



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

कर्नाटक क्षेत्र, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, बेंगलुरु

Karnataka Region, REGIONAL OFFICE, BENGALURU

बेंगलूरु - तुमकूर सड़क (एन.एच-४), एम. एस. रामय्या इंकलेव, नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के बगल में, बेंगलूरु - ५६० ०७३.
Bengaluru - Tumakuru Road (NH-4), M.S. Ramaiah Enclave, Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru - 560 073

Tele : 080 - 28397156
: 080 - 28397171
Fax : 080 - 28377171
Email : robangalore@nhai.org
ronhaibangalore@gmail.com



भारतमाला
प्रगति के पथ पर अग्रसर
BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY

NHAI/RO-BNG/16018/Tender/2020-21/3273

Date: 01.12.2020

To,
The Bidders (as per names given below),

Sub: Consultancy Services as Independent engineer during Operation & Maintenance of four laning of **Maharashtra/Karnataka border to Sangareddy Section** of NH-09(New NH-65)(From Km. 348+800 to Km 432+800) in the state of Karnataka and Km.428+800 to Km.493+000 in the state of Andhra Pradesh) under NHDP Phase III DBFOT/BOT basis - **Opening of Financial Proposals** - Reg.

- Ref:** 1. M/s. Feedback letter No.FIPL/D/GEN/11-20/2138 dt.05.11.2020
2. M/s. Dhruv letter No.DHRUV/Maharashtra/Karnataka border to Sangareddy/758 of 2020 dated 05.11.2020
3. M/s. Theme letter No.Theme/BD/RO/NHAI/BNG/IE OMT Mah-Kar Border/20/2997 dated 05.11.2020
4. T/o letter No.NHAI/RO-BNG/16018/Tender/2020-21/2879 dt.04.11.2020
5. NIT No.16/NHAI/RO-BNG/16018/Tender/2020-21 dated 09.07.2020

As per this office letter cited 4th above, the Technical scores of the following participated bidders are uploaded in the NHAI e-tenders portal with a request to submit the objections if any on technical score on or before **06.11.2020** upto 1700 Hrs.

Sl. No.	Name of the Firm	Technical Marks	Remarks	Results
1	M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	93.81	The bidder has fulfilled the required eligibility criteria as per bid document	Responsive
2	M/s. K&J Projects Private Ltd. Nagpur is Association with M/s. Indian Road Survey & Management Pvt. Ltd.	93.08	The bidder has fulfilled the required eligibility criteria as per bid document	Responsive
3	M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. Haryana in Association with M/s. Satra Services and Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	90.51	The bidder has fulfilled the required eligibility criteria as per bid document	Responsive
4	M/s. MSV International Inc. in Association with M/s. Sri Infotech.	94.72	The bidder has fulfilled the required eligibility criteria as per bid document	Responsive
5	M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. JV with M/s. Arkitechno Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd. and in Association with M/s. Infinite Civil Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	92.10	The bidder has fulfilled the required eligibility criteria as per bid document	Responsive
6	M/s. L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Highway Engineering Consultants.	93.18	The bidder has fulfilled the required eligibility criteria as per bid document	Responsive

Contd...2

In response, M/s. Feedback Infra Private Limited. M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited. and M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd., vide letters cited 1st, 2nd & 3rd above, have sought some clarifications on Technical scores.

As per the request of the firms regarding Technical scores the following clarifications are made as detailed below:

Clarifications to M/s. Feedback Infra Private Limited. on Technical Scores:

Sl. No.	As per RFP vide clause/Page No.	Clarifications requested by the Bidder	Replies to the Clarifications as per RFP and Technical Bid
Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment:			
4	Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years 2 project 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each - 4 marks Or 1 project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length- 4 marks Add 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks.	The bidder has requested to consider 5 marks as against 4.75 marks without specifying the project details.	As reviewed the Technical Bid vide sl. no.1, 4, 21 & 22 from Pg. No.166 to 174, it is noticed that these projects are as per the eligibility criteria and hence considered and the assessed marks is 4.75 and the rest of the projects are not as per the eligibility criteria and hence not considered. As such, the earlier assessed 4.75 marks by the committee is correct.
7	Experience as Independent Engineer/Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/OMT/O&M of four/six laning** of 50% of project length in last 7 years 1 project - 6 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks.	The bidder has requested to consider 8 marks as against 6 marks without specifying the project details.	As reviewed the Technical Bid vide sl. no.1 of pg. no.289, the project has satisfied the requisite eligibility criteria and hence considered and assessed the 6 marks. However the rest of the projects are not satisfied the eligibility criteria and hence not considered. As such, the earlier assessed marks of 6 by the committee is correct.
Anneuxre-A-2: Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology:			
	Site Appreciation	The bidder has requested 1 mark as against 0.75 mark	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.420 to 440, the details furnished in the bid are reviewed in line with the details as per RFP vide sl. no.3.4 (ii to v) and wherein it is noticed that the details furnished in the bid are not in line with the details as per RFP. As such, the earlier assessed marks of 3.75 (0.75 + 1.5 + 1.5) by the committee is correct.
	Quality of Approach and Methodology	The bidder has requested 2 mark as against 1.5 mark	
	Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan	The bidder has requested 2 mark as against 1.5 mark	
Anneuxre-A-3: Assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection:			
1	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection	The bidder has requested 5 marks as against 4 marks	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.488 to 489, the equipment is proposed by associate firm rather than by the sole firm and for which 1 mark has been assessed whereas the experience for the projects is considered as 3 marks and as such the assessed marks of 4 by the committee is correct.

2	Experience in Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) or better technology for pavement strength measurement	The bidder has requested 4 marks as against 3 marks	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.505, the equipment is proposed by associate firm rather than by the sole firm and for which 1 mark has been assessed whereas the experience for the projects is considered as 2 marks and as such the assessed marks of 3 by the committee is correct.
5	Experience in ATCC	The bidder has requested 3 marks as against 2 marks	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.521, the equipment is proposed by associate firm rather than by the sole firm and for which 1 mark has been assessed whereas the experience for the projects is considered as 1 mark and as such the assessed marks of 2 by the committee is correct.

As per the above said clarifications, the technical score **90.51** of the firm as assessed earlier by the Committee is correct.

Clarifications to M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited. on Technical Scores:

Sl. No.	As per RFP vide clause/Page No.	Clarifications requested by the Bidder	Replies to the Clarifications as per RFP and Technical Bid
Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment:			
4	Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years 2 project 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each - 4 marks Or 1 project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length- 4 marks Add 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks.	The bidder has requested to consider 5 marks as against 4.25 marks.	As reviewed the Technical Bid vide sl. no.4 and 13 which are as per eligibility criteria and for which 4.25 marks have been assessed. However, the rest of the projects which are not as per eligibility criteria and hence not considered. As such, the earlier assessed 4.25 marks by the committee is correct.
Anneuxre-A-3: Assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection:			
1	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection	The bidder has requested 2 marks as against 1 mark for the equipment	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.37, the equipment has been proposed by the associate firm rather than by the sole firm and the for which 1 mark has been assessed by the committee is correct.
4	Experience in Retro reflectometer technology	The bidder has requested 2 marks as against 1 mark for the equipment	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.47, the equipment has been proposed by the associate firm rather than by the sole firm and for which 1 mark has been assessed by the committee is correct.
Highway Maintenance cum Resident Engineer:			
ii)	Experience in similar capacity* in highway Construction / Maintenance works of similar nature < 3 years -0 3 years -12	The bidder has requested to consider 14 marks as against 12 marks by proposing the	As verified the technical bid vide sl. no.2, 3 & 4 of pg. no.254 to 255, it is noticed that these projects are as per eligibility criteria and for which 12 marks have been assessed and whereas the rest of the projects which

	Add one marks extra for each additional completed year of experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks.	projects of sl. no.12, 13, 14, 15 & 16.	are not as per eligibility criteria and hence not considered.
--	--	---	---

As per the above said clarifications, the technical score **92.10** of the firm as assessed earlier by the Committee is correct.

Clarifications to M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd., on Technical Scores:

Sl. No.	As per RFP vide clause/Page No.	Clarifications requested by the Bidder	Replies to the Clarifications as per RFP and Technical Bid
	Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment:		
6	Experience as Independent Engineer/Authority Engineer/Supervision Consultant two construction projects of four/six laning ** of 30% of project length each or one projects of four/six laning ** of 50% project length in last 7 years. 2 construction projects 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each - 4marks Or 1 construction project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length - 4 marks Add 1(one) mark extra for completed assignment of Independent Engineer and add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 2 (two) mark.	The bidder has requested 6 marks as against 5.5 marks	As reviewed the Technical Bid vide sl. no.32, 33, 54 & 55 which are as per eligibility criteria and for which the marks of 5.5 has been considered. wherein the rest of the projects which are not as per eligibility criteria and hence not considered.
7	Experience as Independent Engineer/Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/OMT/O&M of four/six laning** of 50% of project length in last 7 years 1 project - 6 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks.	The bidder has requested to consider 8 marks as against 7 marks.	As reviewed the Technical Bid vide sl. no.1, 2 & 7 and which are as per eligibility criteria and for which 7 marks have been assessed. Whereas the rest of the project which are not as per eligibility criteria and hence not considered.
	Anneuxre-A-2: Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology:		
	Site Appreciation	The bidder has requested 5 marks as against 3.75 marks	As verified the technical bid vide pg. no.510 to 521, the details furnished in the bid are reviewed in line with the details as per RFP vide sl. no.3.4 (ii to v) and wherein it is noticed that the details furnished in the bid are not in line with the details as per RFP. As such, the earlier assessed marks of 3.75 (0.75 + 1.5 + 1.5) by the committee is correct.
	Quality of Approach and Methodology		
	Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan		

As per the above said clarifications, the technical score **93.81** of the firm as assessed earlier by the Committee is correct.

Since, there is no change in the technical scores as assessed earlier and the details of unchanged technical scores of the firms are as detailed below:

Sl. No.	Name of the Firm	Technical Marks
1	M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	93.81
2	M/s. K&J Projects Private Ltd. Nagpur is Association with M/s. Indian Road Survey & Management Pvt. Ltd.	93.08
3	M/s. Feedback Infra Private Ltd. Haryana in Association with M/s. Satra Services and Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	90.51
4	M/s. MSV International Inc. in Association with M/s. Sri Infotech.	94.72
5	M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Serives Ltd. JV with M/s. Arkitechno Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd. and in Association with M/s. Infinite Civil Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	92.10
6	M/s. L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Highway Engineering Consultants.	93.18

As per Section-II vide clause 5.4 of pg. no.16 of RFP and in which it is mentioned that "After the evaluation of Technical Proposals is completed and the shortlist of 5 firms is finalized, NHAI may notify those consultants whose proposals were not considered as per conditions of RFP indicating that their Financial Proposal will not be opened online. The NHAI shall simultaneously notify the finally selected 05 (five) short listed firms indicating the date and time set for opening of the Financial Proposals."

Accordingly, the following 5 (five) firms are shortlisted for opening of the Financial Bids:

Sl. No.	Name of the Firm	Technical Marks
1	M/s. MSV International Inc. in Association with M/s. Sri Infotech.	94.72
2	M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	93.81
3	M/s. L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s. Highway Engineering Consultants.	93.18
4	M/s. K&J Projects Private Ltd. Nagpur is Association with M/s. Indian Road Survey & Management Pvt. Ltd.	93.08
5	M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Serives Ltd. JV with M/s. Arkitechno Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd. and in Association with M/s. Infinite Civil Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	92.10

As per Section-II vide clause 5.5 of RFP, the Financial proposals shall be opened through e-tenders portal in the presence of consultants representatives who choose to attend.

In view of the above, the Financial proposals of the above said 5 (Five) shortlisted firms will be opened on **02.12.2020**.

Signature Not Verified

(R. P. Suryawanshi)
Digitally signed by SURYAWANSHI R K
Date: 2020.12.02 17:27:51 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs