

Minutes of Technical Bid: Revised Evaluation as per Objections filed by the
Consultants : 16th July, 2021

Sub: NHAI, Chennai Region - Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance for 4 - laning from Krishnagiri to Thumbipadi Section from Km 94/000 to Km 180/000 (TN-01) of NH-44 (Old NH-7) in the State of Tamil Nadu (Consultancy Package No. NHAI/RO Chennai/IE/O&M/2020-21/39) - Minutes of Meeting for Revised Evaluation of Technical Bids - Reg.

As per clause 5.3 Section 2 of Tender Conditions, the Tender Committee recommended for prequalified top five bidders for opening their financial bids with approval of RO, Chennai as detailed below:

Name of the Firm	Technical Score	Ranking
M/s. Artefact Projects Ltd. in Association with Renaissance IOT LLP & Global Infra Solutions	92.79	T4
M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. In association with ARMENGE Engg&Mgmt consultants Pvt.Ltd	95.95	T3
M/s. MSV International Inc in association with Saadhya Infra Solutions LLP	Not considered as M/s MSV International Inc has been declared as Non-Performer and prohibited to participate in the ongoing and future bids of NHAI till the time defects are rectified vide NHAI HQ letter NHAI/Tech/UP(E)/VNS/NH56/Quality/2021/40 dated 10.6.2021	
M/s. Upham International Corporation JV with SA Infrastructure consultants Pvt Ltd and in association with Quest Engrs& Consultants Pvt Ltd	96.70	T2
M/s. L N Malviya Infra Projects Private Ltd.	96.85 <i>h</i>	T1
M/s. Bloom Companies LLC in JV with Technocrats Advisory services Pvt. Ltd	85.95	T5

2. Vide RO Chennai letter even no: 1805 dated 02.07.2021, the technical evaluation sheet was uploaded on NHAI Website and shared with the bidders for any objection within a period of one week. Within the deadline, one firm M/s. ARTEFACT PROJECTS LTD vide their letter No. APL / Tender / Krishnagiri - Thumbipadi/2021-22/250 dated: 07.07.2021 have submitted their observation.

3. The details of the observation made and the evaluation made in the as per the bid document submitted by them are as under:

N. K. Srinivasan

16/7/21

Shr

1805

Sl. No	Observation of the firm						Remarks by Technical Evaluation Committee																																								
A.	<table><tr><th rowspan="2">Sr. No</th><th rowspan="2">Position/Name</th><th colspan="5">Marks</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Evaluation done by NHAI</th><th>Proposed Evaluation</th><th>Revised</th></tr><tr><td>1.</td><td>Quality cum Material Expert</td><td colspan="5"></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Mr. Mahendra Golde</td><td colspan="3">91</td><td colspan="2">91 + 3 = 94</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Description As per RFP</td><td>Max Marks</td><td>Self Evaluation</td><td>NHAI Evaluation</td><td>Remarks by NHAI</td><td>Remarks by the Artefact Projects Ltd.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td><u>Criteria 3</u> Employment with the Firm < 1 year - 0 1 year - 3 Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks.</td><td>5</td><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>No Remarks by NHAI</td><td>Please refer our clarification as below:</td></tr></table>						Sr. No	Position/Name	Marks					Evaluation done by NHAI			Proposed Evaluation	Revised	1.	Quality cum Material Expert							Mr. Mahendra Golde	91			91 + 3 = 94			Description As per RFP	Max Marks	Self Evaluation	NHAI Evaluation	Remarks by NHAI	Remarks by the Artefact Projects Ltd.		<u>Criteria 3</u> Employment with the Firm < 1 year - 0 1 year - 3 Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks.	5	3	0	No Remarks by NHAI	Please refer our clarification as below:	It was clearly mentioned in the Note of uploaded Technical Evaluation Sheet that marks for employment with the Firm has been considered as per Form 26 AS provided for the Key personnel. The Consultant has not enclosed Form 26AS with the bid and only submitted Experience Certificate from 15.7.2019 to till date (Date of issue of certificate is 15.7.2020). The Name of the candidate is not found in the list of Key personnel employed by the Firm at Pages No-286 to 290 of the Bid of the consultant. Now, the consultant has enclosed Form 26 AS of Shri. Mahendra Golde for the FY 2020-21 (from May to Sep, 2020 only as additional document. And not produced Form 26AS period from 15.07.2019 to 30.04.2020 and Oct,2020 to March, 2021
Sr. No	Position/Name	Marks																																													
		Evaluation done by NHAI			Proposed Evaluation	Revised																																									
1.	Quality cum Material Expert																																														
	Mr. Mahendra Golde	91			91 + 3 = 94																																										
	Description As per RFP	Max Marks	Self Evaluation	NHAI Evaluation	Remarks by NHAI	Remarks by the Artefact Projects Ltd.																																									
	<u>Criteria 3</u> Employment with the Firm < 1 year - 0 1 year - 3 Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks.	5	3	0	No Remarks by NHAI	Please refer our clarification as below:																																									
<u>CV Evaluation of Quality cum Material expert:</u> It has been observed that the proposed candidate Mr.Mahendra Golde for the position of quality cum Material Expert has been evaluated by the Authority and awarded "0" marks in the above stated criteria. In this regard we would like to present our clarifications as below:The said candidate is working with our organization "since August 2019 to Till Date" i.e. more Than 1 Year, 9 Months in "Independent Engineer Services for Four lane stand-alone Ring Road / bypasses for Nagpur City (Package-I) from Km 0+500																																															

N. H. Deshpande

16/7/21

Shri

18/5

to Km 34+000 (total Length - 33+500 Km) in the state of Maharashtra under NHDP Phase-VII on BOT (Hybrid Annuity) basis under NHDP-Phase - III". In support of this we are enclosing a copy of Experience Certificate as "Annexure - A".	26AS is less than one year. Hence, no marks for employment with the firm is assignable.
The same document is also uploaded on the candidate's Infracon profile (Infracon ID - golde_mahendra@yahoo.com) Also for further proof of employment, we are enclosing Form - 26AS as "Annexure - A". With above facts presented for your ready reference, it is evident that Mr.Mahendra Golde is working with our organization "Since August 2019 to Till Date" This submission qualifies under the requirement of the RFP and hence it should be considered for the evaluation.Considering these details, '3' marks should be given as against '0' marks.	

4. In view of the above, the Committee recommends that the evaluated technical scores and ranking as per the original evaluation minutes dated 01 July 2021 stands correct and there is no change. The final technical score of Top 5 bidders are as follows.

Name of the Firm	Technical Score	Ranking
M/s. L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Private Ltd.	96.85	T1
M/s. Upham International Corporation JV with SA Infrastructure consultants Pvt Ltd and in association with Quest Engrs& Consultants Pvt Ltd	96.70	T2
M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. In association with ARMENGE Engg & Mgmt consultants Pvt Ltd	95.95	T3
M/s. Artefact Projects Ltd. in Association with Renaissance IOT LLP & Global Infra Solutions	92.79	T4
M/s. Bloom Companies LLC in JV with Technocrats Advisory services Pvt Ltd	85.95	T5


N.Hemamalini
Accountant
RO, Chennai


N.Varadharajan
Project Director
PIU - Salem


A.Savithiri Devi
DGM (T)
RO, Chennai


Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by G. ATHIPATHI
Date: 2021.07.19 19:31:23 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs