



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)
National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200

फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

NHA/BM/ DAK Expressway/AE/2021/Pkg.4

Date: 15.03.2022

To

All Bidders (As per list enclosed)

Subject: RFP for "Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab" – Reg. [Tender ID - 2021_NHA_69899_1]

This is with reference to the bids submitted on 15.04.2021 for the subject proposal. The result with respect to Technical Evaluation as approved by the Competent Authority is as under:

1. Regarding determining the Technical Capacity of the firm, audited annual turnover for last three years i.e., 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20 has been considered. However, if the audited annual turnover for 2019-20 of the firm is not available, then last three years has been taken as from financial year 2016-17 to 2018-19 for calculation of annual turnover. In order to certify the permanent key personnel of the firm, Form 26 AS for four quarters during FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 have been considered. In case of non-availability of Form 26AS for the quarters of financial year 2020-21, Form 26AS for the four quarters of FY 2019-20 have been considered for permanent employment of the Key personnel with the firm.

2. The Results with respect to Technical Evaluation are as under:

(i) First Stage Technical Evaluation: -

2.1. In the Power of Attorney (PoA) submitted by the bidder **M/s Bloom Companies, LLC in Association with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.**, the date of signing of the PoA (i.e. 21.11.2020) is before the date of issue of the stamp paper (i.e. 22.12.2020). Due to above, the PoA submitted cannot be considered as valid for signing the bid application. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.2. In the bid submitted by **M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Introsoft Solutions**, the lead partner i.e. **M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA)** fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**-laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.

Further, the firm also fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of "**Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant**". As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under **Category 1** on the basis of annual turnover and no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are **Six (6)** out of which maximum **Four (4)** projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The

bidder is having **5.07 IE/AE** Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be **-1.07**. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP.

Accordingly, as per Clause 15 (B) & (C) of Section 1 of the RFP, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.3. In the bid submitted by **M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited**, the firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of ***“Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant”***. As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under **Category 1** on the basis of annual turnover, no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS, and the consultancy fee share % for the JV mentioned in the self-assessment by the JV under Appendix B-11. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are **Six (6)** out of which maximum **Four (4)** projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having **3.64 IE/AE** Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be **0.36**. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP.

Further, the firm has proposed Sh. Ram Kumar as Team Leader Cum Sr. Highway Engineer for the subject project. As per the details uploaded on INFRACON, Sh. Ram Kumar has claimed experience of working as “Project Manager” in M/s Skynet Contracting Dubai UAE and M/s Skynet Contracting & Skynet Facility Management Dubai UAE for DPR works, Construction as well as O&M work in same projects. However, the company profile appears to be mainly providing manpower outsourcing and the proposed key personnel also does not appear to be having any experience in the DPR as also Construction for the said projects. The CV has been therefore, rejected under Clause 3.4 (x) (a) of RFP and assigned ‘Zero’ marks.

Accordingly, the bid submitted by the bidder **M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited** is considered as Technically Non-Responsive as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP & Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.4. In the bid submitted by **M/s Highway Engineering Consultant**, the firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of experience of Project Supervision/IC of project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more for which RFP is invited. Accordingly, as per Clause 15 of Section 1 of the RFP, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.5. In the bid submitted by **M/s MSV International Inc. in association with M/s KAIUS Consulting Pvt. Ltd.**, the firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of ***“Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant”***. As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under **Category 2** on the basis of annual turnover and no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are **Twelve (12)** out of which maximum **Nine (9)** projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having **12 IE/AE** Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be **-3**. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP.

Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.6. In the bid submitted by **M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Upham International Corporation**, the firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of ***“Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant”***. As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under **Category 1** on the basis of annual turnover, no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are **Twenty-five (25)** out of which maximum **Eighteen (18)** projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having **22 IE/AE** Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be **-4**. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.7. In the bid submitted by **M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in Association with M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd.**, the firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of ***“Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant”***. As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under **Category 1** on the basis of annual turnover, no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are **Six (6)** out of which maximum **Four (4)** projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having **10.97 IE/AE** Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be **-6.97**. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

2.8. The remaining **three (3)** bidders satisfy the minimum eligibility criteria and requirement with respect to Technical Capacity provisions as per RFP and hence, considered for second stage technical evaluation.

(ii) Second Stage Technical Evaluation: -

- a) **M/s Consulting Engineers Group Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. and in association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.** has proposed **Ms. Anju Sharma** as Team Leader Cum Sr. Highway Engineer for the subject project. As per the details submitted, as Superintending Engineer, PWD Govt. of Rajasthan, Key Personnel has claimed for the projects of preparation of Detailed Project Report, construction supervision and operation & maintenance including Road Safety Audit/ Road Safety Management Plan for 4 lane from Chittorgarh to Nimbahera section on NH-79 on BOT basis in the State of Rajasthan and 4 laning of NH-12 km 235/0 to 255/0 (Urban Portion of Kota) including 4 lane elevated road from Kotri Junction to Aerodrome Circle (20 km) in the state of Rajasthan from 02.07.2007 to 14.06.2014. Also, in the same aforesaid period the key personnel has claimed for 2 laning of Kota- Dharnawada section on SH 51, 2 laning of Jhalawar- Bhawanimandi section of State Highway, 2 laning of Bhawanimandi-Dug section on State Highway in the State of Rajasthan. Further, as Chief Engineer, PWD to Govt. of Rajasthan, from 16.06.2014 to 30.07.2016 the Key Personnel has claimed for the projects of preparation of Detailed Project Report, construction supervision and operation & maintenance including Road Safety Audit/ Road Safety Management Plan for 4 lane section (Nimbahera-Pratapgarh-Banswara-Dahod) from Km 50/000 to Km 105/000 & Km 143/000 to Km 185/000 on NH-113 (Length 97 Km) in the State of Rajasthan. In the same period, experience has also been claimed for preparation of Detailed Project Report

for Construction of 2 lane of Bharatpur–Bayana-Gangapur-Bhadoti State Highway (SH-01) and preparation of DPR of two lane of Dudu-Malpura Todaraisingh- Chhan State Highway (SH-01).

The administrative setup of State Govt. dealing with National Highways and State Highways is different in the State of Rajasthan. This is misrepresentation of facts. CV of the aforesaid Key Personnel was earlier also rejected on the same ground in the projects of

- (i) Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of Eight Lane access-controlled expressway starting near Major Bridge on Mej River to Rajasthan/MP Border (from Ch. 331+030 to Ch. 419+000 & from Ch. 427+300 to Ch. 452+425) section of Delhi – Vadodara Greenfield Alignment (NH-148N) on EPC Mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana in the State of Rajasthan
- (ii) Consultancy Services for Authority Engineer for Supervision of Construction of 6-lane access controlled Greenfield highway from Ismailabad on NH-152 to Junction with Jind-Safidon road (SH-14) near Kheri village (Ch. 0.000 to Ch. 80.000 length 80 km) in the State of Haryana on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Ismailabad-Narnaul/NH-152D/AE/Pkg 1]
- (iii) Consultancy services for Independent Engineer for supervision of Construction of Six Lane access controlled Highway from Junction with Jaitpur-Pushta Road to Junction near KMP Expressway with NH-148N (Delhi-Vadodara Expressway) Section of NH-148NA (Design Ch. 9+000 to 59+063) including spur from Design Ch. 13+200 to Junction of Faridabad-Ballabhgarh Bypass with NH-19 near Badarpur Border in the state of Haryana under Bharatmala Pariyojana.
- (iv) Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Amritsar connectivity for Connection of Amritsar with Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from MDR Junction at ch. 40+900 Dhunda Village to Junction with NH354 Ajanala road near Harsh china village chainage 99+020 (km 40+900 to km 99.020 of Amritsar connectivity) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab

Accordingly, CV is rejected under Clause 3.4 (x) (a) of RFP and assigned 'Zero' marks. As a result, the bid submitted by the bidder **M/s Consulting Engineers Group Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. and in association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.** is considered as Technically Non-Responsive under Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP, subject to representation by the bidder, if any

- b) **M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech** has proposed **Sh. Durvesh Kumar Tyagi** as Team Leader Cum Sr. Highway Engineer for the subject project. The same key personnel was also proposed for the position of Team Leader by the same bidder for the bids of Authority Engineer (AE Pkg 1 & 2) for Delhi Katra Expressway. During the evaluation of the above bids, misrepresentation was noticed in the CV of Sh. Durvesh Kumar Tyagi, and the bid was considered as Technically Non-responsive under Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP.

Accordingly, CV has been rejected under Clause 3.4 (x) (a) of RFP and assigned 'Zero' marks. As a result, bid submitted by the bidder **M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech** is considered as Technically Non-Responsive under Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.

(iii) Summary of the Technical Evaluation is as under: -

Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Remarks
	Responsive firms					
1.	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.	40.00	20.00	37.56	97.56	
	Non-Responsive firms					
2.	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited	The firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant". As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under Category 1 on the basis of annual turnover, no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS, and the consultancy fee share % for the JV mentioned in the self-assessment by the JV under Appendix B-11. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are Six (6) out of which maximum Four (4) projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having 3.64 IE/AE Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be 0.36. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Further, CV of the proposed Team Leader is rejected under Clause 3.4 (x) (a) of RFP and assigned 'Zero' marks. Accordingly, the bid is considered as Technically Non-Responsive as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP & Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP, subject to representation by the bidder, if any.				
3.	M/s Bloom Companies, LLC in Association with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Invalid PoA submitted. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				
4.	M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Introssoft Solutions	The lead partner i.e. M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**-laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited. The firm also fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant". As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under Category 1 on the basis of annual turnover and no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are Six (6) out of which maximum Four (4) projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having 5.07 IE/AE Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be -1.07. As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				

Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Remarks
5.	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	35.00	16.00	CV of the proposed Team Leader is rejected under Clause 3.4 (x) (a) of RFP and assigned 'Zero' marks. As a result, the bid is considered as Technically Non-Responsive under Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP, subject to representation by the bidder, if any		
6.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech	CV of the proposed Team Leader is rejected under Clause 3.4 (x) (a) of RFP and assigned 'Zero' marks. As a result, the bid is considered as Technically Non-Responsive under Clause 3.4 (x) (e) of RFP, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				
7.	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd	The firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of experience of Project Supervision/IC of project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more for which RFP is invited. Hence, as per Clause 15 (A) of Section 1 of the RFP, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				
8.	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd	The validity of bid of M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. has been expired and the bidder has not extended their bid validity. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				
9.	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s KAIUS Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	The firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" . As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under Category 2 on the basis of annual turnover and no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are Twelve (12) out of which maximum Nine (9) projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having 12 IE/AE Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be -3 . As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				
10.	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Upham International Corporation	The firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" . As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under Category 1 on the basis of annual turnover, no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are Twenty-five (25) out of which maximum Eighteen (18) projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having 22 IE/AE Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be -4 . As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				

Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Remarks
11.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sparsh Engineering Company Private Limited	Extension of bid validity has not been received from the bidder. Since, the validity of bid of M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sparsh Engineering Company Private Limited has been expired, therefore, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				
12.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in Association with M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	The firm fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of " Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant ". As per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP, the bidder falls under Category 1 on the basis of annual turnover, no. of key professionals based on submitted Form 26AS. Accordingly, the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are Six (6) out of which maximum Four (4) projects can be of IE/AE/SC. The bidder is having 10.97 IE/AE Projects in hand as per submitted bid. Accordingly, the remaining bid capacity comes out to be -6.97 . As such, the bidder does not have any remaining bid capacity and is therefore not eligible to undertake additional projects as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) of the RFP. Accordingly, the bid has not been considered for further evaluation and considered as technically non-responsive, subject to representation by the bidder, if any				

3. The detailed evaluation sheet for the Bidders is enclosed herewith. Bidders are given opportunity to respond by **22.03.2022 up to 17:00 Hrs**, in case of any objection and to submit the requisite details/documents within this period.


 (Shashi Bhushan)
 General Manager (Tech) Bharatmala

Encl.: As above

Subject: RFP for “Consultancy Services for Authority’s Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab” – Reg. [Tender ID - 2021_NHAI_69899_1]

List of Bidders

Sr. No.	Name of Applicant	Sole/JV/ Association
1.	M/s Bloom Companies, LLC in Association with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Association
2.	M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Introsoft Solutions	JV
3.	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	JV
4.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech	JV
5.	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited	JV
6.	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd	Sole
7.	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.	Sole
8.	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Association
9.	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s KAIUS Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	Association
10.	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Upham International Corporation	Association
11.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sparsh Engineering Company Private Limited	JV
12.	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in Association with M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Association

Detailed Evaluation sheet

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab[NHAI/BM/DAKExpressway/AE/2021/Pkg.4]

Length of the project	78.3 Km
2 times of Project Length	156.6 Km
3 times of project length	234.9 Km
40% of the length of the project	31.32 Km
Cost of the Project	2416.02
Annual T/O (2% of the cost of project)	48.32
Bid due Date	15-Apr-21
Requirement for JV	
<i>JV Lead</i>	
2 times of Project Length (50%)	78.3 Km
3 times of project length (50%)	117.45 Km
40% of the length of the project	31.32 Km
Annual T/O (2% of the cost of project) (50%)	24.1602
<i>JV Other Partner</i>	
2 times of Project Length (30%)	46.98 Km
3 times of project length (30%)	70.47 Km
Annual T/O (2% of the cost of project) (30%)	14.49612
<i>JV Overall</i>	
2 times of Project Length	156.6 Km
3 times of project length	234.9 Km
Annual T/O (2% of the cost of project)	48.3204

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab[NHAI/BM/DAKExpressway/AE/2021/Pkg.4]

Applicant No.	Name of Applicant	Sole/JV/ Association
1/12	M/s Bloom Companies, LLC in Association with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Association
2/12	M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Introsoft Solutions	JV
3/12	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	JV
4/12	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech	JV
5/12	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited	JV
6/12	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd	Sole
7/12	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.	Sole
8/12	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Association
9/12	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s KAIUS Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	Association
10/12	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Upham International Corporation	Association
11/12	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sparsh Engineering Company Private Limited	JV
12/12	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in Association with M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Association

Minimum Eligibility Criteria for the Firm										
Applicant	Name of Firm	Sole/JV/ Association	Associate member for Road Inspection Technology	Is Associate member common with 2 or more bidders	Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)		Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent)		Annual Turnover	ELIGIBLE OR NOT ELIGIBLE
					The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 24/6-laning project of aggregate length equal to 79 or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/IC of 24/6- laning project of aggregate length equal to 118.5 or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	Firm should also have experience of Project Supervision/IC of at least one project of Similar Category of two/four/Six laning of length equal to 15.8	Annual turnover of the firm from consultancy business should be equal to or more than Rs. 24.6184.		
1/12	M/s Bloom Companies, LLC In Association with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Association	-	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible
2/12	M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s Introsol Solutions	JV	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Eligible
3/12	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. In JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In Association with M/s CHO & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	JV	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Eligible
4/12	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd In Association with M/s Sri Infotech	JV	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible
5/12	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited	JV	-	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Eligible
6/12	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd	Sole	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Eligible
7/12	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Eligible
8/12	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Association	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible
9/12	M/s MSV International Inc In Association with M/s KAUS Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	Association	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible
10/12	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited In Association with M/s Upham International Corporation	Association	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible
11/12	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited In JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd In Association with M/s Sparsh Engineering Company Private Limited	JV	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible
12/12	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. In Association with M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Association	-	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not Eligible

Invalid PoA submitted. Therefore the bid has not been considered for further evaluation

Bid validity of the bidder has been expired, therefore, bid of the bidder has not been considered for further evaluation.

Bid validity of the bidder has been expired, therefore, bid of the bidder has not been considered for further evaluation.

Applicant	Name of Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment		Experience in use of technology for road inspection		Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment		Total			No. of CV's Scoring less than 75% marks	Responsive/Non-Responsive	Rank
		As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	100			
1/12	M/s Bloom Companies, LLC in Association with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	40.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	40.00	100.00				Non-Responsive	#N/A
2/12	M/s Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Introsol Solutions											Non-Responsive	#N/A
3/12	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	35.00	40.00	16.00	20.00	0.00	37.08	51.00	97.08	0		Non-Responsive	#N/A
4/12	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech	35.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	37.92	55.00	97.92	8		Non-Responsive	#N/A
5/12	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited											Non-Responsive	#N/A
6/12	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd											Non-Responsive	#N/A
7/12	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.	40.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	37.56	0.00	97.56	60.00	0		Responsive	1.00
8/12	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd											Non-Responsive	#N/A
9/12	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s KAIUS Consulting Pvt. Ltd.											Non-Responsive	#N/A
10/12	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Upham International Corporation											Non-Responsive	#N/A
11/12	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s ARKTECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd In Association with M/s Sparsh Engineering Company Private Limited											Non-Responsive	#N/A
12/12	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in Association with M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd.											Non-Responsive	#N/A

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kaparthala road (NH-703A) near Kholjwal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab[NHAI/BM/D&A/Expressway/AE/2021/Pag.4]

S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	3/12		4/12		7/12	
			As per Evaluation	Claimed by Bidder	As per Evaluation	Claimed by Bidder	As per Evaluation	Claimed by Bidder
1	Average Annual Turnover (last 3 years) from consultancy business	2						
	< 2% of TPC - 0 marks							
	2% of TPC - 1.5 marks		2	2	2	2	2	2
	Add for additional turnover 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks for every 1% of TPC above 2% of TPC subject to maximum 0.5 marks.							
2	Number of key personnel (as defined in RFP) with the firm in highway sector with more than one year with the firm.	10						
	<10 personnel - 0 marks							
	10 to 20 - 8 Marks		10	10	10	10	10	10
	> 20 but ≤30 - 9 marks							
3	> 30 personnel - 10 marks	10						
	Experience as Independent Engineer/ authority Engineer /Construction Supervision in number of Highway Projects of 2446-laning* of length (40% of Project Length) or more in last 7 Years.							
	1 project - 8 marks		10	10	10	10	10	10
	Add 1 (one) mark extra for completed assignment of Independent Engineer/authority engineer and add 0.5 (zero point five) mark extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 2 (two) marks.							
4	Experience in DPR/Feeability Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation in Number of 2446-laning** Highway Projects of length (40% of Project Length) or more in last 7 years.	5						
	1 project - 4 marks		5	5	5	5	5	5
	Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks							
	In hand DPRs of Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects for NHAI (Presently Under Progress)							
5	<2 - 0 Marks	10						
	2-5 - 5 Marks		5	10	5	10	5	10
	>5 - 10 Marks							
	Experience in Construction Supervision/DPR/Design Review of major structures having length of more than 500 metre in last 7 years.							
6	1 project - 2.0 marks	3						
	Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1.0 marks.		3	3	3	3	3	3
	Total	40	35	40	35	40	40	40

S.No.	Description	Evaluation sheet for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection	3/12		4/12		7/12	
			M/s Consulting Engineers Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in JV with M/s M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech		M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.	
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder
1	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection	Maximum Point						
1.1	Own Equipment/Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis-4	7	4	4	4	4	4	4
1.2	(a) Experience of 1 -2 projects - 1 (b) Experience of 3-5 projects - 2 (c) Experience of > 5 projects - 3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3
2	Experience in Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) or better technology for pavement strength measurement	4						
2.1	Own Equipment/Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis-2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2.2	(a) Experience of 1 -3 projects - 1 (b) Experience >3 projects - 2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
3	Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit or better technology for Bridges inspection	5						
3.1	Own Equipment/Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis-2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3.2	(a) Experience of 1 -2 projects - 1 (b) Experience of 3-5 projects - 2 (c) Experience of > 5 projects - 3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3
4	Experience in Retro reflectometer technology	4						
4.1	Own Equipment/Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis-2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
4.2	(a) Experience of 1 -3 projects - 1 (b) Experience >3 projects - 2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
	Total	20	16	20	20	20	20	20

Summary of Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment

S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.				M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech				M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.			
			Name	As Evaluated	Claimed by	Name	As Evaluated	Claimed by	Name	As Evaluated	Claimed by	Name	As Evaluated	Claimed by
1	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	Anju Sharma	0.00	-	Durvesh Kumar Tyagi	0.00	-	G. Ashok Kumar	92.00	11.04		92.00	0.00
2	Resident cum Highway Engineer-1	4	arun kumar	0.00	-	Rajan Kumar	0.00	-	RAM KUMAR	94.50	3.78		94.50	0.00
3	Resident cum Highway Engineer-2	4	Birendra Prasad	0.00	-	Sarvesh Kumar	0.00	-	Bhaskar Raju Adluru	94.50	3.78		94.50	0.00
4	Bridge / Structural Engineer-1	4	Rajesh Kumar	0.00	-	Sanjeev Kumar	0.00	-	Indramani Kumar	96.00	3.84		96.00	0.00
5	Bridge / Structural Engineer-2	4	Surya Vijay Pratap	0.00	-	Abhay Kumar Mittal	0.00	-	Ashwani Sharma	91.00	3.64		91.00	0.00
6	Senior Pavement Specialist	4	Dharam Vir Singh Dahiya	0.00	-	Ananta Prasad Sahu	0.00	-	Ravi Datt Sharma	91.00	3.64		91.00	0.00
7	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	4	Sahjanand Singh	0.00	-	MOHIT MOHAN AGRAWAL	0.00	-	Ajoy Kumar Singh	96.00	3.84		96.00	0.00
8	Road safety Expert	4	SUVENDU SETHI	0.00	-	Sandeep Gautam	0.00	-	Devasena Veloo	100.00	4.00		100.00	0.00
Total		40		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			0.00		37.56	0.00

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab[NHAI/BM/DAKExpressway/AE/2021/Pkg-4]

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

Team Leader cum Sr Highway Engineer		Name of firms	
Team	Description	Max. Marks	
1	General Qualification	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. In JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd In JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd In Association with M/s Sri Infotech
		M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.	
2	Adequacy for the Project	Anju Sharma	Durvesh Kumar Tyagi
		G. Ashok Kumar	
3	Employment with the Firm		
4	Total		
5	Total		
6	Total		
7	Total		
8	Total		
9	Total		
10	Total		
11	Total		
12	Total		
13	Total		
14	Total		
15	Total		
16	Total		
17	Total		
18	Total		
19	Total		
20	Total		
21	Total		
22	Total		
23	Total		
24	Total		
25	Total		
26	Total		
27	Total		
28	Total		
29	Total		
30	Total		
31	Total		
32	Total		
33	Total		
34	Total		
35	Total		
36	Total		
37	Total		
38	Total		
39	Total		
40	Total		
41	Total		
42	Total		
43	Total		
44	Total		
45	Total		
46	Total		
47	Total		
48	Total		
49	Total		
50	Total		
51	Total		
52	Total		
53	Total		
54	Total		
55	Total		
56	Total		
57	Total		
58	Total		
59	Total		
60	Total		
61	Total		
62	Total		
63	Total		
64	Total		
65	Total		
66	Total		
67	Total		
68	Total		
69	Total		
70	Total		
71	Total		
72	Total		
73	Total		
74	Total		
75	Total		
76	Total		
77	Total		
78	Total		
79	Total		
80	Total		
81	Total		
82	Total		
83	Total		
84	Total		
85	Total		
86	Total		
87	Total		
88	Total		
89	Total		
90	Total		
91	Total		
92	Total		
93	Total		
94	Total		
95	Total		
96	Total		
97	Total		
98	Total		
99	Total		
100	Total		

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.2 Resident cum Highway Engineer-1									
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	Name of firms				Marks		
			M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.		As Evaluated	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder
			arun kumar	Rajan Kumar	RAM KUMAR				
			As Evaluated	As Evaluated	As Evaluated				
1	General Qualification	25							
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21					21		-
	II) Post Graduation in Transportation/Highway Engineering/Structural Engineering/Geotechnical Engineering	4					0		-
2	Adequacy for the Project	70							
a)	Professional Experience								
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects	20							
	< 12 years -0								
	12 years -15								
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional completed year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.						20		-
ii)	Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Supervising Engineer or equivalent Engineer/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2.4/6 lining*) and above).	20							
	< 5 years -0								
	5 years -15								
	Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.						20		-
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in handling major 2.4/6-lining** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2.4/6 lining**) and above)	25							
	< 2 nos -0								
	2 nos -19								
	Add 3 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 6 (Six) marks.						25		-
iv)	Experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Construction Supervision/IC on EPC Mode (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2.4/6 lining***) and above)	5							
	1 Project- 4 marks								
	Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark						5		-
3	Employment with the Firm	5							
	< 1 year -0								
	1 year or more -3								
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks						3.5		-
Total:		100	0	0	0	0	94.5	0	0

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.2 Resident cum Highway Engineer-2		Name of firms					
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with Srd Infotech	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.		
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	
1	General Qualification	25					
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21			21		
	II) Post Graduation in Transportation/Highway Engineering/Structural Engineering/Ceotechnical Engineering	4			0		
2	Adequacy for the Project	70					
a)	Professional Experience	20					
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects						
	< 12 years - 0						
	12 years -15				20		
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional completed year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.						
ii)	Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Authority Engineer /Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above).	20					
	< 5 years -0						
	5 years -15				20		
	Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.						
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in handling major 2/4/6-laning** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25					
	< 2 nos -0				25		
	2 nos -19						
	Add 3 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 6 (Six) marks.						
iv)	Experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Supervision/IC on EPC Mode (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	5					
	1 Project- 4 marks						
	Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark				5		
3	Employment with the Firm	5					
	< 1 year -0						
	1 year or more -3						
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks				3.5		
	Total:	100	0	0	0	0	0
					94.5		0

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.3 Bridge / Structural Engineer-1									
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM			M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech			M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.
			Marks		Claimed by Bidder	Marks		Claimed by Bidder	Marks
			As Evaluated			As Evaluated			As Evaluated
1	General Qualification	25							
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21							21
	II) Post-Graduation in Structural Engineering	4							0
2	Adequacy for the Project	70							
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects								
	< 10 years	-0							
	10 years	-11							15
	Add one marks extra for each additional completed 2 year subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.								
ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Design construction/ supervision of Bridges/ ROB Flyover/ Interchanges / any other such structures (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).	20							
	< 5 years	-0							
	5 years	-15							20
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.								
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway Bridges/ ROB Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures	20							
	< 2 bridges	-0							20
	2 bridges	-15							
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.								
iv)	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of major Bridge/ ROB Flyover / Interchanges / any other structures	10							
	< 2 - 0								10
	2 nos - 08								
	3 or more - 10								
v)	Experience in similar capacity of modern bridge construction technology viz. precast segmental, balanced cantilever construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full span Launching, Incremental Launching.	5							
	Experience in 1 project - 4 marks								5
	More than one project -5 marks								
3	Employment with the Firm								
	< 1 year	-0							
	1 year or more	-3							
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks								
	Total:	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	96

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.3 Bridge / Structural Engineer-2									
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM			M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech			M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	Marks	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	Marks	
									Ashwani Sharma
1	General Qualification	25							
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21						21	-
	II) Post-Graduation in Structural Engineering	4						0	-
2	Adequacy for the Project	70							
	i) Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects								
	<10 years	-0							
	10 years	-11						15	-
	Add one marks extra for each additional completed 2 year subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.								
	ii) Experience in similar capacity in Design/construction/supervision of Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges / any other such structures (similar configuration (2/4/6 lining**) and above)								
	<5 years	-0							
	5 years	-15						20	-
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.								
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway Bridges/ROB/Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures								
	<2 bridges	-0							
	2 bridges	-15						20	-
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.								
iv)	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of major Bridge/ROB/Flyover/ Interchanges / any other structures								
	< 2 - 0								
	2 nos - 08	10						10	-
	3 or more - 10								
v)	Experience in similar capacity of modern bridge construction technology viz., precast segmental, balanced cantilever construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full span Launching, Incremental Launching.								
	Experience in 1 project - 4 marks							5	-
	More than one project -5 marks								
3	Employment with the Firm								
	<1 year	-0							
	1 year or more	-3						0	-
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks								
Total:		100	0	0		0	0	91	0

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khojewal village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319+400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala Pariyojna in the state of Punjab

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.4 Senior Pavement Specialist		Name of firms					
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech		M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	
1	General Qualification	25					
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21					
	II) Post-Graduation in Transportation/Highway Engineering/Pavement engineering or equivalent	4					
2	Adequacy for the Project	70					
	a) Professional Experience						
	i) Total Professional Experience of Pavement Design / Construction and Maintenance of Highways/ Roads/ Air Field Runway						
	< 10 years -0	20					
	10 years -15						
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5(five) marks.						
	ii) Experience in Similar Capacity in Construction/ Construction Supervision of 2/4/6-laning** of major Highway Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above)						
	< 5 years -0	20					
	5 years -15						
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.						
	iii) Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25					
	< 2 nos -0						
	2 nos -20						
	Add 2.5 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.						
	iv) Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	5					
	1 project-4						
3	2 or more -5						
	Employment with the Firm						
	< 1 year -3	5					
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks						
Total:		100	0	0	0	0	0

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.5 Senior Quality cum Material Expert		Name of firms					
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s Sri Infotech		M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.
			M/s Sahjanand Singh		MOHIT MOHAN AGRAWAL		Ajoy Kumar Singh
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated
1	General Qualification	25					
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21					
	II) Post Graduation in Geotechnical Engineering/ Foundation Engineering/ Soil Mechanics/ Rock Mechanics	4					
2	Adequacy for the Project	70					
A	Professional Experience						
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling highways/Bridge Projects	15					
	< 10 years -0						
	10 years -11						
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.						
ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Construction/Construction Supervision of Highway Projects (of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25					
	< 5 years -0						
	5 years -19						
	Add 2.0 (two) marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 6 (six) marks.						
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in handling Similar Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	30					
	< 2 nos -0						
	2 nos -25						
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.						
3	Employment with the Firm	5					
	< 1 year -0						
	1 year or more -3						
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks						
Total:		100	0	0	0	0	0

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of four-lane Greenfield Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway from Junction with Jalandhar-Kapurthala road (NH-703A) near Khoiwala village to Junction with Pathankot-Gurdaspur road (NH-54) near Gurdaspur (Km 319-400 to Km 397+700) on EPC mode under Bharatmala

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

Road safety Expert		Max. Marks	Name of firms					
S. No.	Description		M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. in JV with M/s Yonigma Engineering Co. Ltd. in Association with M/s CHO & KIM Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in JV with M/s CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s S&I Infotech Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt Ltd.			
			SUVENDU SETH Marks		Saandeep Gautam Marks		Devasena Veloo Marks	
			As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder	As Evaluated	Claimed by Bidder
1	General Qualification	25						
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21					21	-
	II) Post-Graduation in Traffic/ Transportation/ Safety Engineering or equivalent	4					4	-
2	Adequacy for the Project	70						
a)	Professional Experience							
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects	15					15	-
	<10 years -0							
	10 years -11							
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.							
ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above.	15					15	-
	< 5 years -0							
	5 years -11							
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.							
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6 laning** Highway projects at different stages including at least one at design stage	20					20	-
	> 2 nos - 0							
	2 nos -15							
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.							
iv)	Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	10					10	-
	< 2 nos - 0							
	2 nos - 8							
	Add 2 marks extra for each additional improvement of black spots subject to maximum 2 marks.							
v)	Experience in similar capacity of preparing Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban Highway	5					5	-
	1 project -4 marks							
	2 or more -5 marks							
vi)	Experience in similar capacity in field of Road Safety Management Plan	5					5	-
	1 project -4 marks							
	2 or more -5 marks							
3	Employment with the Firm	5					5	-
	< 1 year -0							
	1 year -3							
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks							
Total:		100	0	0	0	0	100	0