



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष / Phone : 91-11-25074100/25074200

फैक्स / Fax : 91-11-25093507 / 25093514

NHAI/11012/NH-444A/SS(Pkg-II)/2020/AE

Date: 13.01.2021

To,

All Bidders

Sub: Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Rehabilitation and upgradation for 4-Lanning of Saha to Sahabad Section from Km. 14.840 to Km 31.760 of NH-444A (Total Length-16.920 km) under BharatmalaPariyojna in the State of Haryana on EPC Basis-Final Results of Technical Evaluation& Opening of Financial Bids -Reg.

Ref: RFP Submission on 20.07.2020

NHAI letter dated 03.12.2020

Sir,

Kindly refer NHAI HQ letter dt.03.12.2020 vide which result of Technical Evaluation along with the detailed evaluation sheets were uploaded on NIC portal and Bidders were given opportunity to respond by 10.12.2020 upto 15:00 Hrs, in case of any objection as per requirement of RFP Clause 10(vii) of Data Sheet.

2. In this context, authority received representation from following 10 bidders by 10.12.2020:

S. No	Name of Firm
1/12	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.
2/12	Almondz Global-Infra Consultant Limited (A wholly owned subsidiary of Almondz Global Securities Limited) in association with SP Infra Solution.
3/12	Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in JV with Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
4/12	AYOLEEZA CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED in JV with SOWIL Ltd. In association with TTL Engineering Pvt. Ltd.
5/12	Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd. in association with Indian Road Survey and Management
6/12	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. In association with Advent consulting Engineers
7/12	MSV INTERNATIONAL INC In Association with (as a Sub Consultant) Mahamarg Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
8/12	Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Voyants Solutions Private Limited in association with JR Consultancy services Pvt Ltd

9/12	G-Eng Advisory Service Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Yongma engineering Co. Ltd. in association with Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.
10/12	March Technocrats Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Dhruv Consultancy services Ltd. in association with Global infra Solutions

3. The above representation have been examined constituted Evaluation Committee and reply to the representation as approved by Competent Authority is enclosed at Annex-I.

4. The final status and Technical Scores of the firms after considering bidders representations are as under: -

4.1 Non-Responsive & Not Considered Firms:-

S. No	Name of Firm	Original Technical Score	Final Technical Score	Remarks
1	Almondz Global-Infra Consultant Limited (A wholly owned subsidiary of Almondz Global Securities Limited) in association with SP Infra Solution.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Firm doesn't fulfill Minimum Eligibility Criteria
2	Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in JV with Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	JV Partner Doesn't fulfill Minimum Eligibility Criteria Also, Lead Partner has been Debarred by NHAI vide order dt. 17.12.2020
3	Centro De Estudios De Materiales Y Control De Obra S.A. (CEMOSA) in JV with Vax Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Introsoft Solutions	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	JV Partner debarred by NHAI vide order dt. 01.09.2020
4	Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Voyants Solutions Private Limited in association with JR Consultancy services Pvt Ltd	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Proposed Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer scores lesser than 75 Marks.



4.2 Technically responsive firm along with their final technical scores:-

S.No	Name of Firm	Earlier Technical Score	Final Technical Score
1	LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	92.99	94.39
2	AYOLEEZA CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED in JV with SOWIL Ltd. In association with TTL Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	81.58	86.58
3	Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd. in association with Indian Road Survey and Management	75.26	78.26
4	Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. In association with Advent consulting Engineers	81.96	83.28
5	MSV INTERNATIONAL INC In Association with (as a Sub Consultant) Mahamarg Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	89.74	91.24
6	L N MALVIYA INFRA PROJECTS PVT. LTD. in association with Highway Engineering Consultant	96.34	96.34
7	G-Eng Advisory Service Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Yongma engineering Co. Ltd. in association with Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	86.33
8	March Technocrats Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Dhruv Consultancy services Ltd. in association with Global infra Solutions	91.75	92.25

5. Opening of the Financial Bids of qualified/responsive 08 bidders (as detailed in Para 4.2 above) on E-Tender mode is scheduled to be held on **14.01.2021 at 1100 Hour** in IT Training Room, Ground Floor at NHAI HQ. All qualified / responsive 08 bidders are requested to depute representative with authorization letter for attending the opening of Financial Bids.

Encl-A/A

Yours faithfully,



(V.K. Joshi)

General Manager (Tech)-Haryana

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
1.	M/s. LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. Vide letter no. LASA/BD/Proposal/NHAI/AE/HR/Sahabad/71693/2020 dated 09.12.2020				
1.	Experience in use of Technology for road inspection	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 2 marks (b) Own Equipment - 4 marks Experience (i) 1-2 projects -1marks (ii) 3-5 projects- 2 marks (iii) > 5 projects- 3 marks	6	6	Bidder in the representation submitted that they have experience in 6 projects & claimed 7 marks under the said criteria. The bidder has claimed 06 nos. of projects out of which 04 projects i.e. S.No. 1,2,5& 6 have already been considered & accordingly 2 marks was assigned. In addition, 4 marks against the ownership of the equipment already assigned. "For experience in technology, NHAI certificates have been considered based on the clarification obtained by various technical divisions of NHAI HQ on these certificates. The certificates from the other government agencies have been considered as per details mentioned in the certificates. Further, in case PIUs have not submitted confirmation of certificate issued by them in prescribed format circulated through NHAI Policy circular, then the same has not been considered as it is not clear in the certificates whether the technology is owned or hired by the bidder." After reviewing the project it has been found that NSV used in Project at S.No. 3 & 4 as claimed by the bidder is found to be hired as per the clarification received from the respective PIUs. Therefore these 2 project cannot be considered. Therefore, marks under the said criteria remains unchanged.
		Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit	4	5	Bidder in the representation submitted that they have experience in 6 projects

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		(MBIU) or better technology for bridges inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment-2 marks i 1-2 Projects-1 ii 3 - 5 Projects - 2 Marks iii > 5 Projects - 3 Marks			& claimed 5 marks under the said criteria. Ownership of the equipment & experience in Project at Sr. 3 to 6 has already been considered and 4 marks were already assigned. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that project at Sr. 1&2 also fulfill provision of RFP and may also be considered. Therefore, as per RFP, bidder entitles 5 marks under this criterion. Hence, marks under this criterion has been modified to 5 marks instead of 4 marks.
		Experience in Retro reflectometer Technology (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment- 2marks (a) Experience of 1-3 projects - 1 marks (b) Experience of >3 projects- 1 marks	3	3	The bidder has claimed 05 nos. of projects. Out of which 03 projects i.e. S.No. 1,2 & 5 have already been considered & accordingly 1 marks was assigned. In addition, 2 marks against the ownership of the equipment already assigned. "For experience in technology, NHAI certificates have been considered based on the clarification obtained by various technical divisions of NHAI HQ on these certificates. The certificates from the other government agencies have been considered as per details mentioned in the certificates. Further, in case PIUs have not submitted confirmation of certificate issued by them in prescribed format circulated through NHAI Policy circular, then the same has not been considered as it is not clear in the certificates whether the technology is owned or hired by the bidder. After reviewing the project it has been

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					found that Retro Reflectometer used in Project at S.No. 3 & 4 as claimed by the bidder is found to be hired as per the clarification received from the respective PIUs. Therefore these 2 project cannot be considered. Hence marks allotted under this criteria remains unchanged.
2.	Team Leader cum Sr. Highwa y Enginee r	Refer criteria at Sr. 2(c): Experience in position of Team Leader/Project Manager or similar capacity in Construction Supervision/IC involving length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6** laning) and above < 2 projects - 0 2 Projects - 16 Add 2 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 4 (four) marks	16.67	20	The proposed key personnel have claimed 4 projects under this criterion. Out of these 2 projects at Sl no. 8 & 9 is already considered. After reviewing the representation & bid, it has been found that project at S. no. 12 & 13 , which were not considered inadvertently , are fulfill criteria stipulated in the RFP and Therefore these 2 projects may also be considered now. Hence marks under this criterion have been modified to 20marks instead of 16.67 marks.
	Residen t cum Highwa y Enginee r	Refer Criteria 2(ii) Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintendi ng Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above) < 5 years -0 5 years -15 Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (Five) marks.	19	19	Applicant has claimed 20 marks for projects mentioned from Sr. No. 8 to 11 under this criterion. After re-examination, it is observed that proposed key personnel has experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects for less than 10 Year for which 19 Marks has already assigned. Hence, no change in marks.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
	Senior Pavement Specialist	Refer Criteria ,Sr no 2(iii) Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos - 0 2 nos - 20 Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.	22.5	22.5	Applicant has claimed 7 nos of projects under this criterion. Out of which project at Infracon Sl. No. P5,P7& P8 is already considered. Accordingly, 22.5 marks were allotted. After re-examination the project, it is found that the proposed key personnel in the project at Sr. No. 1to 4 ,has no experience as Pavement / Geotechnical Engineer. He has not worked as Pavement/ Geotechnical Engineer as mentioned in the said criteria. Therefore, the same cannot be considered. Hence, no marks changed under this criteria.
2.	M/s. Almondz Global-Infra Consultant Limited (A wholly owned subsidiary of Almondz Global Securities Limited) in association with SP Infra Solution. Vide letter no. AGICL/AE/Saha-Sahabad/HAR/2019-20/01 dated 08.12.2020				
		Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent) :- The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6** Laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	Not Eligible	Not Eligible	In the earlier evaluation the applicant was considered as Non-Responsive as bidder did not meet the Experience as per RFP Clause 15(A) " <i>The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6** -laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited. In the last 7 years.</i> Now, the applicant has submitted their objection on result of Technical Evaluation & submitted that they have 5 eligible projects under this criterion. However, after re-examination of the claim, it was found that 03 No. of project mentioned at page no. 120,124 & 134 were already considered in earlier evaluation. In addition, Project at P No 131 was not considered as it is 2 lane projects and

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					consultancy fee mentioned in the bid is less than 3 crore. Though, Now, Bidder in the representation has enclosed CA certificate that Rs. 3,29,65,660/- (inclusive of 18% GST) received by firm for project attached at page no.131. The same cannot be considered as the award and the applicable performance guarantees in any consultancy contract is always considered/calculated exclusive of taxes. The amount received exclusive of taxes by the bidder in the instant project is less than Rs 3.00 Cr and therefore cannot be considered. Further, Project no. 137, has not been considered as experience certificate issued by client states that bidder has submitted "Draft Feasibility Report" only. After re-examination of the claimed, eligible projects for the aggregate length for DPR/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report in last 7 year, works out to be 9.35 Km which is less than the required i.e. 33.84 Km length. Therefore, applicant is not meeting the minimum requirement and therefore declared as Non-Responsive.
3.	M/s. Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in JV with Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd. vide letter no. Theme/BD/NHAI/AE Saha to Sahabad/20/4489 dated 09.12.2020				
		Experience as per Clause 15(A) Sub-Clause 1(a) of RFP:- <i>"The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Consultant of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of</i>	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Experience as per Clause 15(A) Sub-Clause 1(a) of RFP, <i>"The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Consultant of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category** for which RFP is invited."</i> The other Partner i.e. M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd. was not meeting the criteria as per Clause 15(B) of RFP i.e. "The lead partner must fulfil atleast 50% of requirements at 1(a) of table in para (A) above and other JV partner should

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		<i>similar category** for which RFP is invited."</i>			fulfil at least 30% of eligibility criteria as indicated at 1(a) of table in para (A) above" Based on the above mentioned facts, JV Consortium was considered as Non-Responsive and hence not qualified for next stage. Now, with the representation, Bidder has claimed project titled Srinagar-Banihal 4-Lane 16.94 Km 25% of 67.76 Km towards other consortium member i.e. M/s Casta Engineers Pvt Ltd. The same is reviewed and it is observed that with the original submission, the bidder has just mentioned the name of the project without any experience certificate. Now, with the representation bidder has submitted the experience certificate issued by PD PIU Srinagar, NHAI. As per the said certificate, the project was Independent Engineer Services (Balance Work along with O&M works) for Srinagar Banihal stretch with 12 month (Construction) and 24 months (O&M period). The civil work was started on 27.06.2011 and the bidder commenced the services on 29.05.2017 and PCOD was issued on 31.03.2018. The said certificate is fresh submission and since the consultant partly performed services, the same cannot be considered as similar project and therefore cannot be considered. Therefore, the other JV partner is not meeting minimum essential requirements towards supervision. Moreover, NHAI vide letter no. NHAI/JH/SC/RRJ/NH-33/2019/106 dated 17/12/2020 debarred M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd, Jaipur Rajasthan from the bidding process to be undertaken by NHAI in future for a period of 2 years. In view of above, the bid submitted by

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					JV consortium i.e. M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in JV with Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd. cannot be considered.
4.	M/s AYOLEEZA CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED in JV with SOWIL Ltd. In association with TTL Engineering Pvt. Ltd. vide letter no. AYO/2020-21/NHAI/AE/393 dt. 09.12.2020.				
1	Experience in use of Technology for road inspection	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 2 marks (b) Own Equipment – 4 marks Experience (i) 1-2 projects -1marks (ii) 3-5 projects- 2 marks (iii) > 5 projects- 3 marks	3	7	Bidder in the representation claimed that JV partner i.e. M/s SOWIL Ltd. has ownership of NSV and submitted all documents related to ownership of the equipment. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that JV Partner has submitted documents related to assembling of NSV Equipment and necessary registration and invoices which are already placed in the bid which can be considered as per provision of RFP. In addition, 3 marks has already considered against experience in use of the technology. Therefore, as per RFP Criteria, the bidder is entitled for 7 marks under said criterion. Accordingly, marks under the said criterion may be modified to 7 marks instead of 3 marks.
		Experience in Retro reflectometer Technology (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment- 2marks (a) Experience of 1-3 projects – 1 marks (b) Experience of >3 projects- 1 marks	3	4	The bidder in the representation claimed that they own the equipment and having experience for 10 no. of project for use of the said equipment. After reviewing the bid and representation by the bidder, it is found that 3 project has already been considered. However, bidder has experience for use of the said equipment for more than 3 project which was inadvertently not considered earlier. The same may be considered now. In addition, 2 marks against ownership of the equipment has already been assigned.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					Therefore, as per RFP Criteria, the bidder is entitled for 4 marks under said criterion. Hence marks under this criterion has modified to 4 marks instead of 3 marks.
2.	Team Leader cum Sr. Highwa y Enginee r	Refer Criteria ,Sr no 2(b) Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). <2 Project - 0 marks 2 Project - 11 marks Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum 4 mark.	0	0	Bidder in the representation claimed that proposed key personnel has required experience in infracon project Sr. No.11 to 14. After re-examination of CV of proposed key personnel on Infracon, it is found that Nature of Assignment for project no. 11 is Supervision project, hence can not be considered under the said criteria. Further for project no. 12,13& 14, the proposed key personnel has worked on behalf of contractor/concessionaire which cannot be considered under the said criteria. Hence no marks changed under the said criterion.
	Senior Paveme nt Speciali st	Refer Criteria ,Sr no 2(iii) Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos - 0 2 nos - 20 Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.	0	0	The applicant has claimed 11 projects mentioned at Sr. No. 10,11,14,15,23,24,25,26,36,37 & 38. After re-examination of CVs of proposed key personnel on Infracon, it is found that the proposed key personnel has no experience as Pavement / Geotechnical Engineer. He has not worked as Pavement/ Geotechnical Engineer as mentioned in the said criteria. Therefore , as per RFP, the proposed key personnel entitles no marks under this criterion. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
5.	M/s. Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd. in association with Indian Road Survey and Management vide letter no. GDRPL/TENDER/NHAI/51033/35/2020-21 dt. 09.12.2020				
1.	Firm Experie nce	Experience as Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/ Construction Supervision in Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6- laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years. 1 project – 8 marks Add 1(one) mark extra for completed assignment of Authority Engineer/ Independent Engineer and add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 2 (Two) marks.	9.0	9.0	The applicant has claimed that they have more than 5 projects for getting full 10 marks Page No. 110 to 119 under this criteria. 3 nos. of project mentioned at page no. 110-112 ,113-114 and 115-117 under said criterion were already been considered and 9 marks was assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the bid &representation, Project mentioned at Page 118-119 i.e. Two lane project with consultancy fee less than Rs. 3 crore. Which cannot be considered as per provisions of RFP. Hence, no change in the marks. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
		Experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6- laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years. 1 project – 4 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 mark.	4.5	4.5	The applicant has claimed that they have more than 3 Projects for getting full 5 marks Page No. 202 to 254. 2 nos. of project mentioned at Page No. 202-227 and 228-229 under said criterion were already been considered and 4.5 marks was assigned as per RFP. After re-examination of the bid &representation, Further, following projects are not been considered due to the reasons as under:- Page 230 – 231 – Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 232 – 233 – Bridge work and PMGSY work. Page 234 – 235 – Normal road, NH and lane not given and Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 236 – 237 – Normal road, NH and lane not given and Two lane work with

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 238 – 239 - Rural road work not considered Page 240 – 241 – Rural road work not considered Page 242 – 243 –Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 244 – 245 –Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 246 – 248 – Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 249 – 250 – Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 251 – 252 – Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Page 253 – 254 - Two lane work with fee less than Rs. 3 cr. Hence, no change in the marks. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
		In hand DPRs of Highway / Bridge / Tunnel Projects (presently under progress)-10 marks. <2- 0 marks 2-5- 5 marks >5- 10 marks	0	0	The applicant has claimed that they have more than 5 Projects for getting full 10 marks Page No. 334 to 345. However, after re-examination of the claim, it was found that only 1 nos. of In Hand DPR project of NHAI is eligible and as per RFP,if in hand DPR project of NHAI < 2 project, then zero marks is entitles to bidder under this criterion. Hence, no change in the marks. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
2	Experience in use of Technology for road inspection	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 2 marks (b) Own Equipment – 4 marks Experience	3	5	Bidder in the representation claimed that associate partner i.e. M/s. INDIAN ROAD SURVEY & MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD. (IRSM) has ownership of NSV and submitted invoice against the equipment. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that in the bid, only MoU for association was attached. In the representation, bidder has submitted copy of invoice to proof the ownership

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		(i) 1-2 projects -1marks (ii) 3-5 projects- 2 marks (iii) > 5 projects- 3 marks			of the document. Since, the invoice has issued on 17.02.2015 which is earlier than bid due date i.e. 20.07.2020, therefore the same may be considered. In addition, 3 marks has already considered against experience in use of the technology. Therefore, as per RFP Criteria, the bidder is entitled for 5 marks under said criterion. Accordingly, marks under the said criterion may be modified to 5 marks instead of 3 marks. Hence marks under this criterion has modified to 5 marks instead of 3 marks.
		Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit (MBIU) or better technology for bridges inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment-2 marks i 1-2 Projects-1 ii 3 - 5 Projects - 2 Marks iii > 5 Projects - 3 Marks	3	4	Bidder in the representation claimed that associate partner i.e. M/s. GEMINI has ownership of MBIU and submitted invoice against the equipment. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, documentary proof(custom copy) towards ownership of the equipment on the name of Associate partner are already attached in the bid, which was inadvertently not considered earlier. Same may be considered now. In addition, 3 marks has already considered against experience in use of the technology. Therefore, bidder entitles 4 marks under this criterion. Hence, marks under this criterion has modified to 4 marks instead of 3 marks. Hence marks under this criterion has modified to 4 marks.
3.	Bridge/ Structural Engineer	Refer Criteria at Sr. 1(ii) Post-Graduation in Structural Engineering	0	0	The proposed key personnel has done M.Tech. in Civil Engineering from Karnataka State Open University which cannot be considered as per practice. Hence score remains unchanged under

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					said criteria. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
	Senior Quality cum Material Engineer	Refer Criteria at Sr. 1(ii) Post-Graduation in Geotechnical Engineering/ Foundation Engineering/ Soil Mechanics/ Rock Mechanics	0	0	The proposed key personnel has done M.Tech. in Civil Engineering from Karnataka State Open University which cannot be considered as per practice. Hence score remains unchanged under said criteria. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
6.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. In association with Advent consulting Engineers vide letter no. ACE/20-21/Saha-Sahabad/NHAI/180 dated 10.12.2020				
1.	Firm Experience	Nos of Highway Professionals with the firm * The professionals who possess degree in Civil Engineering/Transport Planning/Transport Economics/Traffic Management / Geology/ Environment Science or Engineering and 8 years' experience in highway/bridge/tunnel with employment in the firm for more than one year. The current Employment Certificate shall be uploaded by Key Personnel on INFRACON. Nos. of Highway Professionals with the firm * <10 0 marks 10-20 8 marks >20 but <=30 9 marks >30 10 marks	9	9	The applicant has claimed 10 marks under the criterion i.e. "Nos. of Highway Professionals with the firm". However, after re-examination the claim, it was found that some of the highway professionals claimed by bidder, have incomplete profile or some are not found on Infracon Portal or some of them are blocked and the rest No. of Highway Professionals with the firm which fulfills provision of RFP, comes out to be 29 Nos, marks for the same has already been assigned. Hence, no change in marks. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years. 1 project – 4 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 mark.	5	5	The applicant has submitted that calculation error in technical evaluation sheet and claimed 10 marks under the criterion. As per RFP, The maximum marks in this criteria is 5 ,which has already been allotted to bidder on the basis of their experience under subject criterion. Hence no marks changed under this criteria. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
2	Experience in use of Technology for road inspection	Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit (MBIU) or better technology for bridges inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment-2 marks i 1-2 Projects-1 ii 3 - 5 Projects - 2 Marks iii > 5 Projects - 3 Marks	4	4	Bidder in the representation claimed that they have experience of use of the technology for more than 5 projects and claim full marks under the said criterion. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that bidder has experience in relevant criteria for less than 5 projects and as per RFP, 2 marks against the experience has already been allotted. In addition, 2 marks has already considered against ownership of the technology. Hence, marks under the criteria remains unchanged.
		Experience in Retro reflectometer Technology (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment-2marks (a) Experience of 1-3 projects – 1 marks	3	3	Bidder in the representation claimed that they have experience of use of the technology for 5 than 3 projects and claim full marks under the said criterion. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that bidder has experience in relevant criteria for less than 3 projects and as per RFP, 1 marks against the

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		(b) Experience of >3 projects- 1 marks			experience has already been allotted. In addition, 2 marks has already considered against ownership of the technology. Hence, marks under the criteria remains unchanged.
3.	Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer	Refer Criteria at Sr. 1(ii) Post-Graduation in Management/ Construction/ Transportation/ Highway Engineering/ Structural Engineering or equivalent specialized stream of civil engineering – 4 Marks	0	0	The proposed key personnel has done M.Tech. in Highway Engineering and claimed 4 marks under the said criteria. After re-examination of CV of the proposed key personnel at INFRACON and representation, it is found that only final semester mark sheet has submitted towards proof of the degree which cannot be considered. Therefore, marks under the said criterion remains unchanged.
		Refer Criteria at Sr. 2(b) Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 2 Projects -11 marks Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks.	0	11	Bidder in the representation claimed that proposed key personnel has required experience in infracon project Sr. No.11&12. After re-examination of CVs of proposed key personnel on INFRACON, As per RFP, Project at Sr. No. 11 & 12 are fulfilling the criteria, which were inadvertently not considered earlier. Same may be considered now. Therefore, as per RFP, proposed key personnel entitles 11 Marks under this criteria. Hence Marks under this criteria has been modified to 11 marks against 0 marks.
	Senior Pavement Specialist	Refer Criteria ,Sr no 2(iii) Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/ construction	0	0	The applicant has claimed 11 projects mentioned at Sr. No. P7, P9, P11, P13, P15, P18, P19, P23, P24, P40, P41 After re-examination of CVs of proposed key personnel on Infracon, it is found that the proposed key personnel has no experience as

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos – 0 2 nos – 20 Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.			Pavement / Geotechnical Engineer. He has not worked as Pavement/ Geotechnical Engineer as mentioned in the said criteria. Therefore, as per RFP, the proposed key personnel entitles no marks under this criterion. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
7.	M/s. MSV INTERNATIONAL INC In Association with (as a Sub Consultant) Mahamarg Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. vide letter no. MSV/BD/2020/C-31681 dt. 09.12.2020				
1	Firm Experience	Experience in Construction Supervision /DPR/Design Review of Major structures having length of more than 500 meter in last 7 years.	2.0	2.5	The applicant has submitted that they have more than 5 projects under this criterion and claimed 3 marks under the said criterion. After re-examination of bid & representation, it was found that project at page 291 was already considered. Project at page no.-302, Govt. Client in the certificate mentioned that bidder has supervised elevated structure work 2.675 km, though project has not completed. Since, client has certified that bidder has completed supervision of elevated structure work 2.675 km. Therefore, the same may be considered. Following projects claimed by consultant has not considered due the reasons which are detailed below: Page-287,296,299& 310-311- length of structure portion is not clear in client certificate. Page-289- Non-Governmental client. Page-313- As per RFP , bidder has not submitted apostle of the Foreign certificate. Page-294- As per client certificate, structure portion is lesser than 500m.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					Therefore, as per RFP, bidder has 2 eligible certificate, Since entitles 2.5 marks under this criteria. Hence, marks under this criteria has modified to 2.5 marks instead of 2 marks. Hence marks under this criterion has modified to 2.5 marks
2	Experience in use of Technology for road inspection	Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit (MBIU) or better technology for bridges inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment-2 marks I 1-2 Projects-1 ii 3 - 5 Projects - 2 Marks iii > 5 Projects - 3 Marks	4	5	Bidder in the representation claimed that they have experience of use of the technology for 10 projects and claim full marks under the said criterion. After re-examination of Bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that bidder has experience in relevant criteria for more than 5 projects and as per RFP, bidder shall entitles for 3 Marks. In addition, 2 marks has already considered against ownership of the technology. Hence, marks under the criteria has modified to 5 marks instead of 4 marks. Hence, marks under the criteria has modified to 5 marks.
3.	Senior Pavement Specialist	Refer Criteria ,Sr no 2(iii) Experience in similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos - 0 2 nos - 20 Add 2.5 marks extra for	0	0	The applicant has claimed projects mentioned at Sr. No. 7,9-13,15,17,23,29-31,33,35-41,43 After re-examination of CVs of proposed key personnel on Infracon, it is found that the proposed key personnel has no experience as Pavement / Geotechnical Engineer. He is not worked as Pavement/ Geotechnical Engineer as mentioned in the said criteria. Therefore, as per RFP, the proposed key personnel entitles no marks under this criterion. Hence marks under this criterion

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.			remains unchanged.
	Road Safety Expert	It is mandatory for the Road Safety Expert to have completed at least 15 days' certification course on Road Safety Audit from IAHE/IITs/NITs/CRR I.	0	0	The applicant has claimed that he has total 30 days training for Road Safety Audit accordingly, 95% marks should be awarded. As per RFP clause essential qualifications for Road Safety Expert sub-para (f) (corrigendum) i.e. "It is mandatory for the Road Safety Expert to have completed at least 15 days' certification course on Road Safety Audit from IAHE/IITs/NITs/CRRI. The CV of the proposed key personnel not having completed minimum 15 days certification course shall not be evaluated. For avoidance of doubt, it is clarified herein that the certification training course may be either from a single institution or from multiple institutions." As per infracon CV, the proposed key personnel has obtained training in Road Safety Audit for only 14 days from CSIR and IAHE. Which is less than mandatory requirement of the RFP, therefore, the CV was not evaluated further. However, the applicant in representation mentioned that proposed key personnel has obtained training in Road Safety Audit for 14 days from CSIR and IAHE which was originally attached in the bid and which is already considered for evaluation. In addition, bidder has attached 5 days training certificate for Orientation programme for new officer by NITHE & attached undertaking that 2days of the orientation training was dedicated for Road Safety Audit. However, the same was not considered as its not fulfilling RFP requirement.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					In addition, bidder has attached 15 days of relevant training from MNIT, Bhopal (from 14-28th September 2020) which cannot be considered as it is fresh submission. Therefore, proposed key personnel has only 14 days certification course on Road Safety Audit from IAHE/IITs/NITs/CRRRI which is less than RFP requirement. Therefore, CV is score zero and marks was not been considered in technical evaluation. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
8.	M/s. Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Voyants Solutions Private Limited in association with JR Consultancy services Pvt Ltd vide letter no.TASPL/NHAI/HR/AE/2020-21/813 dt. 09.12.2020				
1.	Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer	As per clause no. 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2: LETTER OF INVITATION TO CONSULTANTS of RFP, Minimum 75% marks required. CV of [six/seven] Key Personnel will be evaluated CV of proposed Team Leader should score at least 75% marks. If not, the proposal shall not be considered further. Since, the Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer proposed by M/s. Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Voyants Solutions Private Limited in association with JR Consultancy services Pvt Ltd, i.e. Mr. Indra Deo Modi scores 73 Marks.	Not Considered (73)	Not Considered (74.5)	The bid was not considered in lieu of clause no. 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2: LETTER OF INVITATION TO CONSULTANTS of RFP. Bidder in the representation has submitted objection in Evaluation Criteria mentioned at 2.a.(iii) , 2.(b) , 2.d & 3 of sub-section 3.1 of RFP. Claims submitted by bidder was examined & found that proposed key personnel scores marks 74.5 which is less than threshold 75 Marks, Therefore, bid remains not considerable as per Clause clause no. 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2: LETTER OF INVITATION TO CONSULTANTS of RFP. Criteria wise evaluation of claims is details below:

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Therefore no further evaluation of the technical proposal submitted by M/s. Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Voyants Solutions Private Limited in association with JR Consultancy services PvtLtd,has been carried out.			
		Refer Criteria at Sr. 2.a.iii Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) on EPC 1 project -4 marks add 1 mark extra for additional projects subject to maximum1 (one) mark	0	0	Bidder has submitted that proposed key personnel has requisite experience in 3 project at page no. 812, 816& 824 and claimed 5 marks under this criteria. After examination of bid & representation, as per infracon CV, for project at page no. 812, 816 824, Designation of proposed key personnel is "Project Manager" however, Employer Name is " Border Road Organization (BRO)" which is inconsistent with each other, therefore cannot be considered. Hence No Marks Changed under this criteria. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
		Refer Criteria at Sr. 2.b: Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). < 2 projects -0 2 Projects -11 marks	0	0	Bidder has submitted that proposed key personnel has requisite experience in 7 project at page no. 792,804,808,810,814,820 & 822 and claimed 5 marks under this criteria. After examination of bid & representation, as per infracon CV, for project at page no. 792, DPR projects has worked for consultancy firm , which can be considered , now. For Project no. 804 , proposed key personnel worked as "Team Leader cum Sr. Highway Engineer" & employer name is "Nagarujana Construction

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks.			Company" which is not a consultancy firm, therefore cannot be considered. For project no. 810,808,814,820 & 822, Designation of proposed key personnel is "Project Manager" however, Employer Name is " Border Road Organization (BRO)" which is inconsistent with each other, therefore cannot be considered. Accordingly, proposed key personnel has relevant experience for only 1 nos of project. Hence No Marks Changed under this criteria. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
		Refer Criteria at Sr. 2.d: Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 1 project – 4 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark.	4	4	Bidder has submitted that proposed key personnel has requisite experience in 5 project at page no. 794,796,802,806 & 818 and claimed 5 marks under this criteria.Out of which project page no. 806 has already been considered and accordingly, 4 marks has already been assigned. After examination of bid & representation, as per INFRACON CV, for project at page no. 794, nature of assignment is supervision and for project page no. 796&802, nature of assignment is execution. Therefore, these projects cannot be considered. Further for project page no. 818, Designation of proposed key personnel is "Project Manager" however, Employer Name is "Border Road Organization (BRO)" which is inconsistent with each other, therefore cannot be considered. Hence No Marks Changed under this criteria. Hence marks under this criterion

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
					remains unchanged.
		Refer Criteria at Sr. 3: Employment with the Firm < 1 year -0 1 year – 3 marks Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject	3	4.5	Bidder has submitted that proposed key personnel has worked with the bidder for more than 3 years & claimed 5 marks against it. After examination of bid & representation, as per INFRACON CV, the proposed key personnel has been working with the bidder since 01.06.2016 to till date. Therefore, employment with the firm is around 4 year 1 months till bid due date i.e.20.07.2020. Therefore, as per RFP, the proposed key personnel entitles 4.5 Marks under this criterion. Hence Marks under this criteria has been changed to 4.5 marks instead of 3 marks. Hence marks under this criterion has modified to 4.5 marks.
9.	M/s. G-Eng Advisory Service Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Yongma engineering Co. Ltd. in association with Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd. vide letter no. GEAS/NHAI/AE/2020-21/307 dt.09.12.2020				
		We had done the work of Consultancy services for preparation of feasibility report for Dwarka Expressway (from Km 20 of Nh-8 Near Shiv Murti till Km 40 of NH-8 Near KherkiDaula in State of Delhi and Haryana) as variation to consultancy service of Delhi-Jaipur Expressway, which already given in the Technical Proposal page no. 424 to 428, till further added that we are submitting a share certificate and	Non-Responsive	86.33	Bidder was considered now responsive as The Lead Partner i.e. M/s. G-Eng Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd was not qualifying the criteria as per Clause 15(B) of RFP i.e. "The lead partner must fulfil atleast 50% of requirements at 1(a) of table in para (A) above and other JV partner should fulfil at least 30% of eligibility criteria as indicated at 1(a) of table in para (A) above". Now, bidder in the representation submitted that they have relevant experience in 2 project for DPR at page - 424 to 428 & 429to 430 and claimed to considered these two projects & requested to make the firm as technically responsive. After examination of the bid & representation submitted by the bidder, it is found that project at Page 424 to 428

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		payment certificate from M/s AECOM Asia Company Ltd. to G-ENG Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. is attached for your reference. As per our MOU between the consortiums member, on the behalf of all members, total consultancy fee was received by AECOM an amount of Rs 6.17 Crores as per the payment schedule of CA out of which an amount of Rs. 89.06 lacs (14.43%) was received by G-ENG towards work done by us (enclosed) Therefore the said details, length of the DPR preparation experience in G-ENG comes out to be $296 \times 14\% = 41.44\text{km}$ DPR: You have not considered our DPR project of "Preparation of DPR & Analysis of Rates for Development of Access Controlled of 6 lane of Kundli-Manesar-Palwal (KMP) Expressway length 135km (Balance work) on BOT basis in state of Haryana" which we already			i.e. Dwarka Expressway (from Km 20 of Nh-8 Near Shiv Murti till Km 40 of NH-8 Near KherkiDaula in State of Delhi and Haryana) was already considered, 2.886 Km(14.43% of 20) length was considered accordance to share of the bidder. Project at page 429-430 i.e. Preparation of DPR & Analysis of Rates for Development of Access Controlled of 6 lane of Kundli-Manesar-Palwal (KMP) Expressway length 135km (Balance work) on BOT basis in state of Haryana" was earlier not considered Since it was balance work mentioned in the certificate attached in the original bid so it was not clear whether bidder has prepare DPR for full length or it prepared only bidding documents. Now, bidder in the representation attached letter no. HSIIDC: IMT: KMP: 1744 dt. 08.12.2020 clarified that The assignment was initially carried out by M/s RITES Limited in the year 2004-05 after which the work was allotted to M/s D S Constructions on BOT(basis). However, due to non-completion of work, the agreement with M/s D S Constructions was terminated in the year 2015. Initially, the project was 4-laned and then it was decided to make it 6-laned. The assignment for balance work and for making 4-lane to 6-lane was completed by G-Eng. Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in year of 2015-16. Therefore, in lieu of the clarification, the said project which have length of 135 km may be considered for evaluation. Accordingly, after consideration of the said project, Lead Partner & as well JV Consortium fulfil the minimum eligibility criteria and the bid become responsive. Accordingly, the bid is evaluated are the technical proposal score 86.33 marks The details of Evaluation is given below.

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks															
		given in technical proposal page no. 429 to 430. In this regards it is submitted that work of DPR has been done twice. Once done by M/s RITES Ltd in year 2004 -2005 for 4 lanes, this work was allotted toM/s DS Construction. After withdrawn the work from M/s DS Construction this work has been done by M/s G-ENG Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. i.e. balance work of4 lanes and widening from 4 lanes to 6 lanes. Clarification from HSIIDC is also attached herewith for your reference. Moreover in different projects of NHAI, MoRTH& NHIDCL these works are already considered in evaluation by Client (copy attached) Therefore, we request you to kindly consider these projects in technical evaluation and make our firm as responsive.			<table><tr><td>Category</td><td>Assesse d</td><td>Clai me d</td></tr><tr><td>Firm Experience</td><td>33.00</td><td>40</td></tr><tr><td>Technology</td><td>19</td><td>20</td></tr><tr><td>CVs Evaluation</td><td>34.33</td><td>36.94</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>86.33</td><td>96.94</td></tr></table> Hence the bidder which was non-responsive earlier, has become responsive now and after evaluation of the bid, bidder scores 86.33 marks.	Category	Assesse d	Clai me d	Firm Experience	33.00	40	Technology	19	20	CVs Evaluation	34.33	36.94	Total	86.33	96.94
Category	Assesse d	Clai me d																		
Firm Experience	33.00	40																		
Technology	19	20																		
CVs Evaluation	34.33	36.94																		
Total	86.33	96.94																		
10.	M/s. March Technocrats Pvt. Ltd. in JV with Dhruv Consultancy services Ltd. in association with Global infra Solutions vide letter dt. 10.12.2020																			
1.	Firm Expe rie nce	Experience in Construction Supervision /DPR/Design Review	2.5	3	The applicant has submitted that they have 9 projects under this criterion and claimed 3 marks under the said criterion.															

S N	Key Position	Objection/ representation on	Marks assigned	Revised marks	Remarks
		of Major structures having length of more than 500 meter in last 7 years.			After re-examination of bid & representation, it was found that project at page 360-361 and 362-363 was already considered. Project at page no. - 364-365, which was inadvertently not considered earlier, is qualifies as per provision of RFP. Therefore, the same may be considered now. Accordingly, marks under this criterion has been modified to 3 marks instead of 2.5 marks. Hence marks under this criterion has modified to 3 marks
2.	Resident cum Highway Engineer	Refer Criteria 2(ii) Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above) < 5 years -0 5 years -15 Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (Five) marks.	0	0	The applicant has claimed 20 marks for projects mentioned from Sr. No. 2,3,4,5,7,8 under this criterion. However, after re-examination, it is observed that proposed key personnel has not worked as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent. Therefore, he has no experience on post/designations required by RFP. Hence, no change in marks. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.
	Road Safety Expert	Refer Criteria, Sr no 2(iii) Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at different stages including at least one at design stage < 2 nos -0 2 nos -15 Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks.	0	0	The applicant has claimed 20 marks for projects mentioned at Sr. No. 16 to 20 under this criterion. After re-examination, it has been observed that proposed key personnel has no experience Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at design stage, which is mandatory as per RFP provisions. Therefore, proposed key personnel entitles zero marks under this criteria. Hence, no change in marks. Hence marks under this criterion remains unchanged.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by KUSHAL VEER SINGH
Date: 2021.01.14 12:20:56 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs