



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(पोत परिवहन, सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)
National Highways Authority of India
(Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways)
जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110 075
G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200
फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514
एक्स. / Extn. : 2223 / 2318 / 2468 / 2553

No. NHAI/PC/BM/2021 (Kasarkod - Honnavar Port)

Dated: 04/10/2021

Name of Work: "Four laning of Honnavar Port Connectivity road from km 0.00 (Kasarkod side of Honnavar Port) to Km 2.58 (towards NH-66) connecting Honnavar Port with NH-66 at Km 196.00 and to improve NH-66 from Km 195.00 to Km. 197.00 to integrate port connectivity road on EPC mode under Bharatmala Phase - I in the State of Karnataka". - **Result of Technical Evaluation - reg.**

Sir,

With respect to subject project (Tender ID 67865), please find attached the result of technical evaluation (**Annexure-A**) for your kind reference.

Yours sincerely,

(Asheesh Kumar Jain)
General Manager (Tech)

Annexure-A

Name of Work: "Four laning of Honnavar Port Connectivity road from km 0.00 (Kasarkod side of Honnavar Port) to Km 2.58 (towards NH-66) connecting Honnavar Port with NH-66 at Km 196.00 and to improve NH-66 from Km 195.00 to Km. 197.00 to integrate port connectivity road on EPC mode under Bharatmala Phase - I in the State of Karnataka". **Tender ID -67865.**

The summary of the technical evaluation report is as under:-

<u>S. No.</u>	<u>Name of the Bidder</u>	<u>Conclusion</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
1.	M/s Bhandarkar Constructions and Budoli Umbar (Joint Venture)	Technically Disqualified	The Bidder has applied in Joint Venture, however, since, the EPC of the Project is less than Rs. 100.00 Crore, therefore, as per clause 2.1.11 (h), no Joint Venture is allowed. In view of the above, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is declared as Non-Responsive and is not considered for further evaluation.
2.	M/s M Earanna and Tejas Superstructures Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Disqualified	The Bidder has applied in Joint Venture, however, since, the EPC of the Project is less than Rs. 100.00 Crore, therefore, as per clause 2.1.11 (h), no Joint Venture is allowed. In view of the above, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is declared as Non-Responsive and is not considered for further evaluation.
3.	M/s Jainco Enterprises Pvt. Ltd	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid, on account of following deficiencies/ infirmities furnished herein below: • The Undertaking submitted by the Bidder, duly certified by its Statutory Auditor certifying the fact that audit of annual accounts for the FY 2020-21 were not completed, however, the same does not bear UDIN, which is mandatory w.e.f. 01.07.2019. Therefore, in the absence of Undertaking, duly bearing the UDIN, the Net Worth as on 31.03.2020 may not be considered. Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.3(i) of RFP. The date of certificate w.r.t. Value of B is mentioned as 26.06.2021 instead of certifying the same as on Bid Due Date i.e. 15.07.2021, which was specifically asked from the said Bidder in two rounds of clarifications. Accordingly, in the absence of certificate certifying the value of B as on Bid Due Date,



			The Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
4.	M/s PRN Infratech	Technically Qualified	-
5.	M/s Mathiyan Construction Pvt. Ltd	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid, as the Bidder is not meeting the following minimum eligibility criteria: Bidder was asked to either furnish the online link from where the certificates w.r.t. value of B may be verified by or provide the certificates countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent. In the absence of duly signed copies of RA Bill Statements in support of the value of work done for ongoing projects, countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
6.	M/s M G Arunkumar	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid, on account of reasons furnished below: - On the basis of available documents the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 i.e. Assessed available Bid capacity, 2.2.2.2 i.e. Technical Capacity and 2.2.2.3 Financial Capacity specified in RFP. - Further, the Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarification sought, neither at the time of 1st round of clarification nor at the time of 2nd round of clarification. Accordingly in view of non-submission of replies, the Bid submitted by the Bidder may be declared as non-responsive in accordance with Clause 3.1.5 of RFP, the relevant extract of which are furnished below- <i>"If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected. In case the Bid is not rejected, the Authority may proceed to evaluate the Bid by construing the particulars requiring clarification to the best of its understanding, and the Bidder shall be barred from subsequently questioning such interpretation of the Authority."</i>

Agai

			of the Authority.” Therefore, in view of the above and as per Clause 3.1.5, the said Bidder is declared as ineligible for opening of Financial Bid.
7.	M/s Nithin Sai Constructions	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid on account of reasons/ discrepancies observed in the certificate issued in support of Assessed Available Bid Capacity: • The Chartered Accountant auditing the annual books of accounts of Bidder has not generated the UDIN for the certificate w.r.t. Value of B, which is mandatory w.e.f. 01.07.2021. • In the revised certificate submitted along with the 2nd round of clarifications sought, the Bidder on the top of the table, has not mentioned the words <u>“A table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 1.5 years is as follows:”</u>, required as per the format as prescribed in Annex-VI of RFP. In the last column of ‘Balance Value of work at 2020-21/2020 price level (Rs. Crores)’, the Bidder has mentioned the status of completion instead of mentioning the figures/ amount of balance work updated to the required price level.
8.	M/s Kudroli Builders And Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid on account of reasons/ discrepancies furnished below: • In Annex-I, point no. 5 (d)(1), Bidder has mentioned NA instead of Nil or providing the requisite details, the same was specifically asked for rectification from the Bidder at the time of 1st and 2nd round of clarifications. • Bidder has submitted the Undertaking duly certified by its Statutory Auditor certifying the fact that audit of annual accounts for the FY 2020-21 are not completed, however, the same does not bear UDIN, which is mandatory w.e.f. 01.07.2019. Therefore, in the absence of undertaking duly bearing the UDIN, the Net Worth as on 31.03.2020 may not be considered. Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.3(i) of RFP. Bidder has not submitted the Notes to

Pyai

			Accounts forming part of Audited Financial Statements for FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20, which is required as per Instruction no. 1 to format of Annex-III prescribed in RFP.
9.	M/s Abdul Rahiman	Technically Qualified	-
10.	M/s Mugrody Constructions	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid, as the Bidder is not meeting the following minimum eligibility criteria: • The certificate w.r.t. Annex-III, Annex-IV and Annex-VI have been issued by the Internal Auditor of the Bidder i.e. by CA Vivekananda S, Chartered Accountant instead of Chartered Accountant auditing the annual books of accounts, i.e. Nitin J. Shetty & Co., Chartered Accountants. Hence, the same may not be considered. Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1, Clause 2.2.2.2 and Clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP. • In the absence of status of Value of B as on Bid Due Date i.e. 15.07.2021 and in the absence of the Certificates in support of value of all existing commitments works for which Appointed Date/Commencement Date has been declared and on-going works issued by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer we cannot assess the Bid Capacity as on Bid Due Date. • Bidder in its reply has mentioned that accounts for 2020-21 were not completed as tenders were submitted on 08.03.2021. Therefore, in the absence of undertaking duly certified by the Chartered Accountant auditing the annual books of accounts, certifying the fact that whether the audit was under process as on the Bid Due Date i.e. 15.07.2021. The Net Worth as on 31.03.2020 may not be considered. In view of the above, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is declared as Non-responsive as per Clause 3.1.6.1 read along with Clause 2.2.2.9 (ii) of RFP.
11.	M/s JSR Constructions Pvt. Ltd. and Arjunvaishnavi Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Disqualified	The Bidder has applied in Joint Venture, however, since, the EPC of the Project is less than Rs. 100.00 Crore, therefore, as per clause 2.1.11 (h), no Joint Venture is allowed. In view of the above, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is declared as Non-Responsive and



			is not considered for further evaluation.
12.	M/s JMC Constructions Private Limited	Technically Qualified	-
13.	M/s Shinde Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Qualified	-
14.	M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd	Technically Qualified	-
15.	M/s SBG Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Qualified	-
16.	E5 Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Disqualified	Debarred from NHAI, not eligible for opening of Financial Bid.
17.	M/s Marymatha Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Qualified	-
18.	M/s Vidya Infrabuilt Private Limited	Technically Qualified	-
19.	M/s Poonam Grah Nirman Pvt. Ltd	Technically Disqualified	Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarifications sought, accordingly, evaluation of the Bid of the said Bidder has been done on the basis of available documents, which the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 i.e. Technical Capacity and 2.2.2.3 Financial Capacity specified in RFP. Also, the Bid submitted by the bidder may be declared as non - responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 (h) of RFP on account of non-submission of copy of Online receipt towards payment of Cost of Bid Document. In addition to above, the Bidder has also itself confirmed that they are not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria. Therefore, in view of the above and as per Clause 3.1.5, the said Bidder is declared as ineligible for opening of Financial Bid.
20.	M/s MVV Satyanarayana		Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid, on account of reasons furnished below: •On the basis of available documents the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1 i.e. Assessed available Bid capacity, 2.2.2.2 i.e. Technical Capacity and 2.2.2.3 Financial Capacity specified in RFP. •Further, the Bidder has not submitted any

Ajay

		Technically Disqualified	response to the clarification sought, neither at the time of 1st round of clarification nor at the time of 2nd round of clarification. Accordingly in view of non-submission of replies, the Bid submitted by the Bidder may be declared as non-responsive in accordance with Clause 3.1.5 of RFP, the relevant extract of which are furnished below- <i>"If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected. In case the Bid is not rejected, the Authority may proceed to evaluate the Bid by construing the particulars requiring clarification to the best of its understanding, and the Bidder shall be barred from subsequently questioning such interpretation of the Authority."</i> Therefore, in view of the above and as per Clause 3.1.5, the said Bidder is declared as ineligible for opening of Financial Bid.
21.	M/s Shreeji Infraspaces Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Qualified	-
22.	M/s RPP Infra Projects Limited	Technically Qualified	-
23.	M/s Inductus Consultants (P) Ltd	Technically Disqualified	The Bidder has only uploaded the RFP Bidding Documents as uploaded by the Authority on NHAI's e-portal and has not submitted any documents pertaining to its Technical Bid. Therefore, in the absence of submission of its Technical Bid, the Bidder is declared as Non-Responsive.
24.	M/s APS Infra Engineers Private Ltd.	Technically Disqualified	Not eligible for opening of Financial Bid, as the Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.3 (i) of RFP.

Further, in case of any objection, the bidders are given opportunity to respond within 07 days from the date of hosting the result on E-tender portal.

