

Sub: - Four laning with paved shoulders from Meerut to after bridge over River Yamuna, Haryana via Shamli from Km. 0+000 to 88+476 of NHG-709A (0 at Meerut on Ch. 67+550 of NH-58) in the state of UP – **Evaluation of Technical Bids-reg. (Tender ID-2020_NHA/41604_1)**

Result of Technical Evaluation

13.03.2020

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Result
1.	Centrodorstroy India Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible for next stage of Bidding
2.	JSP Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Not eligible for next stage of Bidding *
3.	YFC PROJECTS PRIVATE LIMITED	Eligible for next stage of Bidding
4.	Gawar Construction Limited	Eligible for next stage of Bidding
5.	Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible for next stage of Bidding
6.	PNC Infratech Ltd.	Eligible for next stage of Bidding

* As per clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) of the RFP, works relating to civil construction cost of power sector can be considered as eligible projects. However, as per the copy of agreement and BOQ items furnished by the bidder through the reply, civil construction cost of these projects cannot be determined. Further, clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) deals with the construction works and mention of word “turnkey” is with respect to construction projects. Relevant portion of Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) is reproduced as below:

*“For the avoidance of doubt, **construction works** shall not include supply of goods or equipment except when such goods or equipment form part of a **turn-key construction contract / EPC contract for the project.**”*

Based on the copy of agreement and BOQ items furnished by the bidder through the reply, scope of work of these projects consists of following major activities:

- Supply of materials
- Erection, testing & commissioning
- Replacement of existing system
- Undertaking ancillary works

Though the Bidder claimed these projects as turnkey project, but it cannot be considered as “turnkey construction” contracts. Accordingly, these projects (Project code ‘E’ and ‘L’) have not been considered for calculation of Threshold Technical Capacity (TTC) and hence, the Bidder is not meeting the TTC as per the provisions of clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP. Hence, the Bidder is recommended as technically non-responsive.

Further, with respect to additional experience in Major Bridge/ ROB/ Flyover, The project claimed by the Bidder cannot be considered as “Major Bridge” as the total length of the bridge is less than 60 meter. Hence, this project is not considered for evaluation of “experience in construction of Major Bridge/ROBs/Flyovers” in accordance with Para 2 of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the Bidder is recommended as technically non-responsive.

2. As per Clause 2.19 of RFP, the result of technical evaluation would be displayed on the e-portal for seven (07) days.

