



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200

फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

NHA/BM/Raipur-Vishakhapatnam/CG/IE/Pkg-II/2021

Dated: 31.03.2022

To,

All Bidders (As per list enclosed)

Sub: Consultancy Services for Independent Engineer services for Supervision of Development of six lane Sargi - Basanwahi Section of NH-130-CD Road from km 42+800 to km 99+500 under Raipur-Visakhapatnam Economic Corridor in the state of Chhattisgarh on Hybrid Annuity Mode (**Package-CG-(02)**) - **Final Technical Results - Reg.**

Ref: (i) Bids submitted on 08.02.2022 for the above mentioned work.

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer NHA letter dated 25.03.2022 vide which result of technical evaluation was intimated to the bidders and bidders were given opportunity to respond, in case of any objection.

2. The representations were received from below-mentioned 7 bidders:

S. No.	Name of Applicant
1	M/s LION ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED
2	M/s Bloom Companies LLC
3	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in JV with M/s Upham International Corporation and in association with M/s Upham International Corporation Private Limited
4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with M/s Ruky Projects Private Limited
5	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited
6	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s DN Consultant
7	M/s G-Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited and in association with M/s NU-Infra Engineering Private Limited

3.1. The representations as received have been examined by the constituted Evaluation Committee and reply to the representation as approved by Competent Authority is enclosed at Annexure- I.

1/4

4.1. In view of the above considerations, the result of technical evaluation is as under:-

S. No.	Name of Firm	Original Technical Score	Final Technical Score	Remarks
	Maximum Marks	100.00	100.00	
1	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s DN Consultant	89.48	93.98	
2	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited	91.43	92.35	
3	M/s G-Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited and in association with M/s NU-Infra Engineering Private Limited	Non-Responsive	92.19	
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC	89.98	91.98	
5	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with M/s Ruky Projects Private Limited	90.24	90.72	
6	M/s LION ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED	86.53	90.53	
7	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in association with M/s Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd. and in association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Private Limited	87.91	87.91	
8	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited in JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	The JV partner of the bidder failed in Technical capacity
9	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in JV with M/s Upham International Corporation and in association with M/s Upham International Corporation Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	The bidder failed in Technical capacity and JV partner failed in minimum eligibility criteria
10	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Intratech civil Solutions & Consultant	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	The bidder failed in Technical capacity

4.2. Technical Capacity provided by the bidder as on 29.03.2022 shall be considered final for opening of Financial Proposals.

5. The financial bids of the following top 5 technically responsive bidders will be opened on **01.04.2022 at 1130Hrs** in IT Training room, Ground Floor at NHAI (HQ). Accordingly, the bidders shall depute their representatives with authorization letter for attending the opening of financial bids:

S. No.	Name of Firm	Final Technical Score
	Maximum Marks	100.00
1	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s DN Consultant	93.98
2	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited	92.35
3	M/s G-Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited and in association with M/s NU-Infra Engineering Private Limited	92.19
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC	91.98
5	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with M/s Ruky Projects Private Limited	90.72

Yours sincerely,



Ravinder

General Manager (Tech)
Bharatmala Division

Encl: As above

List of Bidders

S. No.	Name of Firm
1/10	M/s LION ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED
2/10	M/s Bloom Companies LLC
3/10	M/s TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in association with M/s Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd. and in association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Private Limited
4/10	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited in JV with M/s ARKITECHNO Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd.
5/10	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in JV with M/s Upham International Corporation and in association with M/s Upham International Corporation Private Limited
6/10	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with M/s Ruky Projects Private Limited
7/10	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited
8/10	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s DN Consultant
9/10	M/s G-Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited and in association with M/s NU-Infra Engineering Private Limited
10/10	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Intratech civil Solutions & Consultant

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
A	M/s LION ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED	
1	Experience as Independent Engineer/Authority Engineer/Construction Supervision in Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length=23 km) or more in last 7 years. 1 project -09 marks Add 1(one) mark extra for completed assignment of Authority Engineer/Independent Engineer and add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. We understand that authority has awarded 10 by considering 03 Numbers of projects. In this connection we would humbly submit that we had submitted experience certificates of 15 projects at page. No 68-70, 72, 75-78, 86-88, 90-92, 96-98, 100-103, 106-109,1 11-112, 120, 125-126, 128-130, 132, 134-135 & 137 of Technical Proposal. Therefore, we request your good self that we may please be given "12 marks instead of "10" in these criteria.	In view of representation, the marks under this category has been changed from 10 marks to 12 marks.
2	Experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length=23 Km) or more in last 7 years. 1 project - 4.0 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks. We understand that authority has awarded 5 by considering 03 Numbers of projects. In this connection we would humbly submit that we had submitted experience certificates of 08 projects at page. No 157-159,161-163,173-174,178-180,192,194-196, 200-203 & 208-209, of Technical Proposal. Therefore, we request your good self that we may please be given "6 marks instead of "5" in these criteria.	In view of representation, the marks under this category has been changed from 5 marks to 6 marks.
3	Experience in Construction Supervision/DPR/Design Review of Major structures having length of more than 500 metre in last 7 years. 1 project - 2.0 marks Add 0. 5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2.0 marks. We understand that authority has awarded 03 by considering 03 Numbers of projects. In this connection we would humbly submit that we had submitted experience certificates of 13 projects from page. No 244-281 of Technical Proposal.	In view of representation, the marks under this category has been changed from 3 marks to 4 marks.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	Therefore, we request your good self that we may please be given "4" marks instead of "3" in these criteria.	
4	Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer –M. Ram Mohan Rao Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length i.e. 23 Km or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). < 2 projects -0 2 Projects -11 Add 2 mark for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks. NHAI has evaluated "0 Marks out of "15" Marks even though proposed Team Leader have experience of 03 Projects as Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)and above:- Refer INFRACON Project at S. No. 10,13,16 Here ** Marks refer the following Note given on page no.35 of RFP As per note given on Page No. 57 of RFP **Similar projects means 2/4/6 lane as applicable for the project for which RFP is invited. For 2-lane projects experience of 4/6 lane also to be considered with a multiplication factor of 1.5. Experience of 4/6 lane shall be considered interchangeably for 4/6 laning projects. For 4/6 laning projects, experience of 2 lane will be considered with a multiplication factor of 0.4. (Enclosed for ready reference) Therefore it is requested that 2 Lane Projects of Proposed Team Leader may please be considered with multiplication factor of 0.4 & request you to kindly consider his experience & re-evaluate/reconcile these criteria & 13 marks may please be awarded to the Team Leader for this criteria	Representation examined, it is submitted that the projects for roads which are not under category of NH/SH/Equivalent have not been considered. Hence, the marks under this category remains unchanged.
5	Road Safety Expert –S.P Saxena 15 Days Certification Course NHAI has not evaluated his CV due to non –submission of 15 Days certification course & has awarded 0 marks. In this connection we hereby attached his Certification course of Road Safety from MANIT. Therefore it is requested that his CV may please be evaluated on its merit & suitable marks may please be awarded to his CVs.	As per RFP, the evaluation of the Key personnel is to be done from INFRACON portal & there is no course certificate uploaded on Infracon CV. In view of above, the marks under this category remains unchanged.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																				
		In view of above modification, the technical score of the firm M/s LION is tabulated below:- <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks assessed earlier</th><th>Marks now assessed</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Firm Experience</td><td>34.00</td><td>38.00</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Use of Technology</td><td>20.00</td><td>20.00</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Key personnel</td><td>32.53</td><td>32.53</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>86.53</td><td>90.53</td></tr></table>	S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed	1	Firm Experience	34.00	38.00	2	Use of Technology	20.00	20.00	3	Key personnel	32.53	32.53		Total	86.53	90.53
S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed																			
1	Firm Experience	34.00	38.00																			
2	Use of Technology	20.00	20.00																			
3	Key personnel	32.53	32.53																			
	Total	86.53	90.53																			
B	M/s Bloom Companies LLC																					
1	There is required experience as Independent Engineer/Construction Supervision in Number of Highway projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length (23 km) or more in last 7 in this regard: a) At page no. 189 in our submitted proposal, there is experience for length more than 23 km. b) At page 197-198 in our submitted proposal, there is experience for length more than 23 km. The project experience is with 100% share with wholly owned subsidiary. c) At pate no. 200-201 in our submitted proposal, there is experience more than 23 km. d) At page no. 203-204 in our submitted proposal there is experience for length more than 23 km. e) At page no. 209-210 in our submitted proposal there is experience for length more than 23 km. f) At page no. 216-217 in our submitted proposal there is experience for length more than 23 km. g) At page no. 219-224 in our submitted proposal there is experience for length more than 23 km. The project is substantially completed. Also, the CA certification for the project is enclosed herewith for reference.	Representation examined and following is submitted: a) Project completed (construction work) prior to 7 years from the bid due date are not considered. (Explanation to s. no. (a)) b) Already Considered. c) Already Considered. d) Considered in aggregate but not not counted since it is less than equivalent length. e) Already Considered. f) Already Considered. g) Client certificate is not attached, hence not considered. Hence, the marks under this category remains unchanged.																				
2	There is required experience in use of technology for road inspection of Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) or better technology for pavement strength measurement as per RFP in this regard: a) At page no. 380-381 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of FWD technology for the project. b) At page no. 382-383 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of FWD technology for the project. c) At page no. 384 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of FWD technology for the project. d) At page no. 385 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of FWD technology for the project.	In view of representation and the marks under this category revised from 3 marks to 4 marks																				

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																				
	e) At page no. 386 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of FWD technology for the project.																					
3	There is required experience for experience in RRT as per RFP a) At page no. 411-412 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of RRT technology. b) At page no. 413-414 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of RRT technology. c) At page no. 415 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of RRT technology. d) At page no. 416 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of RRT technology. e) At page no. 417 in our submitted proposal, there is experience of RRT technology.	In view of representation and the marks under this category revised from 3 marks to 4 marks In view of above modification, the technical score of the firm is tabulated below:- <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks assessed earlier</th><th>Marks now assessed</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Firm Experience</td><td>36.00</td><td>36.00</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Use of Technology</td><td>18.00</td><td>20.00</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Key personnel</td><td>35.98</td><td>35.98</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>89.98</td><td>91.98</td></tr></table>	S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed	1	Firm Experience	36.00	36.00	2	Use of Technology	18.00	20.00	3	Key personnel	35.98	35.98		Total	89.98	91.98
S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed																			
1	Firm Experience	36.00	36.00																			
2	Use of Technology	18.00	20.00																			
3	Key personnel	35.98	35.98																			
	Total	89.98	91.98																			
C	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in JV with M/s Upham International Corporation and in association with M/s Upham International Corporation Private Limited																					
1	Clause-15 (C) for Technical Capacity: In this regard we wish to inform you that as per RFP Clause 15 should be checked before opening of the financial proposal. However we wish to inform you that there is some mismatch in calculation of Technical capacity and as per the our Calculation we still have remaining Technical Capacity. Details of same are attached as Annexure-I along with detailed calculation excluding Form 26 AS which is already submitted with technical proposal. Further, we request you that the Technical Capacity may please be calculated on the date of opening of the Financial Bid. Based on the facts and circumstances mentioned above we wish to inform you that we still have remaining Technical capacity. Therefore our bid shall be declared responsive and accordingly our bid shall be evaluated.	Representation examined and submitted that the bidder has calculated Technical capacity by removing capacity of Expressways treating them in specialized category, however, expressways projects are counted in Technical capacity. Hence, no change in the Technical capacity, status remains same.																				

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
2	JV partner of the bidder failed to meet the minimum eligibility requirement minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Consultant of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more (40% of 170 km i.e. 68 km): In this regard we wish to inform you that Upham International Corporation (JV Partner) meets the requirement of minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Consultant of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more (40% of 170 km i.e. 68 km) and experience certificates for same has been submitted along with technical proposal which has been missed by the Financial Consultant while evaluating the same which is illustrated as hereunder: 1. Independent Engineer services for Operation and Maintenance, including Construction of the Balance Work of Four Laning and improvement of Karanji – Wani-Ghuggus-Chandrapur Road (up to Padoli Junction) Road of MSH 6&7 & Dhanora Phata to Dhanora Road in Yavatmal & Chandrapur District Length 85.112 Kms under Design Built Finance, Operate & transfer Scheme. Total Length of the Project Length – Total 4 lane equivalent Length –64.76km 4 lane (51.192 Km 4 Lane & 33.920km 2 lane (equivalent to 13.568km 4 lane) as sole (Refer page no.610 of Technical Proposal) 2. Consultancy services for authority engineer for supervision of development and operation of Suratgarh–Sriganganagar section NH-62 (Old NH-15) from Km 173+000 to km 249+200 by two laning paved shouder in the state of Rajasthan including stretch of 500 m in the state of Punjab. Project Length- 77.70 Km 2 lane Equivalent to 31.08km 4 lane Consultancy Fee - Rs. 62,590,522/- (Copy of LOA& Additional Supporting Documents is attachedannexure-II) (Refer page no.619-621 of Technical Proposal) As Sole. 3. Consultancy Services for Improvement, Strengthening and Maintenance of four lane Kagal-Satara Section of India NH4 (Km.592/240 to 725/00) in the State of Maharashtra; Project Length : Total Length :4 Lane 132.76 Km as Lead Partner Therefore, in the light of facts and submission made above it is evidently clear that Upham International Corporation (JV Partner) meets the requirement of minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/ Consultant of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more (40% of 170 km i.e. 68 km). Therefore our bid shall be declared responsive and accordingly our bid shall be evaluated. Further, as there is	Representation examined and found no change in the status of the bidder.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																									
	no detailed evaluation is provided so we are unable to comment on the same however as per our assessment and submission the summary of total marks should be as detailed below: <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Max Marks</th><th>Marks Recommended by Client</th><th>Marks claimed by Firm for Review</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>Relevant experience for the assignment</td><td>40</td><td>-</td><td>40.00</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>Experience in use of technology for road inspection.</td><td>20</td><td>-</td><td>20.00</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>Qualification and Competency of the Key staff for the assignment</td><td>40</td><td>-</td><td>36.88</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>100</td><td>-</td><td>96.88</td></tr></table> Considering the above facts and with respect to our submission it is therefore requested that the evaluation may please be reviewed and detailed evaluation may please be provided before opening of the Financial Proposal.	S. No.	Description	Max Marks	Marks Recommended by Client	Marks claimed by Firm for Review	A	Relevant experience for the assignment	40	-	40.00	B	Experience in use of technology for road inspection.	20	-	20.00	C	Qualification and Competency of the Key staff for the assignment	40	-	36.88		Total	100	-	96.88	
S. No.	Description	Max Marks	Marks Recommended by Client	Marks claimed by Firm for Review																							
A	Relevant experience for the assignment	40	-	40.00																							
B	Experience in use of technology for road inspection.	20	-	20.00																							
C	Qualification and Competency of the Key staff for the assignment	40	-	36.88																							
	Total	100	-	96.88																							
D	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in association with M/s Ruky Projects Private Limited																										
1	In hand DPRs of NHAI's Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress) <2 - 0 Marks 2-5 - 4 Marks >5 - 6 Marks We have submitted 10 nos in hand DPR Projects with Copy of LOA and Contract agreement, variation order in our Technical Proposal. As we have received 4 marks out of 6, we request the kind authority to please go through the Technical Proposal for each and every project which are as follows:- 1) Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report of Delhi-Ludhiana-Amritsar-Katra Expressway having an approximate length of 600 Km (the "Project") including	Representation examined and found no change in the marks awarded to the Bidder.																									

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	spurs through BOT & EPC basis (Approx. 600 Km; 4/6-Lane) Page No 308-320 2) Detailed Project Report for the construction of Flyovers and ROB on various NH stretches in the State of Assam. Flyover - 6 nos. & ROBs - 4 nos., Page 330 – 341 3) Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes and National Corridors (GQ and NS-EW Corridors) to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojana – Lot-6/Package-1. Total Length: 336 km Page 342 – 358 4) DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes and National Corridors (GQ and NS-EW Corridors) to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojana – (Lot-5/Package-3). Total Length:444 km Page 359 – 371 5) DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojana – (Lot-3 Balance work)-Lot-3/West Bengal/Package-2). Total Length: 225 km Page 372– 379 6) Preparation of Detailed Project Report (DPR) Study for 4-laning from Vengalam to Edapally Section of NH-17 (new NH-66) (from km 230.000 to km 438.600) [Package-II] under NHDP Phase III in the state of Kerala. Total Length: 202 km Page 380 – 387 7) Consultancy Services for Preparation of Feasibility and Detailed Project Report for upgradation to 4 lane of Pathankot (HP/Punjab Border) to Mandi Section (Km 11.00 to Km 208.00) of NH-20 (New NH-154) in the State of Himachal Pradesh through BOT/Hybrid Annuity/EPC mode (Total Length: 197 Kms, 4-lane) Page No. 388 – 399 8) Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR Study for total length 312.48 kms for Upgradation to 4/6 laning of Paramakudi to Ramanathapuram section of NH-49 (39 km), Upgradation to 4/6 laning of Ramanathapuram to Rameshwaram section of NH- 49 (63 Km), Four/Six laning of Dindigul- Coimbatore-Sathyamangalam and 2-laning with/without paved shoulder from Sathyamangalam to TN/Karnataka Border section of NH-209 (210.48 Km) from Km 0.00 to Km 23.23, Km 30.900 to Km 123.550, Km 150.40 to Km 268.00 excluding Km 149.000 to Km 172.000 (Total Length: 312 Kms, 4-lane) Page No. 400 – 409 9) Technical Consultant for carrying out Feasibility Study and Preparation of Detailed Project Report for widening of existing 2-lane with paved shoulder to 4/6 lanes from Karur – Coimbatore (Km 218.200 to Km 332.600) section of NH-67 in the State of Tamilnadu Page No. 410 – 415 10) Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojna – Package Lot-3/Tamil Nadu & Puducherry/Package-2, Page No. 416 – 423	
2	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection 1.1 Own Equipment /Equipment on MoU with Associate or on hiring basis -2 Mark	Representation examined and submitted that Hiring of NSV work has not been considered as eligible experience of NSV.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	1.2 Experience – 3 Marks 1-2 Projects - 1 Marks 3-5 projects - 2 Marks >5 Projects - 3 Marks We have submitted Ownership and Project experience Certificates of our Associate Member (Indian Road Survey & Management Pvt. Ltd. (IRSM) Also submitted experience Certificates of FIPL and Our Associate Partner Ruky Projects Pvt. Ltd We have submitted 13 nos. Projects Experience in NSV and the same is mentioned below with page numbers of Technical Proposal. Experience: Feedback Infra Private Limited 1) Independent Engineer services for Four-laning of Krishnagar- Bahrapore Section of NH-34 from Km 115.00 to Km193.00 in the State of West Bengal under NHDP Phase III on Design, Build, finance, Operate, and Transfer on annuity ("BOT Annuity") Basis (Package No. NHDP III/BOT/WB/02) Project length 76.358 Km) (Page No. 490 – 491)- <u>Ruky Projects Pvt. Ltd</u> 2) Technical Study of Project Under Construction for Six Lanning of Khairatunda to Barna Adda Section or NH-2 from km 360.300 10 km 400.132 in the Stale of Jharkhand under NHOP Phase-V – 39.832 km length (Including HSE & Safety). (Page No. 547 to 549)- <u>Indian Road Survey & Management Pvt. Ltd. (IRSM)</u> 3) R&B Telangana Project (Page 554 – 555) 4) Safer Greener Highway Project NH 1 (Page 556– 557) 5) iRAP Bangladesh Pilot Project (Page 558 – 559) 6) India Four States Project (Page 560 – 561) 7) India Phase II iRAP Project (Page 562 – 563) 8) iRAP Egypt (Page 564 – 565) 9) Sikkim State Master Plan (Page 566 – 567) 10) APRMS Andhra Pradesh Road Management System (Page 568 – 569) 11) NH5, NH7 & NH 47 (Page 570 – 571) 12) KSTP Kerala (Page 572 – 573) 13) IIT Madras NH 207 (Page 574 – 575) In light of the above, we request you to please award 3 marks for Experience of NSV equipment, instead of 2 mark. You have already given 2 marks in NSV Ownership. So in totality, it is requested to please award	Also, as per RFP the private technology experience with the bidder's self-certification counted, therefore, those projects in which self-certification not present are not considered. Hence, the marks under this category remains unchanged.
3	Experience in Retro reflectometer technology 4.1 Own Equipment / Equipment on MoU with Associate or on hiring basis -2 Mark	Representation examined and submitted that all the projects considered except project at s.no. 4, since,

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	4.2 Experience - 2Marks (a) 1-3 Projects- 1 Marks (b) >3 projects- 2 Marks We have submitted Ownership and Project experience Certificates of Feedback Infra Private Limited and also submitted experience Certificate of Our Associate Partner Ruky Projects Pvt. Ltd. We have submitted 4 nos. Projects Experience in Retro Reflectometer and the same is mentioned below with page numbers of Technical Proposal. Experience: <u>Feedback Infra Private Limited</u> 1) Independent Engineer services for Operation and Maintenance period for 4 laning of Hazaribagh- Ranchi Section from km 40.500 to km 114.000 (length approx.73.799 km) including Ramgarh & Kujju bypass of NH-33 in the State of Jharkhand under NHDP-III on BOT (Annuity) on DBFOT basis. (Page No. 524 to 525). 2) Consultancy Services for State wise Authority Engineer for Jharkhand State Under Package No. 4 widening and strengthening of Road Work and reconstruction of culverts at Km 94 to Km. 131 of NH 133 of two lane with paved shoulder under EPC Mode. (Page No. 526 to 527). 3) Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance period of four/six laning of Gandhidham (Kandla) – Mundra port Section (KM 0.000 to KM 71.400) of NH-8A (Extn.) in the State of Gujarat under NHDP Phase-III through PPP mode on Design, Build, Finance, Operate and Transfer (DBFOT) basis (Page No. 528 to 530) Ruky Projects Pvt. Ltd 4) Technical Study of Project Under Construction for Six Lanning of Khairatunda to Barna Adda Section or NH-2 from km 360.300 10 km 400.132 in the Stale of Jharkhand under NHOP Phase-V – 39.832 km length (Including HSE & Safety). (Page No. 547 to 549) In light of the above, we request you to please award 2 marks for Experience of Retro- Reflectometer equipment, instead of 1 mark. You have already given 2 marks in Retro-reflectometer Ownership. So in totality, it is requested to please award 4 marks instead of earlier given 3 marks.	hiring of RRT work not counted as eligible experience of RRT. Hence, the marks under this category remains unchanged.
4	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer - Rajesh Kumar Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of	In view of representation and the marks under this category has been changed from 11 marks to 15 marks.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). < 2 projects -0 2 Projects -11 Add 2 mark for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks. He has 4 nos. Project Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). Please refer Infracon Project number P8, P11, P14, P15, We request you to award 15 marks instead of giving 11 Marks.	
5	Environmental Specialist - Hari Shankar Prasad Experience in Highway Projects- Experience in Environment and forest related matters of highway projects passing in declared wild life area (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways) <5 years – 0 5 - 7 years – 11 marks 7 - 10 years – 13 marks > 10 Years - 15 Marks He has more than 10 years of experience, under this category i.e. Experience in Highway Projects-Experience in Environment and forest related matters of highway projects passing in declared wild life area (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways)). kindly refer project numbers with (P-1, P-3, P-4, P-5, P-6, P-11 & P-12) Kindly refer page nos. 748 to 750, of our technical proposal submitted for the subject project. However, the same is enclosed to this letter for your ready reference. We request you to award 15 marks instead of giving 0 Marks.	Representation examined and submitted that the projects only considered shall be passing from declared wild life area, therefore, the marks under this category remains unchanged.
6	Experience as Environmental and forest Specialist or in Similar Capacity in Highway Projects passing in declared wild life area (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways) <2 projects – 0 2 - 4 Projects – 16 marks 5 - 7 Projects – 18 marks > 7 Projects - 20 Marks	Representation examined and submitted that the projects only considered shall be passing from declared wild life area, therefore, the marks under this category remains unchanged.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																				
	He has 7 projects, project numbers with (P-1, P-3, P-4, P-5, P-6, P-11 & P-12) under this category i.e. Experience as Environmental and forest Specialist or in Similar Capacity in Highway Projects passing in declared wild life area (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways). Kindly refer page nos. 748 to 750, of our technical proposal submitted for the subject project. However, the same is enclosed to this letter for your ready reference. We request you to award 18 marks instead of giving 0 Marks.																					
7	Experience in execution/supervision of Highway Projects (NH/SH/Expressway to oversee the EMP & conditions imposed by forest/environment department during execution of project in declared wildlife area. 1 project – 16 marks Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum of 4 marks. Our proposed Environment Specialist, Mr. Hari Shankar Prasad have 7 projects, under this category i.e. Experience in execution/supervision of Highway Projects (NH/SH/Expressway to oversee the EMP & conditions imposed by forest/environment department during execution of project in declared wildlife area. Kindly refer project numbers with (P-1, P-3, P-4, P-5, P-6, P-11 & P-12). Kindly refer page nos. 748 to 750, of our technical proposal submitted for the subject project. However, the same is enclosed to this letter for your ready reference. We request you to award 20 marks instead of giving 0 Marks.	Representation examined and submitted that the projects only considered shall be passing from declared wild life area, therefore, the marks under this category remains unchanged. In view of above modification, the technical score of the firm is tabulated below:- <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks assessed earlier</th><th>Marks now assessed</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Firm Experience</td><td>38.00</td><td>38.00</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Use of Technology</td><td>18.00</td><td>18.00</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Key personnel</td><td>34.24</td><td>34.72</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>90.24</td><td>90.72</td></tr></table>	S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed	1	Firm Experience	38.00	38.00	2	Use of Technology	18.00	18.00	3	Key personnel	34.24	34.72		Total	90.24	90.72
S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed																			
1	Firm Experience	38.00	38.00																			
2	Use of Technology	18.00	18.00																			
3	Key personnel	34.24	34.72																			
	Total	90.24	90.72																			
E	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited																					
1	In hand DPRs of NHAI's Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress) Kindly refer List of ongoing DPR Projects enclosed at Page No 216 to 296 submitted in our Proposal. The firm is having	Representation examined and there is no change in marks awarded under concerned category.																				

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	more than 7 Nos. ongoing NHAI DPR projects for which details are furnished below.	
2	Experience in Automatic Traffic Counter cum Classifier (ATCC) Own Equipment/ Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis – 2 marks (a) Experience of 1 -3 projects - 0.5 (b) Experience >3 projects – 1 For consideration of project at pg no. 830, 832, 835, 837, 838 & 839. Awarded 2.5 marks, requires 3 marks.	In view of Representation, the marks under this category has been revised from 2.5 marks to 3 marks.
3	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer-(Mr. Hukam Singh Panwar) S. No. of evaluation criteria- 1- Post Graduate in Management/ Construction/ Transportation/ Highway Engineering – Marks shall be revise from 0 to 3. For s.no. 2(b) - Ref. I.P. no. 4,5,7 & 17 - Marks shall be revise from 13 to 15. Overall Awarded 10.80 marks, requires 11.28 marks.	The distance education program are not considered and and under category 1(iii), 01 marks were awarded due to inadvertent typo error, the same is corrected to '0' marks. In view of representation, the marks has been revised from 13 to 15 marks under the concerned category. Hence, the marks under this category has been revised from 10.80 marks to 10.92 marks.
4	Bridge/Structural Engineer- Mr. Bijay Pratap Singh For s.no. 2(a)(i) - Ref. I.P. no. 1 to 11 – Marks shall be revised from 10 to 15. Overall Awarded 5.16 marks, requires 5.46 marks.	In view of Representation, the marks under this category has been revised from 5.16 marks to 5.46 marks.
5	Senior Pavement Specialist – Mr. Surya Kant For s.no. 2(iii) marks. Ref. I.P. no. 9,13,14,19 & 24 - Marks shall be revised from 18 to 20 Overall Awarded 3.95 marks, requires 4.05 marks.	Only those project experience in similar capacity have been counted which are related to Construction/ construction supervision of major highway projects. Further, MDR projects have not been considered. Hence, no change in the marks under this category.
6	Environmental Specialist - Dr. Mahaveer Prasad Saini For Post-Graduation in Environmental Engineering [AICTE Approved] – Marks claimed 4 against awarded 0 marks. Overall Awarded 1.22 marks, requires 1.33 marks.	As per RFP, the marks for Post-Graduation in Environmental science is counted under S. No. 1(i) i.e. 21 marks. Hence, no change in marks for this category.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																				
		In view of the above modification in score, the updated technical score of the firm is given below: <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks assessed earlier</th><th>Marks now assessed</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Firm Experience</td><td>38.00</td><td>38.00</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Use of Technology</td><td>19.50</td><td>20.00</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Key personnel</td><td>33.93</td><td>34.35</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>91.43</td><td>92.35</td></tr></table>	S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed	1	Firm Experience	38.00	38.00	2	Use of Technology	19.50	20.00	3	Key personnel	33.93	34.35		Total	91.43	92.35
S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed																			
1	Firm Experience	38.00	38.00																			
2	Use of Technology	19.50	20.00																			
3	Key personnel	33.93	34.35																			
	Total	91.43	92.35																			
F	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s DN Consultant																					
1	In hand DPRs of Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress) As per Technical Evaluation, we have been assigned 0 marks by considering no any projects in this criterion. Whereas, we have already showcased Eight DPR projects (BID page no. 306-386) with NHAI as follows: 1. Consultancy Services for Appointment of Technical Consultant to Carry Out the Feasibility Study and Preparation of Detailed Project Report for 134.200 Km section from Km 00+00 to Km 134+400 of Ujjain- Garoth green field alignment in the state of Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan through DBFOT/EPC basis. (4 Lane, Total Length 134 Km 2. Consultancy Services for Prepare the DPR for Channrayapatana Bypass & Hassan Bypass in the State of Karnataka Total Length 58.5 Km. 3. Consultancy Services for carrying out feasibility study and detailed project preparation for Improvement, Up-gradation, Redesigning, Rehabilitation and Up-gradation including 4/6 laning roads Ambala-Shazadpur-Naraingarh-Kala Amb (NH72) in the State of Haryana., India Package PWD/HR/PKL/15 (4/6 Lane, Length 45 Km) 4. Consultancy Service is to Preparation of Detail Project Reports for Bypass Alignment of THDCIL Portion of (Ghaziabad to Aligarh Section) National Highways (NH) 4/6 Lane Road of NH-91 sections from Chainage 98/400 to 107/685. 4/6 Lane, Total Length 9.285 Km 5. Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes and Border to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package 5/Lot-7 Delhi- Bilaspur 200 Km) Total Length 200 Km. 6. Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder	In view of representation and submitted that the marks under concerned category has been revised from 0 marks to 4 marks.																				

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	Routes and Border to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package 2/Lot-7 Muzzafarpur – Begusarai 102 Km; Ara-Mohania 120 Km; Sasaram (on NH 19) – Patna : Parallel to Aurangabad – Dharbhanga 95 Km) Total Length 317 Km 7. Consultancy Services for Preparation of DPR from Thuvakudi (Km 122/000) to Palpannai (Km 136/490) in Tanjavur(80/100) -Trichy (136/490) Section of NH-67, Tamilnadu(4 Lane, Total Length 14.49 Km). Proofs are enclosed with this letter. 8. DPR in the Kurnool city in the state of Andhra Pradesh. 9. DPR for Delhi-Gurgaon section (NH-8). 10. DPR of flyover at Tapovanam. 11. DPR for half cloverleaf interchange in Hyderabad-Bangluru section. 12. NHA's Bharatmala DPR of Aurangabad-Pune(Lot-10). Moreover, latest communications are enclosed with this letter. Furthermore, we wish to draw your kind attention on the evaluation criteria laid down in the RFP, the main thrust is the project should be the DPRs under preparation for NHA's projects irrespective of nature of the DPRs i.e whether DPR in form of a contract or DPR as an additional scope of work with ongoing projects of NHA. Therefore, we would firmly like to submit you that all above mentioned DPRs have been considered for assigning full marks in many similar projects of NHA as follows: 1. AE_Delhi-Vadodara 2. Dankuni-Kharagpur 3. Munger-Sultanganj 4. Kadappa-kurnool 5. IE_O&M_Delhi-Meerut Expressway_PKG-i&iii and many more. Hence, you are requested to assign the full 6 marks	
2	Experience in Construction Supervision/DPR/Design Review of Major structures having length of more than 500 meter in last 7 years. As per Technical evaluation sheet, you did not consider some projects in this criterion. Moreover, no any specific reason (project wise) has been reflected for not considering the claimed eligible projects. While, we have requisite experience of more than 5 eligible projects of bridges for which certificates enclosed from page no. 387-420 of TP.	In view of representation and the marks under this category revised from 3.5 marks to 4 marks.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																				
	1. Independent Engineer services for “2 Laning with paved shoulders of Raebareilly Allahabad Section of NH 24B from Km 82.00 to Km 188.600, in the state of Uttar Pradesh on Design, Build, Operate and Transfer (DBFOT) on Toll Basis under NHDP-Phase-IV A, ROB on LC- 44A at Unchahar (Length 920m) 2. Independent Consultancy Services for improvement Works of Ranchi Patratu Ramgarh Road by widening the existing 1/2-lanes to 4/2- lanes dual carriageway, on BOT (Annuity) basis length-62.40 KMs, India (ROB Length- 689.38m, ROB Length- 579.91m, ROB Length 830.39m) 3. Project Name: Independent Engineer Services Up-gradation by 4 laning /Maintenance of Bareilly-Almora Road up to Uttarakhand Border (SH-37) on DBFOT Basis, Length- 54, India Bhojipura Flyover Length 2000m 4. Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of Flyover at Bahalgarh 4 lane Ch.41.210 and Construction of Additional 2-lane Bridge near Rasoi Ch.32.275 on Drain No.8 on NH-1 section of Delhi /Haryana Border from Km.29.300 to Panipat km.86.000 in the State of Haryana' on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) basis. Length 1600 m. Major Bridge 1600m, Major Bridge 849.95m 5. Consultancy Services for Authority Engineer for Supervision of Construction of ROB over Railway Crossing No 32B on Bhathinda Suratgarh Railway line on NH-10 at Km 315.240 in Dabwali town in the State of Haryana on EPC basis. Length 896.125 m. Lane– 4 6. Consultancy Services for project preparation of Feasibility Study/Detailed Project Report of selected road stretches for NH connectivity to Backward/Religious/Tourist places and Border areas under Bharat Mala scheme. (i) Anandpur on NH-20-Satkosia-Kaplipada-Baripada on NH- 18 (ii) Khordha (NH-57) – Chandka-Cutack (iii) Baleshwar on NH-18 extend to Chandipur (Package No. NHAI/B2T/18), Odisha State, India. 2/4 Lane, Total Length 189 Km, ROB Length 905m, Major Bridge Length 1295m, Major Bridge Length 805m, Major Bridge Length 809m, Major Bridge Length 1575m, Flyover Length 860m, Flyover Length870m 7. Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision work of i) 4-laning of Chandigarh – Kharar Section from Sector 39 (Round about) at Chandigarh (Km 0.000) to Kharar (Km. 10.185) length: 10.185 Km of NH- 21 & 95 in the State of Punjab on EPC Mode and ii) 4-laning of Kharar-Kurali Section of NH- 21 from km15.765 to km 29.900 (design chainages) (new NH-205) in the State of Punjab on EPC Mode. (4 Lane, Total Project Length- 24.32 Km) Flyover Length 2.675 Km (2675m) 8. Consultancy Services for Appointment of Technical Consultant to Carry Out the Feasibility Study and Preparation of Detailed Project Report for 134.00 Km section from km	In view of above modification, the technical score of the firm is tabulated below:- <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks assessed earlier</th><th>Marks now assessed</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Firm Experience</td><td>33.50</td><td>38.00</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Use of Technology</td><td>20.00</td><td>20.00</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Key personnel</td><td>35.98</td><td>35.98</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>89.48</td><td>93.98</td></tr></table>	S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed	1	Firm Experience	33.50	38.00	2	Use of Technology	20.00	20.00	3	Key personnel	35.98	35.98		Total	89.48	93.98
S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed																			
1	Firm Experience	33.50	38.00																			
2	Use of Technology	20.00	20.00																			
3	Key personnel	35.98	35.98																			
	Total	89.48	93.98																			

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply
	00+00 to Km 134+00 of Ujjain- Jhalawarupto Rajasthan Border of SH-27 (proposed NH section) in the state of Madhya Pradesh through DBFOT/EPC basis.Total Length 134 Km, ROB Length -778 (47/740) m, ROB Length- 778m (chainage 55/302) 9. Consultancy Services for Project Management Phase I including preparation of Detailed Project Report for up-gradation of Hatgamahria- Jagannathpur-Baraiburu-Saddle-Manoharpur- Anandpur-Bano-Kolebira Road (NH declaration approved "IN Principle") in the State of Jharkhand to Two/Four lane with paved shoulder configuration. (Lot-III- Package No NH/IAHE/36) 4 Lane 38 Km and 2 Lane 146 Km Total Length 184 Km, Major Bridge 773.58m; Major Bridge 768m, Major Bridge 1020m; Major Bridge 838m, Major Bridge 780m (Bypass at Manoharpur), ROB Length 830m; ROB Length 835m; ROB Length 830; ROB Length 825m; ROB Length 875m. 10. Consultancy Services for Detailed Engineering Design, EIA, Tender Documents, and Construction Supervision of Widening and Strengthening from 4 lanes to 6 lane 28.5 miles (46 Km) for State Route 18 from Federal Way To Snoqualmie Washington, USA 6 Lane, Total Length 28.5 Miles(46 Km) Major Bridge 3900ft (1188.72m) Prestressed Concrete River Bridge ; 3 Flyovers 1000ft (304.8m) each Prestressed Concrete Girder; 3 Flyover 2200ft (670.56m) each Post Tension Box Girder, 1 Flyover 4000ft (1219.2m) Long Post tension Box Girder. 11. Consultancy Services for preparation of DPR for development of Economic Corridors, Inter Corridors, Feeder Routes and Border to improve the efficiency of freight movement in India under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Package 5/Lot-7 Delhi-Bilaspur 200 Km). This section has two elevated section more than 5 km of length such as Elevated structure length 5775m & Elevated structure length 5048m. 12. Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of 4-laning of Varanasi Gorakhpur section of NH-29 from km 12.000 (design chainage 12.010) to km 88.000 (design chainage 84.160) [package- II from Sandah to Birnon] in the state of Uttar Pradesh under NHDP Phase-IV on EPC Basis 4 lane Total Length 72.15Km. ROB of structure length 846m Moreover, in order to substantiate the same, the supporting documents pertaining to length of structure portion of Bridges (>500m) are being enclosed for your kind reference. Furthermore, we would like to draw your kind attention on salient features (length of structure portion of Bridges i.e. whether >500m shall be constructed at site or not) of subject project.	

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Committee's Reply																				
	In view of the above, we have relevant experience of 31 bridges i.e much more than required. Hence, you are requested to assign the due weightage accordingly.																					
G	M/s G-Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s Voyants Solutions Private Limited and in association with M/s NU-Infra Engineering Private Limited																					
1	We G-ENG Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. had submitted 64 Nos. of form 26 AS of Key Personnel with the firm updated till September 2021 wherein you have considered 63 Nos. of Form 26AS as same as we had given 45 Nos. of Form 26AS of key personnel updated till September 2021 of our JV partner M/s Voyants Solutions Pvt. Ltd. in Technical proposal page no. 605 to 700, in which you has considered only 26 Nos. We request you to kindly consider JV partner form 26 AS and make our firm as responsive.	In view of representation and total 44 numbers of Key personnel for the JV partner has been verified. Therefore, the status of Bidder changed from Non-Responsive to Responsive. In view of above modification, the technical score of the firm is tabulated below:- <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks assessed earlier</th><th>Marks now assessed</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Firm Experience</td><td>0.00</td><td>37.50</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Use of Technology</td><td>0.00</td><td>20.00</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Key personnel</td><td>0.00</td><td>34.69</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Total</td><td>0.00</td><td>92.19</td></tr></table>	S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed	1	Firm Experience	0.00	37.50	2	Use of Technology	0.00	20.00	3	Key personnel	0.00	34.69		Total	0.00	92.19
S. No.	Description	Marks assessed earlier	Marks now assessed																			
1	Firm Experience	0.00	37.50																			
2	Use of Technology	0.00	20.00																			
3	Key personnel	0.00	34.69																			
	Total	0.00	92.19																			