



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200

फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

NHAI/Tech/BH/AE/Arrah-Moh/2021/E-161388

Date: 24.02.2021

To

All applicant bidders

Subject:- Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Arrah to Pararia section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package I) on EPC Mode. 2 Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Pararia to Mohania section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package 2)- **Technical Evaluation of Bids- Reg.**

Ref: Bids submitted on 12.11.2020 for the above mentioned work.

This is with reference to the bids submitted on 31.10.2019 for the subject proposal. The result of Technical Evaluation is as under.

(i) **First Stage Technical Evaluation** (Experience of the applicants in completed projects in last seven year & **Technical capacity of bidder**):-Out of 10 applicant bidders, 6 Bidders satisfy the minimum eligibility criteria as per RFP.

Applicant No.	Name of the Applicant	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
1/10	Feedback Infra Pvt. Ltd. JV with RODIAC Consultants Pvt. Ltd. Association with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2/10	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	Responsive
3/10	CMEC Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. Association with SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. JV with Upham International Corporation	Responsive
4/10	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5/10	URS Scott Wilson India Pvt. Ltd. JV with Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. Association with G-Eng Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
6/10	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
7/10	Highway Engineering Consultant *	Non-Responsive
8/10	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTIC & ASSOCIATES **	Non-Responsive
9/10	Altinok Consulting Engineers Inc JV with K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. Association with Vaishnavi Infratech Services Pvt. Ltd. ***	Non-Responsive
10/10	Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. ****	Non-Responsive

4

(1/4)

*As per Section-1(C) the bidder falls under the category 2 on the basis annual turnover and minimum no. key professionals, accordingly the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are sixteen (16). The bidder is already having 3 DPR and 19.70 IE/AE Projects in hand, hence, the bidder is not eligible to undertake additional projects over and above 16 as per Section-1(C). Accordingly, **M/s Highway Engineering Consultant** is declared to be **Non-responsive**.

** As per Section-1(C) the bidder falls under the category 3 on the basis annual turnover and minimum no. key professionals, accordingly the maximum number of projects to be allotted to the Consultant including ongoing projects at a time are thirty (30). The bidder is already having 5 DPR and 24 IE/AE Projects in hand, hence, the bidder is not eligible to undertake additional projects over and above 30 as per Section-1(C). Accordingly, **M/s MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTIC & ASSOCIATES** is declared to be **Non-responsive**.

***As per requirement of RFP Clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2, for participating in tender, Authorized Signatory holding Power of Attorney shall be Digital Signatory. In case the Authorised signatory holding Power of Attorney and Digital Signatory are not same, the bidder shall be considered Non-responsive. In case of **Altinok Consulting Engineering Inc. JV with K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Vaishnavi Infratech Services Pvt. Ltd.**, the DSC and Authorized Signatory holding Power of Attorney does not match.

However, As per requirement of RFP Section- 1(C)-(viii), the Consulting Firms shall submit Form-26AS in order to certify their permanent key personnel along with the proposed candidates. But in case of **Altinok Consulting Engineering Inc. JV with K&J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Vaishnavi Infratech Services Pvt. Ltd** Form-26AS (In case of foreign company, the firms has to submit the relevant documents/ credentials such as appointment letter, salary credit statements, TDS at source wrt the place of deployment if any.) are not submitted. And not fulfilling the requirements with respect to technical capacity provision. Hence, not eligible for the proposed assignment. Hence the Bidder declared to be **Non- Responsive**

**** NHAI vide letter no. NHAI/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019/106 dated 17.12.2020 has debarred **M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.** From the bidding process to be undertaken by NHAI for a period of 2 years.

(ii) **Second Stage Technical Evaluation :-**

- a) The CV of Road Safety Expert (**Mr. Eesari Suryaprakash Reddy**) of TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd. is scoring less than 75% marks in terms of Para 3.4 (10 (f)) of Section 2 of RFP.
- b) As per NHAI Circular no. 03/2007-Vig. Dated 20 August 2007, the CV of Resident cum Highway Engineer (**Mr. Chandra Bhushan**) of LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. was blacklisted for any future employment of NHAI.
- c) The CV of Senior Pavement Specialist (**Mr. Ashok Kumar**) of TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. in association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd. is scoring less than 75% marks in terms of Para 3.4 (10 (f)) of Section 2 of RFP.
- d) The CV of Resident cum Highway Engineer (**Mr. Vijendra Kumar Singh**) of Feedback Infra Pvt. Ltd. JV with RODIAC Consultants Pvt. Ltd. Association with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. is scoring less than 75% marks in terms of Para 3.4 (10 (f)) of Section 2 of RFP.

(iii) **Summary of the Technical Evaluation:-** All the remaining 6 responsive bidders have been evaluated as per RFP, the results of the evaluation is as under (the detailed evaluation is annexed as **Annexure (A)**)

4

(2/4)

Sr. No	Name of Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	As per section 1(C) Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provision for Maximum works to be permitted with one Consultant	Total
	Maximum Marks	40.00	20.00	40.00		100.00
1	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	30.00	20.00	34.23	Yes	84.23
2	Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	NHAI/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019/106 dated 17.12.2020 has debarred M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. From the bidding process to be undertaken by NHAI for a period of 2 years.				
3	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.				
4	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	32.50	10.00	32.76	Yes	75.26
5	Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD. Association with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	40.00	17.00	35.91	Yes	92.91
6	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	34.00	20.00	35.79	Yes	89.79

4

7	URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. Association with G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited	29.00	14.00	36.27	Yes	79.27
8	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTICS & ASSOCIATES	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.				
9	CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association with SA INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED JV with Upham International Corporation	29.50	19.00	35.77	Yes	84.27
10	ALTINOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. Association with Vaishnavi Infratech Services Private Limited	Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RFP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.				

2. Bidders are given opportunity to respond by 04.03.2021 upto 1130 hrs IST, in case of any objection and submit the requisite details/ documents within this period.


(K K Arora)
GM (Tech.)
Bihar Division

(21/4)

Annexure A

Technical Capacity of Bidder.

Technical Capacity of Bidder.												
S.NO.	List of Applicant	Share	Average annual turnover of firm in last 3 financial years (from consultancy works)	No. of key professionals on full time rolls (minimum for last one year) for sole consultant (in case of JV, both the partners have to fulfill 50% criteria)	Category in which bidder is falling	Max. no of projects to be allotted to one particular Consultant including ongoing projects at a time*	Ongoing Projects		Eligibility for new		Whether eligible	
							DPR	IE/AE/SC	DPR	IE/AE/SC		
(1)			(2)	(3)			(4)					
1	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	330.31	100.00	4.00	50.00	3.00	34.16	12.84	3.34	Yes	
2	Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	Sole	73.83	44.00	3.00	30.00			30.00	22.50		
3	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	Sole	42.04	34.00	2.00	16.00	3.00	19.70	(6.70)	-	No	
4	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	Association	385.44	52.00	3.00	30.00	0.10	9.82	20.08	12.68	Yes	
5	Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD. Association with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	JV	243.69	46.50	3.00	30.00	6.05	15.61	8.35	6.90	Yes	
	Feedback	50%	283.70	44.00			6.60	16.50			Yes	
	Rodic	50%	203.67	49.00			5.50	14.71			Yes	
6	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	JV	71.70	58.90	3.00	30.00	3.15	5.22	21.63	17.28	Yes	
	Chaitanya	90%	42.86	41.00			3.50	5.80			Yes	
	ISAN	10%	331.32	220.00			-	-			Yes	
7	URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. Association with G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited	JV	90.27	65.20	4.00	50.00	0.12	8.74	41.14	28.76	Yes	
	URS	60%	70.96	72.00							Yes	
	Consulting	40%	119.22	55.00			0.30	21.84			Yes	
8	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTICS & ASSOCIATES	Association	95.72	51.00	3.00	30.00	5.00	24.00	1.00	-	No	
9	CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association with SA INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED JV with Upham International Corporation	JV	16.81	16.20	1.00	8.00	-	0.42	7.58	5.58	Yes	
	CMEC	60%	19.49	15.00							Yes	
	UPHAM	40%	12.80	18.00			-	0.70			Yes	
10	ALTINOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. Association with Vaishnavi Infratech Services Private Limited	JV	100.92	3.20	0.00	0.00	2.16	5.39	(7.55)	-	No	
	Altinok	60%	134.36	-			1.60	1.45			No	
	K&J	40%	50.75	8.00			3.00	11.30			No	

Summary

Annexure-A

Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Arrah to Pararia section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package 1) on EPC Mode. 2 Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Pararia to Mohania section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package 2)									
Applicant	Name of Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	As per section 1(C) Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provision for Maximum works to be permitted with one Consultant	Responsive/Non-Responsive	Rank	
		Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed				
		40.00	20.00	40.00	100.00				
1/10	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	30.00	20.00	34.23	84.23	Yes	Responsive	84.23	4
2/10	Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	NHAI/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019/106 dated 17.12.2020 has debarred M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. From the bidding process to be undertaken by NHAI for a period of 2 years.							
3/10	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.							
4/10	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.	32.50	10.00	32.76	75.26	Yes	Responsive	75.26	6
5/10	Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD. Association with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.	40.00	17.00	35.91	92.91	Yes	Responsive	92.91	1
6/10	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	34.00	20.00	35.79	89.79	Yes	Responsive	89.79	2
7/10	URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. Association with G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited	29.00	14.00	36.27	79.27	Yes	Responsive	79.27	5
8/10	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTICS & ASSOCIATES	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.							
9/10	CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association with SA INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED JV with Upham International Corporation	29.50	19.00	35.77	84.27	Yes	Responsive	84.27	3
10/10	ALTINOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. Association with Vaishnavi Infratech Services Private Limited	Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RFP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.							

A

Annexure - A

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

[illegible]

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

[illegible]

Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RFP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive

A

Bridge/Structural Engineer

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	Name of firms																			
			LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.		Theme Engineering Services Private Limited		HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT		TTP GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting & Infrastructure Advisory Pvt. Ltd.		Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD. Association with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd.		Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation		URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. Association with G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited		MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTICS & ASSOCIATES		CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association with SA INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED JV with Unihom		ALTIVOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. Association with Vaishnav Infratech Services Private Limited	
			Ravi Marks	Swaraj Marks	Lalit Marks	Asish Pal Marks	Rajesh Marks	Ram Marks	Alok Marks	Ajay Kumar Marks	shiv kumar Marks	Surya Vijay Marks	Sanjeev Marks	Avinash Kumar Marks	Shrinivas Marks	Ravichekhar Marks	ANIL Marks	Sanjeev Marks	Anil Marks	Ragib Marks	Jainendra Marks	Satish Kumar Marks
			Assessed	Assessed	Assessed		Assessed		Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	
1	General Qualification	25																				
	i) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21					21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21						
	ii) Post Graduation in Structural Engineering	4	0	0					0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0			21	21		
2	Adequacy for the Project	70																				
	i) Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects	15	15	15					15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			15	15		
	< 10 years -0																		0	0		
	10 years -11																					
	Add one marks extra for each additional completed 4 year subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.																					
	ii) Experience in similar capacity in Design/construction/construction supervision of Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges / any other such structures (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	20	20	20					20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20			20	20		
	< 5 years -0																					
	5 years -15																					
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.																					
	iii) Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway Bridges/ROB/Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures	20	20	20					20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20			20	20		
	< 2 bridges -0																					
	2 bridges -15																					
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.																					
	iv) Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of major Bridge/ROB/Flyover / Interchanges / any other structures	10	10	10					10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10			10	10		
	< 2 - 0																					
	2 nos - 08																					
	3 or more - 10																					
	v) Experience in similar capacity of modern bridge construction technology viz., precast segmental, balanced cantilever construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full span Launching, Incremental Launching.	5	5	5					5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5			5	5		
	Experience in 1 project - 4																					
	More than one project -3 marks																					
3	Employment with the Firm																					
	< 1 year -0	5	0	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			5	0		
	1 year or more -3 Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks																					
	Total:	100	91	91					91	91	90	91	92	91	95	91			96	91		

NHA/HJSC/R&R/JNH-3320/19/106 dated 17.12.2020 has debarred M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. From the bidding process to be undertaken by NHA for a period of 2 years.

Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.

Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.

Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RFP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.

Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RPP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.

U.SPS

Answer - A

Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Arrah to Pararia section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package I) on EPC Mode. 2 Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Pararia to Mohania section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package 2)

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

3.4 Senior Pavement Specialist			Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.									
S. No.	Description	Max. Marks	Name of firms									
			LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental	Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT.	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with Consulting	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK FUTURISTIC	CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association with SA	ALTINOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd.
			Samant	Roshan Lal	Manoj	Ashok	Dhirendra	Anil	Om	Rajiv	Manish	ANIL
			Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks
			Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed
1	General Qualification	25	NHAI/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019/106 dated 17.12.2020 has debarred M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. From the bidding process to be undertaken by NHAI for a period of 2 years.	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.							
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21				21	21	21	21			
	II) Post Graduation in Transportation/ Highway Engineering/ Pavement engineering or equivalent	4				0	0	0	0		21	
2	Adequacy for the Project	70										
a)	Professional Experience											
	i) Total Professional Experience of Pavement Design / Construction and Maintenance of Highways/ Roads/ Air Field Runway	20				0	20	20	20		19	
	< 10 years -0											
	10 years -15											
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5(five) marks.											
	ii) Experience in Similar Capacity in Construction/ Construction Supervision of 2/4/6-laning** of major Highway Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above)	20				0	20	16	20		20	
	< 5 years -0											
	5 years -15											
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.											
	iii) Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25				20	25	25	22.5		25	
	< 2 nos -0											
	2 nos -20											
	Add 2.5 markS extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.											
	iv) Experience in similar capacity on EPC projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	5				5	5	5	5		5	
	1 project-4											
	Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark											
3	Employment with the Firm	5				0	0	0	0		0	
	< 1 year -0											
	1 year -3											
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks											
	Total:	100				46	91	87	88.5		90	
		94										

Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RfP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.

Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RFP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.

A

Annexure-A

5. SOME

Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Arrah to Pararia section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package I) on EPC Mode. 2 Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Four laning of Pararia to Mohania section of NH-319(Old NH-30) in the State of Bihar under Bharatmala pariyojana Phase-I (Package 2)

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.																					
3.5 Senior Quality/Material Expert		Max. Marks	Name of firms																		
S. No.	Description		LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting &	Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANT	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK	CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association	ALTINOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects									
			RAMSUSHE	ANIL	Piyush Rai	Birendra	Rajesh	Anil Kumar	Sahjanand	Sanjay	Pramod	Binod									
			Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks									
			Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed									
1	General Qualification	25	NHA/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019/106 dated 17.12.2020 has debarred M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. From the bidding process to be undertaken by NHAI for a period of 2 years.	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.																	
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21											21								
	II) Post Graduation in Geotechnical Engineering/ Foundation Engineering/Soil Mechanics/Rock Mechanics	4											0								
2	Adequacy for the Project	70																			
A	Professional Experience																				
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling highways/Bridge Projects																				
	< 10 years -0																				
	10 years -11																				
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.																				
ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Construction/Construction Supervision of Highway Projects (of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)																				
	< 5 years -0																				
	5 years -19																				
	Add 2.0 (two) marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 6 (six) marks.																				
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in handling Similar Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)																				
	< 2 nos -0																				
	2 nos -25																				
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.																				
3	Employment with the Firm																				
	< 1 year -0																				
	1 year or more -3																				
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks																				
	Total:	100	93.5																		

4

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment.												
3.6	Road Safety Expert											
3	Description	Max. Marks	Name of firms									
			LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	HIGHWAY ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Association with Segmental Consulting & Eesari Suryaprakash Raddu.	Feedback Infra Private Limited JV with RODIC CONSULTANT Satish NL	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. JV with ISAN Corporation	URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited JV with	MSV International Inc Association with MSPARK	CMEC CONSULTING ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Association	ALTINOK Consulting Engineering Inc JV with K & J Projects
			Yatindra Mohan	Anil Sharma	Prashant Santosh Patil	Suryaprakash Raddu.	Satish NL	Neeraj Kumar Sinha	sajjan kumar goyal	Dinesh Kumar Vadas	Krishna Murari	Rabindra Prasad Singh
			Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks	Marks
			Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed	Assessed
1	General Qualification	25	Firm is not eligible as per section 1 (C) requirement with respect to the Technical capacity provision for maximum work to be permitted with one consultant.									
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21										
	II) Post Graduation in Traffic/ Transportation/Safety Engineering or equivalent	4										
2	Adequacy for the Project	70										
a)	Professional Experience											
	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects											
i)	< 10 years -0	15										
	10 years -11											
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.											
ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above.	15										
	< 5 years -0											
	5 years -11											
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.											
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at different stages including at least one at design stage	20										
	> 2 nos - 0											
	2 nos -15											
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.											
iv)	Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	10										
	< 2 nos - 0											
	2 nos - 8											
	Add 2 marks extra for each additional improvement of black spots subject to maximum 2 marks.											
v)	Experience in similar capacity of preparing Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban Highway	5										
	1 project -4 marks											
	2 or more -5 marks											
vi)	Experience in similar capacity in field of Road Safety Management Plan	5										
	1 project -4 marks											
	2 or more -5 marks											
	Employment with the Firm											
	< 1 year -0											
	1 year -3											
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks											
3	Total:	100	96									
			60									
			90									
			93									
			94.5									
			94									

Since the bidder not fulfilling the requirement of RFP clause 4.3 (ii) of section 2, hence the bidder considered as non-responsive.

