



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government Of India)

परियोजना कार्यान्वयन इकाई, जयपुर/ Project Implementation Unit, Jaipur

डी-148, आर. एस.ई.बी. सब-स्टेशन के पीछे, वैशाली नगर, जयपुर-302021

D-148, Behind RSEB Sub-Station, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur-302021

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-141 - 4026465, ई-मेल / E-mail : jai@nhai.org



NHAI/JPR/Blackspot flyover/Main work/2022/ 392

Dated: 13.05.2022

To,
All the Bidders,
(as per list attached)

Sub: Construction of six lane flyover at the junction of Bhankrota (Ch. 278+278), Narsinghpura (Ch. 282+640), Mahalan (Ch. 301+090), Mokhampura (Ch. 312+347), Padasoli (Ch. 339+879) and Construction of Three Lane Flyover at Heerapura Junction (Ch. 275+891) on Jaipur Kishangarh section of NH-8 (New NH-48) in the State of Rajasthan- **Result of Preliminary Technical Evaluation (Tender_ID;- 2021_NHAI_83794_1) -reg.**

Sir,

The bids for subject work were invited with due date of online submission of RFP on 27.01.2022 upto 11:00 hrs. and due date of opening of Technical bids as 28.01.2022. Fifteen (15) proposals were received which were opened by the committee formed vide this office order dated 27.01.2022 on the schedule date & time. This is for all Concerned bidders that the bids submitted by their firms for the subject project have been evaluated and the results of preliminary technical evaluation has been approved by NHAI, RO-Jaipur vide e-office dated 13.05.2022 which are as under:- (101105)

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Capacity		Financial Capacity		Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 115.01 Cr.) (Rs. In Cr)	Remarks
		Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 115.01 Cr) (Rs. In Cr)	Whether Bidder meets 20% of EPC (i.e. Rs. 23.002 Cr) required in category 1 & 3 project (Rs. In Cr)	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 5.751 Cr.) (Rs. In Cr)	Average Annual Turnover (Required Rs. 17.252 Cr) (Rs. In Cr)		
1.	Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	1769.08	Refer Project Code 'a' Updated EPC: Rs. 186.54 Cr.	784.63	689.35	988.47	Nil
2.	Sona Builders	329.53	Refer Project Code 'A' Updated EPC: Rs. 26.51 Cr.	20.22	101.69	-43.49	Not eligible as per Clause 2.2.2.1, of RFP. (Refer Note No.: 1 beneath this table)
3.	Vijay M Mistry Construction Private Limited	538.40	Refer Project Code 'A' Updated EPC: Rs. 140.58 Cr.	93.80	243.73	392.48	Nil
4.	R S Sharma Contractors Pvt Ltd	133.20	Refer Project Code 'H' Updated EPC: Rs. 28.39 Cr.	11.04	32.80	169.04	Nil

5.	Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Limited	423.76	Refer Project at page no. 32 of techbid2 Updated EPC: Rs. 50.08 Cr.	119.67	414.68	221.66	Nil
6.	Tomar Construction Company	135.56	Refer Project Code 'A' Updated EPC: Rs. 74.74 Cr.	12.55	36.48	135.78	Nil
7.	Krishi Infratech	464.90	Refer Project Code 'A' Updated EPC: Rs. 56.31 Cr.	38.39	219.98	93.80	Not eligible as per Clause 2.2.2.1, of RFP. (Refer Note No.: 2 beneath this table)
8.	Macro Electro Tech Private Limited	0.00	Not Available	0.00	0.00	0.00	Not eligible as per Clause 2.20.2 read along with 3.1.6.1, 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(i), (ii), (iii) and 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP (Refer Note No.: 3 beneath this table)
9.	Balabharti Infrastructure Private Limited	114.95	Refer Project Code 'A' Updated EPC: Rs. 39.56 Cr.	0.00	35.29	290.26	Not eligible as per Clause 2.2.2.2(i), (iii) and 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. (Refer Note No.: 4 beneath this table)
10.	Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.	1526.74	Refer Project Code 'A' Updated EPC: Rs. 130.08 Cr.	155.00	588.88	1884.63	Nil
11.	Pranjal Construction-ICC infra project India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)						
	Pranjal Construction	0.00	Not Available	8.03	17.26	68.75	Not eligible as per Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(i), 2.2.2.2(ii) and 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. (Refer Note No.: 2 beneath this table)
	ICC infra project India Pvt. Ltd.	0.00		1.75	9.01	19.98	
	TOTAL	0.00		9.78	26.27	88.73	
12.	Bharat Spun Pipe and Construction Company	108.56	Not Available	0.00	35.33	118.61	Not eligible as per Clause 2.2.2.2(i), 2.2.2.2(ii), (iii) and 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. (Refer Note No.: 2 beneath this table)
13.	Ceigall India Limited	1909.47	Refer Project Code 'b' Updated EPC: Rs. 140.38 Cr.	304.78	468.68	342.94	Nil
14.	Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.- Giriraj Civil Developers Ltd. (JV)						
	Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	320.83	Refer Project Code 'b' of LM	64.19	233.83	717.24	Nil

2

	Giriraj Civil Developers Ltd.	37.27	Updated EPC: Rs. 207.23 Cr.	29.79	50.65	95.79	Nil
	TOTAL	358.10		93.98	284.48	813.03	Nil
15.	J.K. Associates.	410.68	Refer Project Code 'c' Updated EPC: Rs. 110.00 Cr.	23.17	159.80	330.61	Nil

2. Reason for Non-Responsive & Non-Qualified are as under :-

2.1 SONA BUILDERS

- A. Bidder has not submitted the Certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works for which Appointed Date/Commencement Date has been declared and on-going works issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer which is required as per RFP. Hence, in the absence of certificates in support of value of B, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Rs. 115.01 Cr specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Assessed Available Bid Capacity of the Bidder is Rs. (43.49Cr) against the requirement of Rs.115.01 Cr. Therefore, the bidder is recommended as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.
- B. Date of signing of various documents vis-à-vis **Appendix-IA, Appendix-IB Annex-V, Annexure-VIII, Appendix-II, Integrity Pact and Appendix-IX** is mentioned as 06.01.2022 whereas the Authorized Signatory i.e. Mr. Mukesh kumar Vasant lal Patel, Partner has derived the power to sign the Bid through POA executed on 07.01.2022. The submitted documents may not be considered as correct execution the aforesaid documents on has been signed on 06.01.2022 i.e. before deriving the power through POA.
- C. Bidder has not submitted the Certificate w.r.t. No conflict of Interest.
- D. Bidder has not submitted a Certificate from the Statutory Auditor or Cost Auditor of the Company (in case of Companies) or from a practicing Cost Accountant or practicing Chartered Accountant (in respect of Suppliers other than Companies) giving the percentage of Local Content upon Construction of the Project as per the provisions of the Corrigendum issued along with the RFP. **In the absence of this document the Bidder may be considered as Non Local Supplier for the project.**

In view of the above the Bidder is considered as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.

2.2 KRISHI INFRATECH:

Bidder has not submitted the Certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works for which Appointed Date/Commencement Date has been declared and on-going works issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer which is required as per RFP. **Hence, in the absence of certificate in support of**

2

value of B, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria Rs. 115.01 Cr specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Assessed Available Bid Capacity of the Bidder is Rs. 93.80Cr against the requirement of Rs.115.01 Cr. **Therefore, the bidder is recommended as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.**

2.3 MACRO ELECTRO TECH PRIVATE LIMITED

- A. As per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP "A Technical BID shall be considered responsive only if it is accompanied by **Copy of online receipt towards payment of cost of Bid document of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only)**. The bidder in its submission has mentioned that submitting of Tender Fee is exempted for MSM. However, during the review we find that there is no mention of exemption from the submission tender fee in the RFP documents, therefore submission of the bidder is not considered. Therefore, non-submission of cost of Bid Document of Rs. 10,000/-, Technical Bid is considered as Non-Responsive.

B. Technical Capacity

The Bidder has neither in its original submission nor in the replies has provided the Annex-II (Technical capacity) and Annex-IV (Details of eligible projects) along with supporting certificates of its Statutory Auditor/Client. Therefore, we are not able to assess the Technical capacity of the Bidder for the projects. In the Absence of the required documents it is considered as NIL.

C. Financial capacity-

As per clause 2.2.2.9 of RFP, "The Bidder shall enclose with its Technical Bid, to be submitted as per the format at Appendix-IA, complete with its Annexes, which also include Certificate(s) from its statutory auditors specifying the net worth of the Bidder, as at the close of the preceding financial year, and also specifying that the methodology adopted for calculating such net worth conforms to the provisions of this Clause 2.2.2.9 (ii)". However, during the review of the documents, it has been found that in support of Net Worth, Certificate of its Statutory Auditor is not enclosed. Therefore, net worth of the Bidder is considered as nil in our evaluation

- D. As per clause 2.2.2.8(i) and 2.2.2.8(ii) of RFP, which require that "The Technical Bid must be accompanied by the Audited Annual Reports of the Bidder (of each Member in case of a Joint Venture) for the last 5 (five) financial years, preceding the year in which the bid is submitted". In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In such a case, the Bidder shall provide the Audited Annual Reports for 5 (five) years preceding the year for which the Audited Annual Report is not being provided". During the review of the documents it has been found that Annual Accounts for the Year 2020-21 has not been submitted .In the instant case the Bidder has



neither provided an Undertaking duly certified by Statutory Auditor nor submitted the Audited Annual Accounts for the FY 2020-21.

- E. Bidder has not submitted the Certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works for which Appointed Date/Commencement Date has been declared and on-going works issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer which is required as per RFP. Hence, in the absence of certificates in support of value of B, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Rs. 115.01 Cr specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Assessed Available Bid Capacity of the Bidder is as NIL against the requirement of Rs.115.01 Cr.
- F. The bidder does not meet the criteria of at least one similar work of 20% of EPC i.e. Rs. 23.00 Cr as required under clause 2.2.2.2(ii). From the submitted documents we have not found any projects which meets the required criteria as mentioned in clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

In view of the above the bidder fails to meet the criteria of Bid Capacity, Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and at least one similar work of 20% of EPC i.e. Rs. 23.00 Cr as required under clause 2.2.2.2(ii). Therefore, the bidder is considered as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.

2.4 BALABHARTI INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD.

Technical Capacity

During the review of the Technical Bid, it has been found that the Bidder in its submission has claimed its Technical Capacity of Rs.120.61 Cr with the projects bearing sr. no A to E, in Annex-II,(Technical Capacity). Bidder has claimed project code b under category 3 which is related to "*construction of Reinforced wall and crash barrier and protection work*" which cannot be considered under the definition of Highway sector as per the provisions of RFP. However, in our evaluation this project has been considered under Category 4-experience in core sector as per the provisions of RFP.

In view of the consideration of that project in core sector , Bidder does not meet the criteria of Threshold Technical capacity of Rs.115.01 Cr as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP. Assessed Technical Capacity of the bidder worked out as Rs 114.95 Cr against the requirement of Rs 115.01 Cr, therefore the bidder is recommended as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.



Financial Capacity

1. In Annex-III, Bidder has mentioned the net cash Accrual for the FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20 instead of FY 2016-17 to 2020-21.
2. Bidder has claimed turnover for the FY 2020-21 on the basis of unaudited Balance Sheet instead of audited Financial Statement for the FY 2020-21.
3. In Statutory Auditor certificate certifying the Net Worth, the Statutory Auditor of the Bidder has certified the Net Worth as on 31.03.2019 on the basis of audited Balance Sheet instead of certifying the Net Worth as on 31.03.2021, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.9 (ii) of RFP.
4. Bidder has not submitted the Audited Annual Report for the FY 2020-21, which is required as per Clause 2.2.2.8 (i) of RFP.
5. In case the Financial Statements for FY 2020-21 has not been audited as on the bid due date of the project, an Undertaking duly certified by the Statutory Auditor stating that the annual accounts for the latest financial year i.e. 2020-21 has not been audited as on the bid due date of this project and therefore, the Bidder cannot make it available, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.8.(ii) of RFP. However, Bidder has submitted an undertaking for the same which is certified by the Statutory auditor as on 23.09.2021(as per UDIN verification) which cannot be acceptable as status of Audit of Financial Statement as on the bid due date is not clear from the undertaking.

Hence, the Net worth and Turnover of the project 2020-21 has been considered as NIL in our evaluation.

In view of the above the bidder fails to meet the criteria of Technical and Financial Capacity , therefore considered as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.

2.5 PRANJAL CONSTRUCTION -ICC INFRA PROJECT INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED. (IV)

- A. Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarification sought **(for detail refer Annexure-A of our report)**. Accordingly, in view of non-submission of replies, the Bid submitted by the Bidder may be declared as non-responsive in accordance with Clause 3.1.5 of RFP, the relevant extract of which are furnished below-

"If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected. In case the Bid is not rejected, the Authority may proceed to evaluate the Bid by construing the particulars requiring clarification to the best of its understanding, and the Bidder shall be barred from subsequently questioning such interpretation of the Authority".



- B. The POA submitted by the Lead Member i.e. Pranjal Construction is not as per the prescribed format of RFP, which is required as per Appendix-III of RFP.
- C. Both the members of JV have not submitted the Certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works for which Appointed Date/Commencement Date has been declared and on-going works issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer which is required as per RFP. **Hence, in the absence of certificates in support of value of B, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Rs. 115.01 Cr specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. Total Assessed Available Bid Capacity of the Bidder is Rs. 88.73Cr against the requirement of Rs.115.01 Cr. Therefore, the bidder is recommended as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.**
- D. The application for the project has been made in the Joint Venture wherein Pranjal Construction is the Lead Member and ICC Infra Project India Private Limited is the other member. As per clause 2.1.11 (C) of RFP, "Lead Member shall met at least 60% requirement of Bid Capacity, Technical and Financial Capacity, required as per Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(i) & 2.2.2.3. The nomination(s) shall be supported by a Power of Attorney, as per the format at Appendix-III, signed by all the other Members of the Joint Venture. Other Member(s) shall meet at least 20% requirement of Bid Capacity, Technical and Financial Capacity required as per Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(i) & 2.2.2.3 and the JV as a whole shall cumulatively/collectively fulfil the 100% requirement"

In the instant case Lead Member has claimed Rs 132.41 with projects bearing Sr. No. A to P. Other Member has claimed Rs 41.50 Cr with the projects bearing Sr . no A. However, it has been found that there are neither the certificates of Statutory Auditor nor the Client wherein the year-wise receipts and payments against the claimed projects have been mentioned. Therefore, in the absence of relevant details, projects as claimed by the both members have not been considered in evaluation. In view of this Applicant fails to meet the eligibility criteria of Technical capacity of RFP. Assessed Technical Capacity of the bidder comes to Nil against the requirement of Rs.115.01 Cr.

In view of the above the bidder fails to meet the criteria of Technical Capacity and Bid Capacity as required in RFP , therefore considered as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.

2.6 BHARAT SPUN PIPE AND CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

- A. As per clause 2.2.2.9 of RFP, "*The Bidder shall enclose with its Technical Bid, to be submitted as per the format at Appendix-IA, complete with its Annexes, which also include Certificate(s) from its statutory auditors specifying the net worth of the Bidder, as at the close of the preceding financial year, and also specifying that the methodology adopted for calculating such net worth conforms to the provisions of this Clause 2.2.2.9 (ii)*". However, during the review of the documents, it has been found that in support of Net Worth, Certificate of its Statutory Auditor is not enclosed. Therefore, net worth of the Bidder is considered as nil in our evaluation.

- B. As per clause 2.2.2.8(i) and 2.2.2.8(ii) of RFP, which require that *"The Technical Bid must be accompanied by the Audited Annual Reports of the Bidder (of each Member in case of a Joint Venture) for the last 5 (five) financial years, preceding the year in which the bid is submitted"*. In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In such a case, the Bidder shall provide the Audited Annual Reports for 5 (five) years preceding the year for which the Audited Annual Report is not being provided. During the review of the documents it has been found that Provisional Audited Annual Accounts for the Year 2020-21 has been submitted instead of Audited Annual Accounts for the same period. In the instant case the Bidder has neither provided an Undertaking duly certified by Statutory Auditor nor submitted the Audited Annual Accounts for the FY 2020-21.

Further, it has also found that only balance sheets & financial statements are enclosed for the FY 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 but Audited report has not been enclosed for the same period. However, as per clause 2.11.1 (m), a Bidder is required to submit Copies of duly audited complete annual accounts of the Bidder or of each member (in case of Joint Venture) for preceding 5 years.

- A. During the review of the Technical Bid, it has been found that the Bidder in its submission has claimed Rs.131.40 Cr with projects bearing sr. no A to F, in Annex-II, (Technical Capacity). However, in Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects) Sr no of projects are not mentioned. Therefore, it was very difficult to co-relate the projects mentioned in Annex-II with Annex-IV. In our evaluation we have considered the projects as per the order of submission in Annex-IV, related it to the Annex-II and wherever evaluation Technical Score is more than the claimed score in that case, evaluated score has been restricted to the claimed only. In view of this Assessed Technical Capacity of the Bidder is worked out as Rs 108.56 Cr against the requirement of Rs. 115.01 Cr. Hence, bidder fails to meet the eligibility criteria of Technical Capacity and recommended as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.

- D. The bidder does not meet the criteria of at least one similar work of 20% of EPC i.e. Rs. 23.00 Cr as required under clause 2.2.2.2(ii). From the submitted documents we have not found any projects which meets the required criteria as mentioned in clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.

In view of the above the bidder fails to meet the criteria of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and at least one similar work of 20% of EPC i.e. Rs. 23.00 Cr as required under clause 2.2.2.2(ii). Therefore considered as Technically Non-Responsive for the project.

3. You are requested to submit your comments within 7 days i.e. 20.05.2022 upto 05:00 PM as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. If no comments are received by due date, financial bid of technically qualified bidders shall be opened.


(Ajay Arya)
Project Director

List of the Bidder

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s. Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s. Sona Builders	Technically Non - Responsive
3.	M/s. Vijay M Mistry Construction Private Limited	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s R S Sharma Contractors Pvt Ltd	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s. Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Limited	Technically Responsive
6.	M/s. Tomar Construction Company.	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s. Krishi Infratech	Technically Non - Responsive
8.	M/s. Macro Electro Tech Private Limited	Technically Non - Responsive
9.	M/s. Balabharti Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Non - Responsive
10.	M/s. Ravi Infrabuild Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
11.	M/s. Pranjal Construction-ICC infra project India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Non - Responsive
12.	M/s. Bharat Spun Pipe and Construction Company	Technically Non - Responsive
13.	M/s. Ceigall India Limited	Technically Responsive
14.	M/s. Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.-Giriraj Civil Developers Ltd.(JV).	Technically Responsive
15.	M/s. J.K. Associates.	Technically Responsive

