again attached and marked as <u>Attachment No - 04.</u>	
--	--	--	---	---	--

Name of the Bidder: 15 NSPR-BVSR (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	“1c”	The Bidder i.e. Lead Member has claimed project “1c” i.e. <i>“Rehabilitation and Upgradation of Khairaharh Donganagarh, Tumadibod (MDR) Donganagarh (Package - 12), Chhattisgarh”</i>, in category “3” Bidder to clarify how the said project is claimed under category “3” w.r.t. Clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP by giving some suitable proof from its Client.	As per Clause 2.2.2.5 (IV)(ii) of RFP “Widening/re-construction/ Upgradation works on MDRs with load assistants from Multilateral agencies or on BOT basis” We were executed this project under ADB funds, so we claimed under category 3 and we are submitting proof of Client certificate.	As the Bidder has submitted client certificate, the same may be considered.
2	APPENDI X-V	NA	The bidder has mentioned Para No. 8 of Joint Bidding Agreement as 8. Termination <i>“This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and shall continue in full force and effect until Project completion (the “Defects Liability Period”) is achieved under and in accordance with the EPC Contract, in case the Project is awarded to the Joint Venture. However, in case the Joint Venture is either not pre-qualified for the Project or does not get selected for award of the Project, the Agreement will stand terminated in case the Applicant is not pre-qualified or upon return</i>	By mistakenly we wrote that sentences, now we are submitting corrected para after remove the extra line for your reference.	As the bidder has now submitted the corrected para after removing the extra line. This fulfill the requirement of the clarification sought. Hence the same may be considered.

			of the Bid Security by the Authority to the Bidder, as the case may be.” Instead of <i>“This Agreement shall be effective from the date hereof and shall continue in full force and effect until Project completion (the “Defects Liability Period”) is achieved under and in accordance with the EPC Contract, in case the Project is awarded to the Joint Venture. However, in case the Joint Venture is either not pre-qualified for the Project or does not get selected for award of the Project, the Agreement will stand terminated in case the Applicant is not pre-qualified.”</i> The bidder has to remove extra line from the para		
3	Annexure-VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement)	NA	The Bidder i.e. Other Member has submitted Annexure-VIII (mentioned in Annex-VIII stating “Not Applicable” Bidder to mentioned either details of projects or “Nil” instead of Not Applicable.	It is mentioned as "NA" instead of mentioning as "NIL". Please Consider it as "NIL"	As the Bidder has now submitted revised Annexure-VIII stating “Not Applicable”, the same may considered in line with the earlier submitted Annexure-VIII.
4	Annexure-VI (Information required	NA	In case of BVSr Constructions Pvt. Ltd. (Other Member)	We herewith Furnish the certificate from our statutory Auditor as on Bid	As the Bidder has submitted revised Value of “B”, the same may be

	to evaluate the BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1) (Value of B)		Bidder has submitted the “Value of existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 2 years” instead of “value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 2.5 years”. Further, For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 20.10.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised	Due date duly incorporating the observations,	consider in line with the earlier submitted Value of “B”
--	---	--	--	---	--

			Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
5	Appendix-VI (Integrity Pact)	NA	Integrity Pact has been countersigned by the Mr. M. Gopal Reddy instead of Mr. P. Ravi Sankar Reddy i.e. Authorised Signatory. Please Clarify.	Mr M. Gopal Reddy is Authorised Signatory for BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd., and Board resolution is issued In favour of him. Mr. M. Gopal Reddy has issued power of Attorney in favour of Mr. P. Ravi Sankar Reddy i.e. Authorised Signatory for this Bid. Hence, it is requested to consider counter signature of Mr. M. Gopal Reddy.	As Mr. M. Gopal Reddy POA Executor got the power to sign the document from the Board Resolution. The same may be considered.
6	Appendix-V (Joint Bidding Agreement)	NA	Joint Bidding Agreement has been signed by the Mr. M. Gopal Reddy instead of Mr. P. Ravi Sankar Reddy i.e. Authorised Signatory. Please Clarify.	Mr M. Gopal Reddy is Authorised Signatory for BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd and Board resolution is issued in favour of him. Mr. M. Gopal Reddy has issued power of Attorney in favour of Mr. P. Ravi Sankar Reddy i.e. Authorised Signatory for this Bid. Hence, it is requested to consider signature of Mr. M. Gopal Reddy.	As Mr. M. Gopal Reddy POA Executor got the power to sign the document from the Board Resolution. The same may be considered.
7	Appendix-VI (Integrity Pact)	NA	Integrity Pact has been countersigned by the Mr. M. Gopal Reddy instead of Mr. P. Ravi Sankar Reddy i.e. Authorised Signatory. Please Clarify.	Mr M. Gopal Reddy is Authorised Signatory for BVSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd and Board resolution is issued in favour of him. Mr. M. Gopal Reddy has issued power of Attorney infavour of	As Mr. M. Gopal Reddy POA Executor got the power to sign the document from the Board Resolution. The same may be considered.

				Mr. P. Ravi Sankar Reddy i.e. Authorised Signatory for this Bid. Hence, it is requested to consider signature of Mr. M. Gopal Reddy.	
8	Undertaking as per the clause 2.2.2.8 (ii)	NA	1. In case of Lead Member i.e. NSPR Constructions India Pvt. Ltd. Bidder has submitted an undertaking from the Statutory Auditor (UDIN generated) wherein it is mentioned that Audit for FY 2020-21 is under process. The same is dated 06.10.2021 whereas the Bid due date of the subject project is 23.11.2021. Bidder was required to submit the Undertaking certified by SA (UDIN generated) stating that the Annual accounts for the F.Y. 2020-21 are under process as on the Bid due date. 2. In case of Other Member i.e. BVSR Constructions India Pvt. Ltd. Bidder has submitted an undertaking from the Statutory Auditor (UDIN generated) wherein it is mentioned that Audit for FY 2020-21 is under process.	We are submitting an undertaking from the Statutory auditor, our audit for FY 2020-21 is under process and we were clearly mentioned due date of filling is 15/01/2022, as on bid due date we has not audited annual reports of the FY 2020-21. So, we were submitted the same. Any how now we are submitting new undertaking certified by Statutory Auditor. We herewith submit the Undertaking certified by SA (UDIN generated) stating that the Annual accounts for the F.Y. 2020-21 are under process as on the Bid due date.	As the Bidder has submitted certificate from Statutory Auditor stating <i>that books of accounts for the year ended 31/03/2021 was not audited upto bid due date</i>, the same may considered. As the Bidder has submitted Undertaking duly certified from Statutory Auditor, the same may be considered.

			The same is dated 02.09.2021 whereas the Bid due date of the subject project is 23.11.2021. Bidder was required to submit the Undertaking certified by SA (UDIN generated) stating that the Annual accounts for the F.Y. 2020-21 are under process as on the Bid due date. Please clarify.		
--	--	--	---	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 16 SRC Infra Developers Private Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Appendix IA – Annex-IV – Details of Eligible Project (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii))	A to K	Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed or more than 90% completed upto 31.03.2021 from the Eligible Projects in	The certificate issued by the client pertaining to single similar work is submitted under project code C — NH 544E (page no. 4748) of Tender documents-2, (file name 1NH48BGMP1). Supporting work done certificate and other supporting documents related with this work is enclosed in page no.294-336 of Tender documents - 1 (file name SRC Infra details). It is to be noted that in the said project more than 90% value of work done upto 31.03.2021 which is clearly reflected from the said certificate attached at page no. 294 of Tender document-1(file name SRC Infra details). Furthermore, please find attached herewith the Experience certificate dated 04-02-2021 issued by Superintending Engineer (R&B) NH Circle, Anantapuram certifying the value of work done as 90.51% upto 04-02-2021. In view of the above, we are meeting the minimum eligibility criteria required as per	Bidder along with its replies has submitted a client certificate dated 04.02.2021 wherein it has been mentioned that value of the work completed is 90.51% as on the date of issuance of the certificate i.e. 04.02.2021. This fulfils the requirement of the Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP as the project is completed more than 90% of the value of Work and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost. Hence, the same may be considered.

			Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.	clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Please consider the same and oblige. Please refer copy of certificate already submitted at page no.294 of Tender documents - 1 (file name SRC Infra details) and certificate dated 04.02.2021 enclosed as Annexure-1 for your ready reference.	
2	Appendix-III (Power of Attorney)	NA	D Venkatesulu (Director) executed Power of Attorney in favour of M Raja Gopal (Managing Director). However, supporting documents such as Board Resolution/ GPA/ Extract of Charter document in favor of executor is not found submitted.	We have provided the Board Resolution and MOA & AOA in the Tender documents - 1 (file name SRC Infra details). Board Resolution dated 31-05-2021 authoring the executors of the POA in favour of Mr. M. Raja Gopal, MD is enclosed herewith for your kind perusal as Annexure-2. Kindly consider the same and oblige.	As the Bidder has now submitted Board Resolution dated 31.05.2021 in favor of executor of POA, the same may be considered.
3	Responsive ness Clause 2.11.1(g)	NA	The document fee has been verified by the NHA accounts division, but the amount of the fees was not traceable. A query in this regard was asked by the Technical division. The Bidder has provided the details, however, the amount of document fee still cannot be traced. Bidder to provide proper details including the name of the Bank from which the payment has been made to verify the same. Submission of copy of online receipt towards the payment of cost of Bid document in Authority's designated bank account is the requirement of clause 2.11.1 (g) of the RFP, failing which the Bidder will be considered as non-responsive.	In this regard, we are submitting compliance for the payment details along with Bank statement for your kind perusal and acceptance Tender Fee Amount Rs. 1,20,000.00 UTR No. UBINJ21326861727 Transaction date 22-11-2021. Transaction reference No. 000416323433 Beneficiary Name: National Highways Authority of India Beneficiary Alc No. 8598201005819 Beneficiary IFS Code. CNRB0008598.	As the bidder has now submitted details for the submission of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,20,000/-. Authority may confirm the same from the concern division.

Name of the Bidder: 17 ATLAS-ATCON (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Appendix-III	NA	Other Member: Date of Stamp Paper is not found on Power of Attorney. Please clarify. Further date of execution of POA is 22nd October, 2021. However, date of notarization is 20th November, 2021 and stating that – <i>“I know Deponent and He/She Signed Print. His/Her Thumb impression in my Presence”</i> Bidder to clarify.	Date of Stamp paper is available on other side of the Power of Attorney i.e. 11/10/2021 (copy enclosed). Power of Attorney was executed on 22nd October 2021, due to extension of bid the notarization was done on 20th November 2021. The statement “I know the Deponent and He / She Signed Print. His / Per Thumb Impression in my Presence” is a standard statement and this notarization was done on 20th November 2021 in presence of the Notary Officer.	As the Bidder has clarified, the same may be considered.
2	Appendix-III (Power of Attorney)	NA	Other Member: R.K Gupta (Managing Director) 3.executed Power of Attorney in favor of Rahul Gupta (Director). However, supporting documents as Board Resolution/ GPA/ Extract of Charter document in favor of executor is not found submitted.	Copy of Board Resolution is enclosed herewith.	As the Bidder has now submitted Board Resolution dated 06.06.2021 in favor of executor of POA, the same may be considered.

Name of the Bidder: 18 MSKEL-MIPL (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Appendix IA – Annex-IV – Details of Eligible Project (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii))	a to j (LM) a to d (OM)	Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed or more than 90% completed from the Eligible Projects in	We have submitted similar work details along with supporting documents vide page no 129 to 131 of our bid submission with respect to RFP clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP documents. This project is completed on 30-11-2020, which is before 31-03-2021. We hereby submit once again the Annex-IV in prescribed format along with client & Statutory auditor certificates vide page no. 1 to 3. This work is eligible with respect to RFP clause 2.2.2.2(ii), 2.2.2.6(ii) & 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) (I).	Replies of the bidder may not be accepted as there is no documentary proof which establish that the bidder has also undertaken/ completed the share i.e 15% of other member also. Further, there was a contradiction in certification of the SA which was submitted in its original submission to the Authority. In one certification it was mentioned that the bidder has share of 70% in the JV which is also supported by client certificate, on the other side an another certificate was also submitted which certified that share of 85% in the JV which does not have any proof from client. Even in its replies the bidder has not made available the appropriate certificate which can establish that its share in the JV is 85% instead of 70%. So, in view of the above share of the applicant is considered as 70% as it has been certified by SA and also

			Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.		there is client certificate which clearly mentioned the share of the member in JV.
2	Appendix IA – Annex-IV – Details of Eligible Project	a	The Bidder i.e. Lead member, has mentioned share of JV 70% in annexure - IV, in Statutory Auditor’s certificate and in Client certificate for the Project “a”, However, for the same project is mentioned at page no 129 with JV share 85%. Bidder needs to clarify.	We have claimed with 70 % share in the JV in Annexure – IV for calculation of Technical bid capacity with respect to clause 2.2.2.2 (i). We have also claimed / submitted same project with 85 % share with respect to clause no. 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) (I) for the single similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii), which is as per under : <i>“In case of projects executed by applicant under category 3 and 4 as a member of Joint Venture, the project cost should be restricted to the share of the applicant in the joint venture for determining eligibility as per provision under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii). In case Statutory Auditor certifies that, the work of other member(s) is also executed by the applicant, then the total share executed by applicant can be considered for determining eligibility as per provision under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)”.</i> Hence the 85 % share in JV is justified in concurrence to the above clauses of the tender conditions.	Accordingly, the evaluation has been carried out and we find that the bidder does not meet the criteria of Single completed project as per the clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Hence not eligible for further stage of bidding.

3	Appendix IA – Annex-IV – Details of Eligible Project	“b”	The Bidder i.e. Other Member has claimed project “b” i.e. “<i>Widening and Reconstruction of Madhya Pradesh District Connectivity Sector Project - Package - J: Prithvipur - Niwari (MDR-34-04), Ajaygarh – Toriya - Bariyapur (MDR-33-05) and Palera — Baldeogarh (MDR-35-25) Roads.</i>” in category “3” Bidder to clarify how the said project is covered under category 3 w.r.t Clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP by way of furnishing some substantial documentation/ proof.	Other member MIPL has claimed project “b” which is a M.D.R. and is covered under category 3 with respect to clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) (IV) (ii). As per Clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) (IV) (ii) case of M.D.R. funded by ADB, M.D.R. also falls in category–3. The same may be acknowledged. Client certificate is also submitted showing items & quantities of Road works executed in that project vide page no. 116 to 119 in our bid submission. The same are being resubmitted vide page no. 4 to 9.	As the MDR road is funded by ADB, the same may be considered.
4	Annexure-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	The Bidder i.e. Other member has submitted certificate for Net Worth from Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants. However Statutory Auditor of the financial Year 2020-21 is Chandrakant & Sevantilal & J.K. Shah & Co. Bidder to clarify.	Sir other member has submitted Certificates of <i>for Net Worth from Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants</i>, which are newly appointed from 25-09-2021 as per norms of the company. Attached herewith letter of appointment as statutory auditor of the company for your reference vide page no. 10 Kindly note that Chandrakant & Sevantilal & J.K. Shah & Co were the previous Statutory Auditor of the	As the Bidder has submitted proof of appointment of Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants, the same may be considered.

				company for the financial years 2015-16 to 2020-21	
5	Annexure-IV (Details of Eligible Project)	a to d	The Bidder i.e. Other Member has submitted Certificates for the eligible projects from Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants. However Statutory Auditor of the financial Year 2020-21 is Chandrakant & Sevantilal & J.K. Shah & Co. Bidder to clarify.	Sir Other Member has submitted Certificates for the eligible projects from Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants, which are newly appointed from 25-09-2021 onwards as per norms of the company. We attach herewith letter of appointment as statutory auditor of the company for your reference vide page no. 10 Kindly note that Chandrakant & Sevantilal & J.K. Shah & Co were the previous Statutory Auditor of the company for the financial years 2015-16 to 2020-21	As the Bidder has submitted proof of appointment of Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants, the same may be considered.
6	Annexure-VI (Bid capacity)	NA	The Bidder has submitted Value of "A" & "B" duly certified by Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants. However Statutory Auditor of the financial Year 2020-21 is Chandrakant & Sevantilal & J.K. Shah & Co. Bidder to clarify.	Sir Other member has submitted Value of "A" & "B" duly certified by Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants, which are newly appointed from 25-09-2021 onwards as per norms of the company. We attach herewith letter of appointment as statutory auditor of the company for your reference vide page no. 10.	As the Bidder has submitted proof of appointment of Bipin & Co., Chartered Accountants, the same may be considered.

				Kindly note that Chandrakant & Sevantilal & J.K. Shah & Co were the previous Statutory Auditor of the company for the financial years 2015-16 to 2020-21	
7	BIMS	NA	Details for submission of bid on BIMS portal is not found submitted. Bidder to clarify.	Details for submission of bid on BIMS portal is already submitted along with covering letter, we are resubmitting for your ready reference vide page no 11 & 12.	As the Bidder has provided Acknowledgement of BIMS, the same may be considered.
8	Responsiveness Clause 2.11.1(g)	NA	The document fee has been verified by the NHAI accounts division, but the amount of the fees was not traceable. A query in this regard was asked by the Technical division. The Bidder has provided the details, however, the amount of document fee still cannot be traced. Bidder to provide proper details including the name of the Bank from which the payment has been made to verify the same. Submission of copy of online receipt towards the payment of cost of Bid document in Authority's designated bank account is the requirement of clause 2.11.1 (g) of the RFP, failing which the Bidder will be considered as non-responsive.	<i>The details of the fees has been paid vide RTGS vide UTR no. UBINJ21294198919 on 21.10.2021.</i> The same has been informed to you vide letter no MSK-MIPL JV/NH/BEL-SANKE/PKG I/11622/1 dtd 10-12-2021. We hereby resubmitting the details vide bank letter and statement showing amount credited to NHAI account vide page no. 13 to 15. Name of Bank: Union Bank of India, Industrial Financial Branch, C.U. Shah Chamber, Nr. Gujarat Vidhyapith, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad – 380013 Tel no : 079 – 27541984 / 27540480	As the bidder has now submitted details for the submission of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,20,000/-. Authority may confirm the same from the concern division.

Name of the Bidder: 19 GHV (India) Private Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Applicant)		Financial Years of Net worth and turnover in Annex III are not as per the Last Five financial Years. Further, Bidder has submitted the Consolidated Financial Statement of the financial year 2020-21 instead of Complete Standalone Financial Statement for the financial year 2020-21 of GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd. which is required as per the clause 2.11.1(m) of the RFP. Bidder to clarify.	Standalone Balance Sheet for FY 2020-21 is enclosed herewith for your further evaluation.	The Bidder has now submitted standalone financials for the year 2020-21. The same may be considered and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
2	Annexure-VI (Information required to evaluate the BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1) (Value of B)	NA	Bidder has submitted the “Value of existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next ____ years” instead of “value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 2.5 years”. Further, For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 11.10.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto	Undertaking stating that the Bid capacity submitted is as on bid due date is enclosed herewith for your further evaluation.	As the Bidder has submitted Undertaking stating that the Bid capacity submitted along with the bid dated 11.10.2021 is same on Bid Due Date, the same may be considered.

			Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
3	BIMS	NA	Details for submission of bid on BIMS portal is not found submitted. Bidder to clarify.	BIMS Acknowledgement is enclosed herewith for your further evaluation.	As the details of BIMS Acknowledgement is now enclosed, the same may be considered.
4	Appendix-VI (Integrity Pact)	NA	Bidder has submitted Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact) wherein Article- 8 bidder has mentioned that <i>“The Principal appoints Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.) and Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) as competent and credible Independent External</i>	Typographical error. Revised Integrity Pact is enclosed herewith for your further evaluation.	As the Bidder has now submitted revised Integrity Pact, the same may be considered.

		<i>Monitor for this Pact alter approval from Central Vigilance Commission. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.”</i> <i>Instead of</i> <i>“The Principal has appointed Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.), Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) and Sh. Animesh Chauhan (Ex-MD & CEO, Oriental Bank of Commerce) as Independent External Monitor (IEM) for this pact. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.”</i> <i>Bidder to clarify.</i>		
--	--	---	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 20 KCC Buildcon Private Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Appendix IA – Annex-IV –Details of Eligible Project (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii))	a to u	Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.	We would like to draw your kind attention towards the experience certificates / documents submitted in our Technical Bid file on page no. 102-104 & 105-109. We duly achieved Provisional Completion of both the project well before 31.03.2021. Both projects of National Highways are duly falls under qualifying criteria mentioned as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP. Details of which mentioned in below table & documentary evidence already submitted along with our technical bid and hereby reiterate for your kind reference and consideration.	Bidder has submitted Client Certificate dated 28.09.2020 wherein, it is mentioned that work amount Rs. 368.55 crore has been executed out of Rs. 380 crore upto 14.12.2019 which is more than 90%. Hence, may be considered.

2	Annex-VIII	NA	Bidder to confirm the status of completion of the project mentioned at s.no. 1 of Annexure-VIII or confirm the date of extension of the completion of the project/reason of delay if any along with certificate certified by Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/Supervision Consultant/Engineer In charge for above mentioned project provided in Annexure VIII. Further, the bidder may clarify the above accordingly as per clause 10.3 (iv) and the para mentioned below table of Annex-VIII of Appendix-IA i.e. <i>“In the event that the Bidder had failed to achieve the Completion of any project within a period of 90 (ninety) days from the Schedule Completion Date of the project, unless such failure had occurred due to Force Majeure or for reasons solely attributable to the Authority, the Bidder shall be deemed to be ineligible for bidding this project (under bidding), both as the sole party or as one of the parties of Joint Venture/ Consortium, if any, during the period from Scheduled Completion Date to issuance of Completion Certificate for that project. This restriction is applicable if the contract value of the delayed project was not less than Rs. 300 Crore.”</i> Bidder to clarify.	We would further like to draw your kind attention towards the concerned Executive Engineer’s letter no. 3003 dated 15.09.2021 submitted on page no. 291-292 in which we have been granted the Extension of Time upto 31.12.2021 due to Force Majeure and for reasons solely attributable to the Authority. We hereby enclosed the same again for your kind reference and consideration.	As the Bidder has clarified, the same may be considered.
---	------------	----	--	---	--

Name of the Bidder: 22. Ashoka Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement))	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure- VIII (Annexure-VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement) dated 11.10.2021. However Power of Attorney is executed on 12.10.2021 to Mr. Ajay A. Kankariya (DGM- Business Development). Bidder to clarify how Annexure- VIII is signed before issuance of Power of Attorney.	It seems to be a typographical mistake occurred. However, we herewith are submitting “Corrected” Annexure-VIII of Appendix-IA. As on the Bid Due Date, none of the project of the Bidder i.e. Ashoka Buildcon Limited was falling in the given category. The status is same as on date also. It may please be noted that, the Authorised Signatory has been empowered to sign bidding documents for the above said project through a Board Resolution passed on dated 30.09.2021 by competent authority of Bidder.	As the bidder has now clarified that the same was typographical mistake and submitted “Corrected” Annexure-VIII of Appendix-IA. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
2	Annex-I (Detail of Bidder)	NA	The bidder has provided the name of two projects w.r.t the points mentioned below the	As on the Bid Due Date, there were no past or ongoing projects of bidder falling under the points mentioned	As the bidder has now clarified As on the Bid Due Date, there were no past or ongoing projects of bidder falling under the

		para of point 07 (b) of Annex-I. Bidder to clarify the status for all of it past or ongoing projects in the prescribed format as mentioned in the RFP. Bidder to clarify.	below the para of point 07 (b) of Annex-I, therefore bidder had mentioned the required details as “NO”. The status is same as on date also. However, as required, bidder herewith submitting the status for all past or ongoing projects in the prescribed format as mentioned in the RFP.	points mentioned below the para of point 07 (b) of Annex-I, therefore bidder had mentioned the required details as “NO”. The status is same as on date also and resubmitted the status for all past or ongoing projects in the prescribed format as mentioned in the RFP. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
--	--	--	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 23. Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1.	Cost of Bid Document	NA	Bidder has attached proof of payment of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,30,000/- from Indian Bank with UTR/Ref. no IDIBH21284253664. However the transfer is not done in NHAI's bank account as mentioned in RFP. Bidder to provide proof of payment of cost of bid document as per clause 2.11.1 (g) of RFP.	In reference to cost of Bid Document and our office letter No. DRAIPL/ Sankeshwar-Pkg II/NHAI/12-21/Dated 13.12.2021, please find attached herewith receipt along with transaction's bank statement having UTR No. IDIBH21310406703 of Rs. 40,000.00 (Rupees Forty Thousand Dt. 06.11.2021 and UTR No. IDIBH21314363458 of Rs. 90,000 (Rupees Ninety Thousand Only) Dt. 10.11.2021 duly highlighted.	As the bidder has now submitted details for the submission of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,20,000/-. Authority may confirm the same from the concern division.
2.	Annexure-VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement))	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure- VIII (Annexure- VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement) wherein project at s. no. 6 i.e. "Karaikudi-Ramanathapuram design chainage from km. 94.000 to km. 174.000) on EPC Mode". Bidder has attached EOT certificate upto 18.10.2021. However, Bid Due Date of the project is 23.11.2021. Bidder to clarify.	For Annexure – VIII, EOT is approved till 05.11.2021 vide Letter No. NHAI/PIU/Karaikudi/11024/01/2021/1408 dt. 31.08.2021. Further application for EOT upto 31st December 2021 is requested vide our letter No. M/s DRA-SP-VM (JV)/2021/1069 dt. 07.12.2021. Also, EOT recommended upto 29.12.2021 by The Team Leader, Aarvee Associates, Architects Engineers & Consultants Pvt. Ltd. vide letter no. AA/KR/NHAI/21-22/1801/1276 dt. 22.09.2021 is attached herewith for your kind referral, which may please find in order.	As the bidder has now clarified that the EOT was requested vide letter dated 07.12.2021 and EOT was recommended upto 29.12.2021 by The Team Leader and submitted the letters. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.

Name of the Bidder: 25. Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited - Shelke Construction (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1.	Annex-I (Details of Applicant)	NA	Other Member namely, Shelke Construction Bidder has not updated the Annex-I as per the corrigendum i.e. Point no. 07 is not as per the format. Therefore, bidder is required to submit the Annex-I (details of the Bidder) as per the format provided in RFP and Corrigendum issued for the project in this regard.	We have Submit updated Annex-I as per Corrigendum. – Attached Separately.	As the Bidder has now submitted revised Annexure-I, the same may be considered in line with the earlier submitted Annexure-I.
2.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	(D2) (E2) and (F2)	The other member namely, Shelke Construction has claimed 6 Projects having aggregate technical score of Rs. 242.03 Crore, out of which the payment received in project code (D2) (E2) and (F2) are less than Rs. 55.85 Crore, which is required as per 2.2.2.6(ii) of RFP. Hence, the projects are not eligible as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) of RFP. Please clarify how the Bidder is meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of clause 2.2.2.4(i) of RFP.	Minimum Criteria as per Clause 2.2.2.4(i) stands at 20% for the other JV member, which arrives at Rs.237.55 Crores. Accordingly, The total technical capacity stands at Rs.291.43 Cr. The revision has happened because of the following: Amount of work Done, earlier mentioned in F2, stands revised to Rs.99.45 Cr, as on 23.11.2021. Same has now been labeled as F-2 in the revised table of Annex-II.	Replies from the bidder is not accepted. We have gone through the replies of the bidder and found that; in project code F2 bidder has claimed the revised Work done value to 99.45 Crore which cannot be considered as this this amount pertains to FY 2021-22, which cannot be considered as per the provisions of RFP as it does not fall within the last 5(five) FYs from the Bid due date i.e. FY 2016-17 to 2020-21. Further, the bidder in its submission has claimed the amount received in the FY 2021-22 in the claimed projects (Annex-IV, Details of the eligible Projects) which cannot be considered as per the provisions

				Hence, Sum of A-2, B2, C2, D2, E2 & F2, and The Total technical Capacity arrives at Rs. 291.43 Crore. – Certificates attached separately.	of RFP as it does not fall within the last 5(five) FYs from the Bid due date i.e. FY 2016-17 to 2020-21. Accordingly assessed Technical capacity of the Other Member comes to Rs. 101.222 crore against the requirement of Rs. 223.39 Crore. In view of the above Other Member fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 and 2.2.2.4 of RFP i.e. the other member shall have a minimum Technical Capacity of Rs. 223.39 crore.
3.	Appendix IA – Annex-IV – Details of Eligible Project (Clause 2.2.2.2(ii))	For projects claimed by LM & OM	Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP stipulates that:- <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i>	We have claimed the Projects 1I and 1J under the one similar work costing Rs. 303.11 Crores and Rs. 579.50 Crores respectively. The copies of the Work Orders and its Provisional Completion Certificates for both the projects are appended herewith for your ready reference.	Bidder has submitted the Provisional certificate wherein, nowhere mentioned that the projects are completed or more than 90% completed upto 31.03.2021. The same may not be considered.

			Bidder to clarify by giving some suitable proof from its Client, how they are meeting the criteria of “one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 223.39 Crore (Rupees Two hundred twenty three crore and thirty nine lakh only) shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3” as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.		
4.	Annex- VI (Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity)	NA	In case of Lead Member 1. At the starting of Table B “Bidder has mentioned next **” instead of mentioning “A Table showing the value of all the existing commitments and on-goings works to be completed during the next 2.5 years”. Please clarify. 2. For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 14.10.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or	We hereby submit the revised Certificate from Statutory Auditor certifying the list of all existing commitments and ongoing commitments during the next 2.5 Years upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021.	Bidder has submitted revised value of “B” from its Statutory Auditor. Hence the same may be considered.

			Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity. In case of Other Member In case of Shelke Construction (OM), The date of signing of Value of B is not mentioned. Further it is not clear whether the Value of B is same as on Bid Due Date i.e. 23rd November 2021 or not. Please Clarify the same through Statutory Auditor certificate duly mentioning the UDIN and as well as from the Authorized Signatory of the Bidder.	The date of Signing of Value of B is mentioned & updated value of B is Rs. 563.95 Cr. The Statutory Auditor has duly updated the narration for the said UDIN & Authorized Signatory of Bidder. – Certificate attached herewith.	
5.	Appendix-III (POA)	NA	In case of Lead Member	Date of notarization i.e. 19.11.2021 has already been mentioned on the Stamp	As the Bidder has clarified, the same may be considered.

	for signing of Bid)		Date of notarization is not mentioned by the bidder, which is required as per format prescribed in the RFP. Please Clarify.	Paper (below the Stamp Paper No.) by the Public notary.	
6.	Appendix-V (Joint Bidding Agreement)	NA	Bidder has submitted Appendix- V (Joint Bidding Agreement) wherein	We have already submitted Joint Venture Bidding Agreement with technical Bid. PDF Namely 03 JV Document. We hereby again forward the same for your ready reference.	The same may be accepted.
7.	Balance sheet	NA	In case of Other Member i.e. Shelke Construction has not submitted the Auditor's Report for the FY 2020-21 which is required as per clause 2.2.2.8 of RFP. Please Clarify.	Auditor' Report for the FY 2020-21 with CA Certified Balance Sheet – Attached Separately.	Bidder has now submitted the complete financial statement for the FY 2020-21. Hence the same may be considered.

Name of the Bidder: 26. Dilip Buildcon Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure-I (Details of Bidder)	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure- I (Details of Bidder) wherein para 5(d) & point no. (xiii) of table under para 7 (b) bidder has mentioned that bidder was debarred by MoRT&H which was over on 12.10.2021. However bidder has not attached any letter reg. revoking of debarment from the Authority. Bidder to clarify.	We are enclosing herewith the Letter No. NHAI/Tech/AP/APA/COG/2021 (E-91822)/1086 dated 28.09.2021 vide which we were debarred for bidding for 03 month commencing from 12.07.2021 till end of 12.10.2021. The Clause 12 of the Letter attached clearly depict that the debarment period was over on 12.10.2021 and we have submitted the Bid on 23.11.2021, which substantiate that debarment does not prevail on the date of submission of bid. Regarding revoking of debarment DBL vide its letter No. DBL/HO/MoRTH/18 dated 04.10.2021 had submitted its protest & penalty of Rs 3.00 Crores as required by Authority, please refer page no. 9 of Letter containing detail of above payment done by RTGS.	As the bidder has now enclosed letter dated 28.09.2021 vide which they were debarred for bidding for 03 month commencing from 12.07.2021 till end of 12.10.2021. The Clause 12 of the Letter attached clearly depict that the debarment period was over on 12.10.2021 which substantiate that debarment does not prevail on the date of submission of bid. The same may be accepted.

2	Annexure -VI – To Calculate the value of “B” For Other Member	NA	For statement “To calculate the value of “B”, date of issuance has been mentioned as 01.11.2021 instead of bid due date. Bidder is required to submit details of all its existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 duly certified by Statutory Auditor. Or Bidder to submit a self-undertaking mentioning the additional existing commitments and ongoing works upto Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021 In case, Bidder claims new ongoing projects in its statement “To calculate the value of “B”, Bidder is required to furnish supporting certificates/documents signed by the Client or its Engineer-incharge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in	We hereby undertake that there is no awarded / additional ongoing work / Project left for which Appointed Date / Commencement Date has been declared or on-going works to be completed in between issuance of Statutory Auditor’s (SA) Certificate Dtd. 01.11.2021 to Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021. Once again we undertake that Statutory Auditor’s (SA) Certificate Dtd. 01.11.2021 was same as on Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021.	As the bidder has now undertaken that there is no awarded / additional ongoing work / Project left for which Appointed Date / Commencement Date has been declared or on-going works to be completed in between issuance of Statutory Auditor’s (SA) Certificate Dtd. 01.11.2021 to Bid Due Date i.e. 23.11.2021. The same may be accepted and further evaluation has been carried out accordingly.
---	---	----	---	--	---

			respect of BOT Projects, for the calculation of the Bid Capacity.		
--	--	--	---	--	--

Name of the Bidder: 27. Varindra – Varaha (JV)					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1.	Responsive ness Clause 2.11.1(g)	NA	The document fee has been verified by the NHAI accounts division, but the amount of the fees was not traceable. A query in this regard was asked by the Technical division. The Bidder has provided the details, however, the amount of document fee still cannot be traced. Bidder to provide proper details including the name of the Bank from which the payment has been made to verify the same. Submission of copy of online receipt towards the payment of cost of Bid document in Authority's designated bank account is the requirement of clause 2.11.1 (g) of the RFP, failing which the Bidder will be considered as non-responsive.	We had paid the tender fee of Rs. 1,20,00,000 on 22.11.2021 through NEFT vide UTR no. N326211722161538, for participation in the subject tender. The transaction was processed from HDFC Bank Limited. We are hereby enclosing Bank statement and UTR reference number for your reference. Kindly check and acknowledge the same.	As the bidder has now submitted details for the submission of cost of bid document of Rs. 1,20,000/-. Authority may confirm the same from the concern division.

Name of the Bidder: 28. Shri S.M. Autade Pvt. Ltd.					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	Annexure - I (Details of Bidder)	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure-I (Details of Bidder) wherein table of para 7 (b) [point no. (i) to (xx)] are not as per the format given in RFP. Bidder to clarify.	Herewith this letter we are submitting corrected Annexure-I (Details of bidder), Declaration and undertaking with respect to para 2.1.14 of RFP.	As the bidder has now submitted corrected Annexure-I (Details of bidder), Declaration and undertaking with respect to para 2.1.14 of RFP. The same may be accepted.

Name of the Bidder: 29. H.G. Infra Engineering Limited					
Sl. No.	Appendix / Annexure No.	Project Code	Observation	Reply Received	FC Comments
1	BIMS	NA	Details for submission of bid on BIMS portal is not found submitted. Bidder to submit the same.	We would like to clarify that we have tried to submit documents on BIMS but that we were facing technical and Network error. Our details are already lying with BIMS but the particular information could not be uploaded on BIMS due to technical error and to see the shortest time we have submitted complete technical bid with all required documents on etenders.gov.in May kindly be considered.	The bidder has not uploaded its technical bid on BIMS portal due to technical and Network error. However the same is required as per Clause 2.11.1 of RFP. Further Authority may take a view.
2	Annexure - I (Details of Bidder)	NA	Bidder has submitted Annexure-I (Details of Bidder) wherein table of para 7 (b) [point no. (i) to (xx)] are not as per the format given in RFP. Bidder to clarify.	We would like to clarify that due to oversight we have submitted Annex I as per as per RFP dated 16.08.2021. We are enclosing herewith revised Annex I as per corrigendum issued on 20.10.2021/09.11.2021 for your kind perusal	As the bidder has now clarified that due to oversight they have submitted Annex I as per as per RFP dated 16.08.2021 and has now submitted revised Annexure- I as per corrigendum issued on 20.10.2021/09.11.2021. The same may be accepted.

3	Annexure - VI (Value of B)	NA	Bidder has submitted undertaking regarding Value of B wherein project details remains unchanged till the bid due date however the same has some missing words. Bidder to clarify.	This is due to oversight. Revised undertaking regarding Value of B is enclosed for your kind perusal.	As the bidder has now clarified that it was due to oversight and has now submitted revised undertaking. The same may be accepted.
4	Appendix - VI (Integrity Pact)	NA	Bidder has submitted Appendix- VI (Integrity Pact) wherein Article- 8 bidder has mentioned “<i>The Principal appoints Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.) {Reference Office Order No. 11019/2797/2021-Admn(E 76881)/870-Manual dated 1/105.2021 and Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) {Reference Office Order No. 11019/2797/2021-Admn (E-76883/37312)/912-Manual dated 28.05.2021 competent and credible Independent External Monitor for this Pact after approval from Central Vigilance Commission. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.</i>” Instead of “<i>The Principal has appointed Smt. Gauri Kumar, IAS (Retd.), Sh. Ashish Bhauguna, IAS (Retd.) and Sh.</i>	We would like to clarify that due to oversight we have submitted Annexure VI (Integrity Pact) as per RFP dated 16.08.2021. We are enclosing herewith revised Integrity Pact having amend article 8 as per corrigendum issued on 09.11.21 for your kind perusal	As the bidder has now clarified that it was due to oversight and has now submitted revised Integrity Pact having amend article 8 as per corrigendum issued on 09.11.21. The same may be accepted.

			<i>Animesh Chauhan (Ex-MD & CEO, Oriental Bank of Commerce) as Independent External Monitor (IEM) for this pact. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.”</i> <i>Bidder to clarify.</i>		
5	Appendix IX- Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs)	NA	Bidder has submitted Appendix IX- Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs) wherein bidder has mentioned project name as “<i>Construction of 6 laning from Belgaum to Sankeshwar Bypass from Km. 515+000 to Km. 555+017 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-I)</i>” Instead of “<i>BID for Construction of 6 laning from Sankeshwar Bypass to MH-KNT Border from Km. 555+017 to Km. 592+853 of NH-48 in the state of Karnataka on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package-II)</i>”.	This is due to oversight and typo error. Revised Appendix-IX is enclosed for your kind perusal and consideration.	As the bidder has now clarified that it was due to oversight and typo error. Further, bidder has now submitted revised Appendix- IX. The same may be accepted.

			Bidder to clarify.		
--	--	--	--------------------	--	--