



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)
National Highways Authority of India
(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)
जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075
G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200
फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

BP/Phase-I/LA/EC/Ludhiana-Bathinda Greenfield/IE/2021

Date: 31.12.2021

Meeting Notice

Sub: Independent Engineer Services for Development of 6-lane access controlled Ludhiana-Bathinda Greenfield highway section of NH-754AD from junction with Amritsar-Bathinda Greenfield Road (NH-754A) near Rampura Phul to junction with Delhi-Katra Expressway (NE-5) near Ludhiana (village Ballawal) (from km 00+00 to km 75+543) as a part of Ludhiana-Ajmer Economic Corridor in the state of Punjab on Hybrid Annuity Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana Phase-I-**Opening of Financial Bids-reg.**

It is hereby to convene meeting of the evaluation committee on 1700 IST, 31.12.2021 at E-Tender Room, Old NHA Office Building to open & evaluate financial bids for subject work.

2. All the committee members are requested to kindly attend the meeting.

Yours faithfully,

(V K Joshi)

General Manager (Tech)

Copy To:

- | | | |
|-------|----------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Sh. S Q Ahmed, GM (Fin.) | Member |
| (ii) | Sh. Rajneesh Kapoor, GM(T) | Member |
| (iii) | Sh. Ashok Kumar Jat, DM(T) | Member |



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)
National Highways Authority of India
(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)
जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075
G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200
फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

BP/Phase-I/LA/EC/Ludhiana-Bathinda Greenfield/IE/2021

Date: 31.12.2021

To,

The Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub: Independent Engineer Services for Development of 6-lane access controlled Ludhiana-Bathinda Greenfield highway section of NH-754AD from junction with Amritsar-Bathinda Greenfield Road (NH-754A) near Rampura Phul to junction with Delhi-Katra Expressway (NE-5) near Ludhiana (village Ballawal) (from km 00+00 to km 75+543) as a part of Ludhiana-Ajmer Economic Corridor in the state of Punjab on Hybrid Annuity Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana Phase-I-Opening of Financial proposal Reg.

Ref.: (i) RFP submission dated 14.06.2021.
(ii) NHA letter dated 07.12.2021 regarding uploading technical score.
(iii) NHA letter dated 22.12.2021 regarding updated technical bid.

The representation submitted by the bidder in pursuant of NHA HQ letter dt. 07.12.2021 has been examined constituted Evaluation Committee and reply to the representation as approved by Competent Authority is enclosed at **Annex-I**. Thereafter, based upon updated technical capacity submitted by responsive bidders, the bids of the responsive bidders has further evaluated and accordingly, the final status and final Technical Score of the bidders are as under:-

1.1 Non-Responsive & Not Considered Firms:-

S. No	Name of Firm	Original Technical Score	Final Technical Score	Remarks
1	M/s. Yongma Engineering Co., Ltd. in JV with M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in Association with M/s. Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Non Responsive	The lead firm doesn't fulfill minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**-laning project. After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, it is found that lead firm experience for the eligible length under the said criteria is less than the required length i.e. 75.543 km. Accordingly, the consortium remains non-responsive.
2	M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association	Not Considered	Not Considered	Evaluation Committee was appraised that vide Circular no. NHA/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019 dated 15.06.2021, it has informed that debarment of M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.

Valid

	with M/s. Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.			will be expiring on 16.06.2021 and said firm will be eligible for submitting bids in NHAI w.e.f 17.06.2021. Thus, as on bid due date i.e. 14.06.2021, the firm was blacklisted. In addition, vide MoRT&H circular no. RW/NH-33044/76/2021 -S&R(P&B) dt. 07.10.2021(Copy Enclosed), has directed that <i>Non-performer/debarment status of a bidder on the bid due date will be the criteria for eligibility of a bidder to participate in the said bid.</i> Accordingly, Evaluation Committee has decided that RFP proposal of M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. has not been considered and will not be evaluated.
3	M/s. Highway Engineering Consultant in Association with M/s. Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Based upon updated technical bid capacity submitted by the bidder, the bidder doesn't fulfill required minimum remaining bid capacity criteria as per NHAI Circular no. 10.1.29/2021 dt. 23.06.2021 & clause 15(C) of RFP. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive.
4	M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. in JV with M/s. ARKITECHNO Consultants (I) Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s. Kaius Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	The other firm of consortium doesn't fulfill minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**-laning project. After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, it is found that other firm experience for the eligible length under the said criteria is less than the required length i.e. 60.43 km. Accordingly, the consortium remains non-responsive.
5	M/s Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd. in JV with TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIO S S.L.	Not Considered	Not Considered	Bidder has not extended bid validity, therefore, the bid has not been considered.

a. Technically responsive firm along with their final technical scores:-

SN	Name of the bidder	Final Technical Scores
1	M/s. URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited in JV with M/s. G-Eng. Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.	94.28
2	M/s. SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Upham International Corporation	95.50

3	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in JV with K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. & in Association with INFRAILLUMINATIONS LLP	96.14
---	--	-------

2. Opening of the Financial Bids of following technically responsive bidders as per Clause 5.4 of RFP on E-Tender mode is scheduled to be held on 31.12.2021 at 1700 IST in IT Training Room, Ground Floor at NHAI HQ.

SN	Name of the bidder	Final Technical Scores
1	M/s. URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited in JV with M/s. G-Eng. Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.	94.28
2	M/s. SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Upham International Corporation	95.50
3	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in JV with K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. & in Association with INFRAILLUMINATIONS LLP	96.14

3. The above technically responsive bidders are requested to depute representative with proper authorization letter for attending the opening of Financial Bids.

Encl-A/A

Yours faithfully,

(V.K. Joshi)

General Manager (T) Haryana

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
1.	M/s. Yongma Engineering Co., Ltd. in JV with M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in Association with M/s. Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd. letter no. YM(EN)2021-1312001 dt. 13.12.2021				
1.	Minimum Eligibility	As per technical evaluation sheet uploaded on website, our bid is declared as “Non-Eligible” due to not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria by Lead Partner i.e. M/s YONGMA Engineering Co. Ltd. We would like to kind your notice that Lead Partner M/s YONGMA Engineering Co. Ltd are meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as follows: 1. Minimum Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects should be 75.74 Km : Kindly refer Attached Summary Sheet of fulfillment of Minimum eligibility criteria of Lead Partner. M/s YONGMA has experience of more than 489.92 Km of length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects in the last 07 years. The length is more than minimum required.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, it is found that lead firm experience for the eligible length under the said criteria is less than the required length i.e. 75.543 km. Accordingly, the consortium remains non-responsive and no further evaluation of representation was done.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
2	M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. letter no. Theme/BD/NHAI/ Ludhiana-Bathinda/2021/4540 dt. 13.12.2021				
		M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. was debarred by NHAI on 17.12.20 for 2 years which was subsequently reduced to 6 months i.e. upto 16.06.21. Hon'ble High court of Delhi had stayed the impugned order of NHAI. The matter of debarment is sub Judice and scheduled to be decided by the Hon'ble High court of Delhi on 31.01.2022. NHAI did not allow the INFRACON to unblock us despite court stay orders and therefore, we could not submit our bid though our company Id but had confirmed the CV's of Key experts through our Associate partner I'd i.e. M/s. Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. on INFRACON. As such we had tried our level best to honour the Hon'ble court decision. As such the bids submitted by us on 14.06.21 should be considered.	Not Considered	Not Considered	Evaluation Committee was appraised that vide Circular no. NHAI/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019 dated 15.06.2021, it has informed that debarment of M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. will be expiring on 16.06.2021 and said firm will be eligible for submitting bids in NHAI w.e.f 17.06.2021. Thus, as on bid due date i.e. 14.06.2021, the firm was blacklisted. In addition, vide MoRT&H circular no. RW/NH-33044/76/2021 -S&R(P&B) dt. 07.10.2021(Copy Enclosed), has directed that <i>Non-performer/debarment status of a bidder on the bid due date will be the criteria for eligibility of a bidder to participate in the said bid.</i> Accordingly, Evaluation Committee has decided that RFP proposal of M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. has not been considered and will not be evaluated.
3	M/s. SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Upham International Corporation letter no. SAICPL-UIC/SAICPL/BP/Ph-1/AJ/EC/Ludhiana-Bathinda/GF/IE/2021/16340 dt. 13.12.2021				
1.	Firm Experience	The applicant has claimed 15 projects & claimed full 6 marks under following criterion: Experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-	4.5	6.0	In this context, it is to inform that 2 nos. of project mentioned at Sr. No. 1 & 2 of representation were already been considered and 4.5 marks was assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the bid & representation, based on proof attached by

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years. 1 project - 4 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks.			bidder, project at Sr. 4,5&15 are also eligible under said criteria. Accordingly, bidder entitles full marks i.e. 6 marks under this criteria.
2	Technology Experience	The applicant has claimed that they have submitted "Tax Invoice" from M/s. Aimil Ltd. towards ownership of FWD and the same is attached at Page 417-418 of the bid. In addition, in the representation has also submitted further documents to prove ownership of the equipment. RFP Criteria: Experience in Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) or better technology for pavement strength measurement Equipment on MoU with Associate or on hiring basis/ Own Equipment – 2 marks	0	2	After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, it has able to established that bidder owns FWD equipment. Accordingly, as per RFP, marks under this criteria is changed to 2 marks.
3	Road Safety Expert	The bidder has claimed that proposed key personnel has experience in this criteria for 7 projects, claimed 20 marks under this criteria. Refer Sr. No. 2(iii)	0	0	After re-examination infracon CV of the proposed key personnel, as per nature of assignment, none of claimed project has been done as DPR, As per RFP <i>Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at different stages including at least one at design stage</i>

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at different stages including at least one at design stage < 2 nos -0; 2 nos -16 Add 2 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks.			< 2 nos -0 2 nos -16 Add 2 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks. Hence, no marks changed under this criteria.
4	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in JV with K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. & in Association with INFRAILLUMINATIONS LLP letter no. CPC/IE-PB/20-21/T21038/03 dt. 09.12.2021				
1.	Firm Experienc e	The applicant has claimed 6 projects & claimed full 12 marks under following criterion: Experience as Independent Engineer/Construction Supervision in Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years. 1 project - 9 marks Add 1(one) mark extra for completed assignment of Independent Engineer and add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 3 (three) marks.	11.5	11.5	In this context, it is to inform that 5 nos. of project mentioned at Sr. No. 1,4 & 6 of representation and two other project which is not claimed in the representation , were already been considered and 11.5 marks was assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the bid & representation, , following projects are not been considered due to the reasons as under:- Sr. No. 2 – As per bid & representation, it is foreign project and apostille is not enclosed. Sr. No. 3 – As per bid & representation, this project is same project as project mentioned at Sr. no. 1. Sr. No. 5 – As per bid & representation, it is not able to established that construction period of the project has been completed after cut off date i.e. 13.06.2014 Hence, no change in the marks.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		The applicant has claimed 15 projects & claimed full 06 marks under following criterion: In hand DPRs of Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress) <2 – 0 Marks 2-5 – 4 Marks > 5 – 6 Marks	4	6	In this context, it is to inform that 5 nos. of project mentioned at Sr. No. 1,3,6,13 & 14 of representation were already been considered and 4 marks was assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, It is found that 6 nos. of projects are eligible under this criteria Accordingly, marks under this criteria is changed to 6 marks.
2.	Technology Experience	The applicant has claimed 8 projects & claimed full 4 marks under following criterion: Experience in Retro reflectometer technology Equipment (a) Equipment on MoU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment- 2 Marks Experience (a) 1-3 Projects-1 marks (b) >3 Projects- 2 marks	3	4	In this context, it is to inform that bidder has submitted proof of ownership & 3 nos. of project mentioned at Sr. No. 1,5 & 7 of representation were already been considered and 3 marks was assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, It is found that 4 nos. of projects are eligible under this criteria Accordingly, marks under this criteria is changed to 4 marks.
3	Senior Pavement Specialist	The applicant has claimed 07 projects & claimed full 20 marks under this criterion. RFP Criteria:	18	18	In this context, it is to inform that 03 nos. of project P.no 2,3&4 of representation were already been considered and accordingly 18 marks were assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the bid & representation, Projects P.no.1,5,6&7 are not being considered because Proposed key

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geotechnical Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos -0 marks 2 nos -16 marks Add 2 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks			personnel has not worked as Pavement/ Geotechnical Engineer as mentioned in the said criteria. Therefore, the same cannot be considered. Hence, no change in the marks.
5.	M/s. Highway Engineering Consultant in Association with M/s. Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant letter no. HEC/NHAI/IE/Ludhiana-Bathinda/PB/2021-22/2506 dt. 11.12.2021				
1.	Technical Capacity	Minimum remaining bid capacity as per clause 15(C) of RFP.	Non Responsive	Non Responsive**	The applicant has claimed submitted list of 66 key professionals on full time rolls and submitted updated Form 26AS to prove regular employment. However, bidder has not provided updated list of ongoing assignment (Total / awarded in Current Financial year) of NHAI. In this context, it is to inform that earlier 36 nos. of key professionals on full time rolls, were considered and accordingly, as per project list submitted in the bid, remaining bid capacity was calculated & came out less than zero.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, 5 more key professional (total eligible 41) among 66 key professional claimed in the bid, has been found eligible under this criteria. Accordingly, bidder is now eligible under this criteria and bid becomes responsive. **However, based upon updated technical bid capacity submitted by the bidder, the bidder doesn't fulfill required minimum remaining bid capacity criteria as per NHAI Circular no. 10.1.29/2021 dt. 23.06.2021 & clause 15(C) of RFP. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive.
6.	M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. in JV with M/s. ARKITECHNO Consultants (I) Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s. Kaius Consulting Pvt. Ltd. letter no. DHRUV/Ludhiana-Bathinda Greenfield section /0-75/2021dt. 09.12.2021				
1.	Technical Capacity	The Lead firm has claimed list of 58 key professionals on full time rolls and submitted updated Form 26AS to prove regular employment. However, bidder has not provided updated list of ongoing assignment (Total / awarded in Current Financial year) of NHAI. Further, The other firm has claimed list of 26 key professionals on full time rolls and submitted updated Form 26AS to prove regular employment. However, bidder has not provided updated list of ongoing assignment (Total / awarded in Current Financial year) of NHAI Minimum remaining bid capacity as per clause 15(C) of RFP & NHAI	Non Responsive	Responsive	In this context, it is to inform that earlier, 24 nos. & 00 nos. of key professionals on full time rolls, were considered for lead & other firm, respectively and accordingly, as per project list submitted in the bid, remaining bid capacity was calculated & The Lead firm , Other Firm & Consortium Jointly were not fulfilling minimum required remaining bid capacity as per clause 15(C) of RFP & NHAI Circular no. 10.1.29/2021 dt. 23.06.2021. After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, for lead firm 24 more key professional (total eligible 48) among 58 key professional claimed in the bid, has been found eligible under this criteria and for other firm 14 key professional (total eligible 14) among 26 key professional claimed in the bid, have been found eligible under this criteria Accordingly, revised bid capacity has been calculated and it has found that the Lead firm, other firm & consortium jointly is fulfilling minimum required remaining bid capacity as per clause 15(C) of RFP & NHAI Circular no. 10.1.29/2021 dt. 23.06.2021. Accordingly, the consortium becomes responsive under said criteria.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Circular no. 10.1.29/2021 dt. 23.06.2021.			Therefore, further representation has been considered as per follows:
2.	Minimum Eligibility criteria	Other Partner: ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd. Project no.1__Page no. 190-191 of FC (Firm Credential proposal) Name of work: <i>Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report (DPR) for "Construction of 4 Lane Ring Road around Sambalpur Town connecting Gosala- Maneswar-</i>	Non Respon sive	Non Respon sive	After re-examination of the representation & bid documents, it is found that other firm experience for the eligible length under the said criteria is less than the required length i.e. 60.43 km. Accordingly, the consortium remains non- responsive and no further evaluation of representation was done.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		<i>Jayantpur & Ranikhinda around Sambalpur City.</i> <i>Length: 37 Km., 4 Lanning Project</i> ARKITECHNO Share: 100% (Sole) Project no.2__Page no. 192-193 of FC (Firm Credential proposal) Name of work: <i>Consultancy Services for Project Management</i> <i>Phase-I including preparation of Detailed Project Report of the highway (NH No. 55) starting from its junction with NH-53 near Sambalpur connecting Redhakhol - Balikuda and terminating at Naugaon in the State of Orissa to two / four lane with paved shoulder configuration - (Lot-V)-(NH/LAHE/39)"</i> Length: 4 Lane - 14.509 km. & 2 Lane - 79.114 Km (46.1546 Km., 4 Laning) ARKITECHNO Share: 100% (Sole) Project no.15__Page no. 205-206 of FC (Firm Credential			

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		proposal) Name of work: <i>Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojna (Lot-3) (Total Length: 359 Km.) on Local roads, SH-30/49 & Nh-26 in the states of Odisha & Jharkhand under Contract Package No. Lot-3/Odisha & Jharkhand /Package-2. Length: 99.629 Km., 4/6 Lane (Total Length: 359 Km.) ARKITECHNO Share: 49% (JV) Project no.4 Page no. 194-195 of FC (Firm Credential proposal)</i> Name of work: <i>Consulting Services for preparation of DPR for the proposed Flyover (Elevated Corridor of 4.875 mtr.) near Joda Town in the District of Keonjhar, Odisha.</i>			

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Length: 4.875 Km., 4 Laning Elevated Corridor ARKITECHNO Share: 100% (Sole)			

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by ASHOK KUMAR JAT
Date: 2021.12.31 16:57:34 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs